TRUTH IS NOT FOR EVERYONE - IT IS ONLY FOR THOSE WHO SEEK IT

Dear Reader

Please read this paper – even though the evidence is shocking and goes against everything you have believed I do truly know how you feel.

Truth has never been palatable because it always costs!

As Mark Twain says "A lie can travel half way round the world, while truth puts on its shoes..."

I have spent many weeks on this paper and have only touched the tip of the iceberg of the evil that has been created by Saul 'Paul' of Tarsus. Included are informative articles with the web addresses and though I do not agree with everything that is said – the information is helpful. To speak like this causes me no joy in telling you about this false apostle, (your eternal destiny depends on whom you follow) – Saul of Tarsus was one of my favorite biblical characters. It was with horror discovering what poison he was and by my own deception I can judge how successful he was. Having spent years researching history, the world situation, Illuminati and the NWO – when this bomb exploded in my life all else has paled into insignificance – what matters more is TRUTH concerning salvation. Now the discovery of truth makes one realize that we have to relearn how to worship Yahweh in spirit and in truth AND most importantly His way and not follow the traditions of men.

These articles are informative – please dear reader read it – even if takes it time to absorb it.

PRAISE YAHWEH FOR HIS TRUTH AND HIS HOLY TORAH AND GLORIOUS SHABBAT. SENDING HIS WONDERFUL SON YAHSHUA TO SHOW US 'LOST SHEEP' THE WAY OF <u>TRUTH</u> AND <u>SALVATION</u> through the TORAH.

The articles included still use the name of Jesus or God etc – if you are not familiar with the correct names of Yahweh – God (Yahuwah) or Yahshua - Jesus (Yahushua).

Getting the names correct of our heavenly Father and His beloved Son is important.

Further down is some interesting articles which explains in concise terms things that might help you understand what I am trying to say to you— it is our duty to seek and find TRUTH. It is not handed down on a plate — the stake of suffering that Yahshua died on cost HIM His life — it will cost us too. We need to count the cost and as the Apostle Peter says to Yahshua when the crowds deserted him because He said what they didn't want to hear - Yahshua then challenged his apostles, Peter said to him. "Where do we go

"You (not Paul) have the words of eternal life ...") paraphrased. (John 6:68)

PAUL V YAHSHUA (JESUS)

Paul admitted being a persecutor of Jesus followers. Paul never met Jesus and his knowledge of him is limited as can be seen through his epistles. Jesus never spoke of him or anyone like him, there were however prophecies warning us of such a person. What better way to destroy a religion you oppose then to change its doctrine? People accept Paul not through investigation, and certainly not by following the Bible's command to test everything (1John 4:1, but rather simply because he made his way into the Bible. Jesus made it clear that the only way to attain salvation was by keeping the commandments (Luke 10:25-28, Luke 18:18-25), yet Paul gave everyone a "free gift" of faith alone. Paul has successfully managed to steer billions of people from the true teachings of Jesus. He turned it from Jesus religion, to a religion all about Jesus.

Matthew 5:19Whosoever therefore shall break one of these least commandments, and shall teach men so, he shall be called the least in the kingdom of heaven: but whosoever shall do and teach them, the same shall be called great in the kingdom of heaven

According to those who follow <u>Paul</u>, what is most important to God is <u>what we believe</u>. According to those who follow Yahshua, what is most important to God is <u>what we do</u>. Even while those who follow <u>Paul</u> talk a great deal about Yahshua, what they believe about him is <u>not what Yahshua said about himself and what he</u> expects of us. but what Paul said about Yahshua and what Paul expects of us.

While <u>Paul</u> clearly taught that we are saved by being <u>passive</u> beneficiaries of what Messiah did, Yahshua clearly taught that our salvation hinges on what <u>we</u> do (our "works"), <u>along with</u> what God does (God's "grace") meaning it gives a man/women the only true life.

The idea of salvation by faith alone, with grace on god's part replacing works on man's part, may appear to make Jesus more deserving of praise, love, admiration and appreciation. But Yahshua didn't teach it; and for good reason. Martin Luther deliberately "improved" Paul's words "the just shall live by faith" by adding the word "alone." And omitting the word "his" from Hab 2:4 This theory makes Messiah out to be like the pilot of the air plane to heaven. All you have to do is "believe", i.e. trust him, and climb aboard, and he will do the rest. You might as well go to sleep and "leave the flying to "Jesus"; because you are saved the minute you "believe", and you are guaranteed a seat not just for the trip but for an eternity in heaven as well. According to this theory, Jesus has paid for your ticket with his own blood. Although Yahshua is the only man who has ever lived a blameless life, Yahweh has allowed this one sinless person to suffer the sin of the world.

If you believe that teaching, then you are putting your faith in <u>Paul</u>, <u>not</u> in <u>Yahshua</u>.

What Messiah taught was that salvation is very demanding, and that – far from carrying your cross (stake) for you – Yahshua requires that you pick up your own cross (stake) daily and follow him also following his commandments in obedience to his instructions. Believing in him is not an end in itself, it's simply the step that puts one on the right (narrow) road, the road of obedience to the Messiah, is an entrance which leads to eternal life.

THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO SHAUL 'PAUL' OF TARSUS

Paul: fanatic, heretic and egotist

Paul taught that the whole function of Jesus centered on his death which released the faithful from the burden of their sins, their misery and the power of Satan. <u>In fact not a single word Paul wrote in the Epistles gives the actual teaching of Jesus, nor does he mention even one of his parables;</u> instead he spreads his own philosophy and his own ideas.

Paul tends to characterise all people as children of anger, ie. as subject to the wrath of God (see Eph. 2,3). All are (without exception) quite lost (eg. Romans 5,18; Cor. 15,18), without hope and without God (Eph. 2,12), for Satan has power over everyone (without exception) (eg. Rom. 3,9; Gal. 3,22; Col 2,14). A sentence of damnation hangs like a sword of Damocles over all people (eg. Rom. 5,16).

Thus Paul as a human teacher made out of the joyous tidings his threatening tidings and **implied that** only he could show the path to salvation. Of course with such an attitude one can hardly arrive at a natural view of death, for it makes death a solution to sin.

In no other religion do we find such cultivation of the fear of death as in the Pauline Christianity. With Paul Christianity became a religion in which Christians, beset by fears, would bow docilely under the yoke of threats. The religion was already veering away from the concept of the kind and loving, all-forgiving God of Jesus, and reverting to the crudities of the wrathful Old Testament God, as borne out by Paul's words.

The point comes home best when one considers **Paul's explicit statement that the human individual can do nothing himself to secure salvation,** "Š(cf. Rom. 3,24; 3,28; 9,11; 9,16; 1.Cor. 1,29; Gal. 2,16). **For according to Paul salvation depends solely on the Grace of God"** (Eph. 2, 8-9).

Thus the Pauline doctrine makes salvation a one-sided matter for God; people on earth have their hands bound (cf. Rom. 3,24; 4,16; Eph. 2,5; 2,8-9; 2. Tim. 1,9; Tit. 3,5-7). What Paul says here is of course quite attractive, because it is comfortable. By joining the fold, salvation ensues "automatically". No effort on one's own part is then necessary to arrive at the goal of life, for every Christian is saved once and for all by the sacrificial death of Jesus on the cross at Golgotha. All you have to do is believe!

It means that one has only to sign up with this "institution", pay the "membership fee", and (lo and behold!) everything is settled for securing a seat in paradise for all eternity. Naturally such a teaching attracted many supporters and spread rapidly. After all it is easier to believe in something that can be had safely and comfortably.

Simply by the simple act of conversion a person is then redeemed, saved, made a child of God, and becomes a completely new person. According to this teaching, every attempt on one's own part to work towards salvation plays down Jesus' role, is even a deadly sin. And conversely, every person, however exemplary and good his or her life may have been, is declared by his teaching to be lost if he or she does not gratefully acknowledge the sacrifice of the cross as constituting their entire personal salvation.

Most Christians think the greatness and uniqueness of Christianity stands and falls with the truth of his teaching. On closer inspection, however, it is found to be a **fabrication**, far removed from the real ideas of Jesus. There is no hint of the so called Christian doctrine of salvation in the gospels, either in the sermon on the mount - the quintessence of Jesus' message - or in the Our Father, or the traditional parables of Jesus!

Jesus did not supply theories to be ground in the mills of academia, about his path and message -- he just lived his teaching!

WHERE IS THE FRUIT OF CHRISTIANITY/ PROTESTANTISM? IT IS TRULY A DAUGHTER OF THE WHORE OF BABYLON – IT IS NOW FRACTIONISED INTO approx 33,000 sections of SPIRITLESS DENOMINATIONS AND HAS BEEN THE CAUSE OF MILLIONS OF DEATHS OVER THE CENTURIES – TRULY APOSTATE WITH PAUL AT THE HELM...

THE GOSPEL OF YAHSHUA

It is not the same as Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus – in fact it is the very **Opposite** of his gospel. The message is one of hope and life and a promised Kingdom wherein Yahshua is the KING.

Where we are partakers and citizens of the glorious Kingdom of Yahweh through obedience, faith and works. Not just 'recipients' of a 'bloody' death inspired gnostic gospel, cheap grace and easy believerism. Where the recipient is required to have a fatalist like attitude of being completely helpless and totally unable to obey because we are so incased in sin that we cannot do anything.

The only PERSON who mentions the paralyzing effects of <u>Original Sin</u> is Paul - No where else does scripture teach this – Paul's gospel is one of death and inability – Yahshua's gospel is one of life and life abundantly:

John 10:10 The thief cometh not, but for to steal, and to kill, and to destroy: <u>I am come that they</u> might have life, and that they might have it more abundantly.

The **thief was Shaul of Tarsus** – HE STOLE THE TRUTH AND INVENTED THE LIE OF A FALSE GOSPEL SAVED BY GRACE AND JUSTIFIED BY FAITH - cheapening the gospel, giving false hope which all following him will lead to death and destruction for his gospel is **not** truth.

Over the passing centuries since Yahshua lived, traditional Christianity has unfortunately obscured many of the teachings of Scripture. In some cases, this veiling of certain truths has been deliberate—for instance, in the doctrines of justification and of the Sabbath—while others have been allowed to fade from memory or to be eclipsed by emphasis on other doctrines. The early Roman Catholic Church and through Paul's teachings bears much of the blame for these significant changes, having decreed through their councils that Roman Christianity would follow paths contrary to God's Word.

The gospel that Yahshua taught during His ministry is one such area that has been purposefully diverted from scriptural reality. Ask any nominal Christian what the true gospel was, and the answer is likely to be, "He preached a gospel of grace" and "a gospel of justified by faith." Many Protestants sit in their pews each week and hear a gospel about Jesus via Paul. Is this the true gospel? Mark 1:14-15 provides the inspired answer to the question: "Now after John [the Baptist] was put in prison, Jesus came to Galilee, **preaching....**

the gospel of the kingdom of God,

and saying, 'The time is fulfilled, and the **Kingdom of God** is at hand.

Repent and believe in the gospel' see also Matthew 4:23 9:35 23:14.

His <u>message</u> was about the reign, the rule, the dominion, of God the Father, as well as of the Son, the One who is to be the King of that Kingdom see John 18:37 Rev 19:11-16

The phrases "Kingdom of God" and 'KINGDOM OF HEAVEN'

are found over a **hundred times** in the New Testament,

the majority of them in the four gospels. "Kingdom of Grace" **never** appears, nor—to the surprise of many—does a "gospel of grace."

From the scriptures own wording, then, we can conclude that the divinely inspired gospel is about the Kingdom of God.

13:44 Again, the <u>kingdom of heaven</u> is like unto treasure hid in a field; the which when a man hath found, he hideth, and for joy thereof goeth and selleth all that he hath, and buyeth that field.

13:45 Again, the kingdom of heaven is like unto a merchant man, seeking goodly pearls:

13:46 Who, when he had found one pearl of great price, went and sold all that he had, and bought it.

13:47 Again, the <u>kingdom of heaven</u> is like unto a net, that was cast into the sea, and gathered of every kind:

13:48 Which, when it was full, they drew to shore, and sat down, and gathered the good into vessels, but cast the bad away.

13:49 So shall it be at the end of the world: the angels shall come forth, and sever the wicked from among the just,

13:50 And shall cast them into the furnace of fire: there shall be wailing and gnashing of teeth.

13:51 **Yahushua** saith unto them, Have ye understood all these things? They say unto him, Yea, master.

13:52 Then said he unto them, Therefore every scribe which is instructed unto the **kingdom of heaven** is like unto a man that is an householder, which bringeth forth out of his treasure things new and old.

The **Kingdom of God** is the goal of God's great purpose, and if we desire to have a part in it with Him, it must be our goal too. There is only **One** entrance to this Kingdom and it is through Jesus' teaching to **Obey** His father's commandments (TORAH) which is the entry to the narrow way/straight gate of the gospel of the **Kingdom of** — there provides us with our objective, as well as with all of the component parts needed to reach it.

As many know, the word "gospel" derives from an Old English word, *gödspel*, which literally means "good news" or "good tidings." Thus, when Christ preached, He proclaimed the good news of the soon-coming Kingdom of God. But, some may wonder, is this not God's world? Is

He not its Creator? Is He not sovereign of the entire universe? Why, then, did Jesus have to announce that God's dominion was on its way?

Taking advantage of the vacuum, as it were, satan the devil has enthroned himself

The Spirit of the LORD is upon Me, because He has anointed Me to PREACH THE GOSPEL to the poor; He has sent Me to heal the brokenhearted, to proclaim liberty to the captives and recovery of sight to the blind, to set at liberty those who are oppressed; to proclaim the acceptable year of the LORD. Luke 4:18-19

THE KINGDOM OF GOD is no different. His Kingdom will be peaceful and orderly because everyone who will enter into it will have voluntarily submitted himself to obedience and the law—the commandments—of God. God will not have anyone in His Kingdom who demonstrates, by the pattern of his life, that he will not obey Him Matthew 7:21-23 Rev 12:17 describes the saints as those "who keep the commandments of God and have the testimony of Jesus Christ".

A Pharisee once asked Jesus, "Teacher, which is the great commandment in the law?" Matthew 23:26 His response shows that the intent behind

GOD'S LAW is love

love toward God, and love toward fellow man:

with all your heart, with all your soul, and with all your mind.' This is the first and great commandment. And the second is like it: 'You shall love your neighbor as yourself.' On these two commandments hang all the Law and the prophets." Matthew 22:37-40

These two statements—loving God and loving neighbor as oneself—encapsulate the first four and the last six commandments respectively. The commandments merely define further *how* to love God and love man. We love God in general by placing Him first, by not adopting

[&]quot;the god of this age" and blinded the minds of men and women to the truths that would set them free (He has managed to deceive the whole world (Revelation 12:9) not only about himself, but about <u>Yahweh and His way of salvation</u>. This is why, among the first things He had to do, Jesus had to endure the Devil's temptations and overcome him and them without sinning Matthew 4:1:11 Luke 4:1-13. He had to prove Himself superior to Satan's devices and worthy of His throne over the whole earth and all mankind.

physical aids in worshipping Him, by not bearing His name in vain, and by **keeping** the **Seventh-day <u>Sabbath</u> holy**. We love man, in general, by honoring our parents, not murdering, not committing adultery, not stealing not lying, and not coveting.

When Jesus Christ came, He revealed the spirit—the *intent*—of His law. He showed that the <u>sixth commandment</u> extends much further than merely prohibiting the taking of human life, but covers even hating Matthew 5:21-22.Similarly, the intent behind the seventh commandment is to stop adultery at its source: the heart Matthew 5:27-28 Following God's commandments in both their letter and spirit ensures the best quality of life for everyone.

When Jesus was asked what one must do to have eternal life, His response was simple: "If you want to enter into eternal life, keep the commandments" Matthew 19:17 To reinforce this, in His last words to the disciples before His arrest and crucifixion, He had much to say about keeping God's commandments. He was giving them (and us) instruction that would not be absolved by His death:

"If you love Me, keep My commandments." John 14:15

"He who has My commandments and keeps them, it is he who loves Me. And he who loves Me will be loved by My Father, and I will love him and manifest Myself to him." (verse 21)

"If anyone loves Me, he will keep My word; and My Father will love him, and We will come to him and make Our home with him. He who does not love Me does not keep My words; and the word which you hear is not Mine but the Father's who sent Me." (verses 23-24)

The apostle James calls the Ten Commandments "the **royal law"** meaning that it came from a King, and is worthy of His Kingdom:

If you really **fulfill** *the royal law* according to the Scripture, "You shall love your neighbor as yourself," you do well; but if you show partiality you commit sin and are convicted by the law as transgressors. For whoever shall keep the whole law, and yet stumble in one point, he is guilty of all. For He who said, "Do not commit adultery," also said, "Do not murder." Now if

you do not commit adultery, but you do murder, you have become a transgressor of the law. So speak and so do as those who will be judged by the law of liberty. James 2:8-12

Our Savior tells us what He means by *eternal life:* "And *this is eternal life*, that they may **KNOW YOU**, the only true God, and Jesus Christ whom You have sent" John 17:3. He defines *eternal life*as "to know God." "Know" suggests a close intimacy, just as a husband and wife are intimate in

marriage Genesis 4:1. It indicates experiential knowledge, not theoretical. In Amos 5:4. God exclaims,

"Seek Me and live!" He is saying, "Turn to Me and My way of life; seek to know Me," note "He is saying,

"Seek to know Me by *living the same way I do.*" That is how experiential knowledge of Him becomes an intimate knowing of Him.

We know Him, in large part, by living the same law of love that He lives by.

The major teaching event in the ministry of Jesus was the <u>Sermon on the Mount</u>. According to the scriptures, this was the largest gathering that Jesus addressed during His ministry. Given this opportunity,

He did not bring people out of the audience and cure their illnesses;

He did not ask for donations;

He did not ask the people to worship Him;

He did not say that He was going to die for their sins.

What He did do, was to teach the following lessons in Matthew chapters 5, 6 and 7.

The teaching of Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus that salvation is a free gift which includes 'cheap' grace of easy believerism and justified by faith is an abomination to Yahweh – Salvation is free in the terms that you cannot buy it ... however it is not free in the essence that 'we do nothing' – however it is obtained by obedience and faith that has works (actions) and the grace of truth leading us to repentance and obediencenot passively as Paul would have you believe.

Yahshua died for the sin of the world which is **lawlessness** *1 John 3:4 Whoever commits sin transgresses also the law, for sin is the transgression of the law.* – so we have come into an understanding of truth we repent of our lawlessness, believe and follow the path that Yahshua says for He is the way, the truth and the life.

Which is keeping Yahweh's laws/commandments remembering to keep the Holy Shabbat, loving Yahweh and loving each other. This takes diligence and effort and this is only the beginning the entrance into eternal life – we are required to pick up our cross (stake) and follow Him. The rewards are too great for Paul's cheap and easy grace and believerism. False teaching and false doctrine would have us believe otherwise.

This is just the tip of so much that is true ... and shows us how false and misrepresenting Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus 'gospel' is. Sadly there is not even a comparison There is nothing mystical or Gnostic about Yahweh's gospel and the understanding that the saved of Yahweh will return to this earth to rule and reign with his Son Yahshua says a lot. We are not seated complacently in heavenly places ... we are feet on the ground, get stuck in believing an earthy gospel that Yahshua said a child can understand ... earthy by nature and spiritual by instruction = OBEDIENCE.

What part of being obedient and keeping Yahweh's Laws do we <u>not</u> understand??? The tragedy is that by following the wrong gospel which is Paul's gospel we are blinded to the truth – and we cannot serve two masters.

Shaul Paul of Tarsus the liar says:

Rom 16:25 Now to him that is of power to stablish you according to my evangel, and the preaching of Yahushua the Messiah, according to the revelation of the mystery, which was kept secret since the world began.

16:26 But now is made manifest, and by the scriptures of the prophets, according to the commandment of the everlasting Elohim, made known to all nations for the obedience of faith: FAITH EH?

1 Cor 2:7 But we speak the wisdom of **YHWH** in a mystery, even the hidden wisdom, which **YHWH** ordained before the world unto our glory:

2:8 Which none of the princes of this world knew: for had they known it, they would not have crucified the King of glory.

Yahshua says:

Matt 13:34 All these things spake **Yahushua** unto the multitude in **parables**; and without a **parable** spake he not unto them:

13:35 That it might be fulfilled which was spoken by the prophet, saying, I will open my mouth in parables; I will utter things which have been kept secret from the foundation of the world.

Psalm 78:1 Give ear, O my people, to my law: incline your ears to the words of my mouth.

78:2 I will open my mouth in a parable: I will utter dark sayings of old:

78:3 Which we have heard and known, and our fathers have told us.

78:4 We will not hide them from their children, shewing to the generation to come the praises of **YHWH**, and his strength, and his wonderful works that he hath done.

78:5 For he established a testimony in Jacob, and appointed a law in Israel, which he commanded our fathers, that they should make them known to their children:

78:6 That the generation to come might know them, even the children which should be born; who should arise and declare them to their children:

78:7 That they might set their hope in Elohim, and not forget the works of El, but keep his commandments:

AMAZING EH ... NO SECRET GOSPEL AND WE STILL KEEP HIS COMMANDMENTS ...

Matthew 13:34-43 reads,

13:34 Jesus spoke all these things in parables to the multitudes; and without a parable, he didn't speak to them, 13:35 that it might be fulfilled which was spoken through the prophet, saying,

''I will open my mouth in parables;

I will utter things hidden from the foundation of the world.''

Paul says IT IS HIS GOSPEL that has been hidden from the foundation of the world

^{13:36}Then Jesus sent the multitudes away, and went into the house. His disciples came to him, saying, "Explain to us the parable of the darnel of the field."

13:37 He answered them, "He who sows the good seed is the Son of Man, 13:38 the field is the world; and the good seed, these are the sons of the kingdom; and the darnel are the sons of the evil one. 13:39 The enemy who sowed them is the devil. The harvest is the end of the age, and the reapers are angels. 13:40 As therefore the darnel is gathered up and burned with fire; so will it be in the end of this age. 13:41 The Son of Man will send out his angels, and they will gather out of his kingdom all things that cause stumbling, and those who do lawlessness, 13:42 and will cast them into the furnace of fire. There will be weeping and the gnashing of teeth. 13:43 Then the righteous will shine forth like the sun in the kingdom of their Father. He who has ears to hear, let him hear.

Quote: The Son of Man will send out his angels, and they will gather out of his kingdom all things that cause stumbling, and those who do lawlessness, 13:42 and will cast them into the furnace of fire. There will be weeping and the gnashing of teeth. (And we thought that there was a rapture for the 'saved by grace brigade'... think again!!)

PAUL THE COWARD

I think it is important here to mention how Yahshua taught his apostles not to worry when they were arrested and to appear before the courts – governors and kings

Mark 13:11 "When they arrest you and hand you over, do not worry beforehand about what you are to say, but say whatever is given you in that hour; for it is not you who speak, but it is the Holy Spirit

<u>LUKE 12:11</u> "When they bring you before the synagogues and the rulers and the authorities, do not worry about how or what you are to speak in your defense, or what you are to say; 12 for the Holy Spirit will teach you in that very hour what you ought to say."

<u>Matthew 10:19</u> "But when they hand you over, do not worry about how or what you are to say; for it will be given you in that hour what you are to say. 20 "For it is not you who speak, <u>but it is the Spirit of your Father who speaks in you</u>.

Acts 25: 9-12 ... But Festus, wishing to do the Jews a favor, answered Paul and said, "Are you willing to go up to Jerusalem and stand trial before me on these *charges?*" 10 But Paul said, "I am standing before Caesar's tribunal, where I ought to be tried. I have done no wrong to *the* Jews, as you also very well know. 11 "If, then, I am a wrongdoer and have committed anything worthy of death, I do not refuse to die; but if none of those things is *true* of which these men accuse me, no one can hand me over to them. I appeal to Caesar." 12 Then when Festus had conferred with his council, he answered, "You have appealed to Caesar, to Caesar you shall go."

So according to Yahshua's words HIS disciples would be told what to say in such a time by the Spirit of Yahweh – as you see he was offered to stand trial in Jerusalem So he obviously did not know of the promises made to Yahshua's disiplesand preferred the cop out of going to Ceasar – incidently Paul's pal Epaphroditus was Nero's secretary....

ACTS 21:13 Then Paul answered, What mean ye to weep and to break mine heart? for I am ready not to be bound only, but also to die at Jerusalem for the name of the Lord Jesus....

This sure sounds noble and brave if not outright boastful, but watch what transpires. Paul's arrest and how the Gentiles had to save him from the hands of the Jews in Acts 21:30-ch 23:22. After this he was sent to Felix to be protected from the Jews. Ch. 23:23-ch. 24:27 There he stays for some time while Felix procrastinates dealing with the issue. Then Festus comes along and is compelled to do something about Paul and asks Paul a question.

But Festus, wanting to do the Jews a favor, answered Paul and said, "Are you willing to go up to Jerusalem and there be judged before me concerning these things?" Then Paul said, "I stand at Caesar's judgment seat, where I ought to be judged. To the Jews I have done no wrong, as you very well know. For if I am an offender, or have committed anything worthy of death, I do not object to dying; but if there is nothing in these things of which these men accuse me, no one can deliver me to them. I appeal to Caesar." Then Festus, when he had conferred with the council, answered, "You have appealed to Caesar? To Caesar you shall go!" Acts 25:9-12

Caesar!!! Of all the people to seek justice from, Paul opts for appealing to the likes of Caesar Nero!! Nero! You know..., that blood thirsty tyrant who murdered untold numbers of innocent people including his own mother! And Paul says that this is where he "ought to be judged"! This is the height of hypocrisy for Paul in light of the fact that he had previously instructed the Corinthians not to seek justice from the unrighteous. All of 1 Corinthians 6:1-11 is telling... here are the highlights.

"Dare any of you, having a matter against another, go to law before the unrighteous, and not before the saints?... If then you have judgments concerning things pertaining to this life, do you appoint those who are least esteemed by the church to judge?... Now therefore, it is an utter failure for you that you go to law against one another, Why do you not rather accept wrong? Why do you not rather let yourselves be defrauded?"

1 Corinthians 6:1,4,7

Paul couldn't even practice what he preached. And what ever happened to, "I am willing to die in Jerusalem for Christ"? It was a statement of willingness to be a martyr and "accept wrong". Paul tried to explain to Festus that he didn't deserve to die because he had done nothing wrong. What martyr ever thought he deserved to die? Can anyone imagine Yahshua saying to Pilate, "I don't deserve to die. I appeal

to Caesar for justice"? Of course not! And what is just as absurd is that Paul said he was willing to go to his death if he did deserve it! How many individuals who know they deserve death are perfectly willing to go quietly to their execution? This entire picture that Paul has painted is stood on its head! True martyrs, like Yahshua, go quietly. The guilty at least protest, if not scream, all the way! It was the grandest act of cowardice Paul could have made in light of the fact that he had bragged he was willing to die as a martyr. He didn't go through with it because the bottom line for Paul was the preservation of self and the image he had made for himself among the Gentiles. As has been shown in previous chapters, Paul was terribly full of himself... even putting himself above Moses. No one who is full of themselves is truly willing to die as a martyr. They might well brag that they are, because they are full of themselves... to uplift their image in the eyes of men. But when it comes down to hard choices, self-centered men will always play the coward.

http://www.judaismyschristianity.com/coward.htm

It is not hard to see who is the true shepherd of His flock!

John 10:11-15 "I am the good shepherd; the good shepherd lays down His life for the sheep.

12 "He who is a hired hand, and not a shepherd, who is not the owner of the sheep, sees the wolf coming, and leaves the sheep and flees, and the wolf snatches them and scatters them. 13 "He flees because he is a hired hand and is not concerned about the sheep. 14 "I am the good shepherd, and I know My own and My own know Me, 15 even as the Father knows Me and I know the Father; and I lay down My life for the sheep

Shaul gave 3 different accounts of the vision he had!

LIES OF HIS VISION

Another point of contention amongst scholars is the different versions of HIS VISIONS on the road to Damascus. How can someone experience such a life changing event and then tell it differently? You would think that every detail would be burned into your mind, so as never being able to forget it. But, our man Shaul, again has problems remembering in which order things happened, he confuses what his witnesses saw and heard, and he adds or detracts from his story as necessary. Does this seem like a man who had a vision of Jesus??

Let us have a look at the differences:

Narration No. 1:

Acts 9:3-7 And as he journeyed he came near Damascus, and suddenly a light shone around him from heaven. Then he fell to the ground and heard a voice saying to him 'Saul, Saul, why are you persecuting Me?' and he said, Who are You Lord? and the Lord said, I am Jesus, whom you are persecuting. It is hard for you to kick against the goads. So he, trembling and astonished said, Lord, what do You want me to do? And the Lord said to him, Arise and go into the city, and you will be told what you must do. And the men who journeyed with him stood speechless, hearing a voice but seeing no one.

Notice that the verse includes the 'kicking against the goads' (more about this later)

Narration No: 2

Acts 22:1. While defending himself before the people of Jerusalem, Saul narrated the first narration in the Hebrew dialect;

Brethren and fathers, hear my defense which I now offer to you. Verses 6 And it came about that as I was on my way, approaching Damascus about noontime, a very bright light suddenly flashed from heaven all around me, and I fell to the ground and heard a voice saying to me, Saul, Saul, why are you persecuting Me? And I answered, Who art Thou, Lord? And He said to me, I am Jesus the Nazarene, whom you are persecuting. And those who were with me beheld the light, to be sure, but did not understand that voice of the One who was speaking to me. And I said, What shall I do, Lord? And the Lord said to me, Arise and go into Damascus; and there you will be told of all that has been appointed for you to do.

Note: In this the first and second narration, Shaul tells us that Jesus Christ simply directed him to go to the City of Damascus and there he would receive instructions from some one. In the above verse there is no comment here about '*kicking against the goads'*.

Narrative No. 3:

Acts 26:12. While defending himself before King Agrippa Saul narrates the incident in first person;

While thus engaged as I was journeying to Damascus with the authority and commission of the chief priests, at midday, O King, I saw on the way a light from heaven, brighter than the sun, shinning all around me and those who were journeying with me. And when we had all fallen to the ground, I heard a voice saying to me in the Hebrew dialect, Saul, Saul, why are you persecuting me? It is hard for you to kick against the goads. And I said, Who are Thou, Lord? And the Lord said, I am Jesus whom you are persecuting. But arise, and stand on your feet; for this purpose I have appeared to you, to appoint you a minister and a witness not only to the thing which you have seen, but also to the things in which I will appear to you; delivering you from the Jewish people and from the Gentiles, to whom I am sending you, to open their eyes so that they may turn from darkness to light and from the dominion of Satan to God, in order that they may receive forgiveness of sins and an inheritance among those who have been sanctified by faith in Me."

Surely all the warning bells should be ringing.... In order that they may receive forgiveness of sins and an inheritance among those who have been sanctified by faith in Me." What and whose gospel is this?

Note: Also in this verse 'the goads' is added. In the three narratives I have underlined what the others with him had or had not seen or heard. As it can be seen when contrasting the three stories simultaneously they all have differing narrations. In the last one there has been added to the words of Jesus a whole lot of extra details which neither of the other two contain. It seems that whoever wrote this portion really wanted to express the Gospel of Shaul rather than that of Yahshua.

The narrator of Acts is not quite sure exactly what happened nor how it affected those with Shaul. For that matter neither is Shaul who had the LIFE CHANGING EPIPHANY.

WHAT IS THE TRUTH?

IF Jesus had already given the needed guidance to Shaul and also made him the Minister then why the story of a disciple of Yahshua named Ananias breaking the news of appointment to Shaul, three or four days later in the city of Damascus, who already knew it? *Acts 22:14*

THE CONTRADICTORY RECORDS...

On this fundamental subject of the discourse between Jesus and Shaul, it is recorded in the Book of Acts:

That the men traveling with Paul, stood speechless, hearing the voice, but seeing no one. 9:7

That the men traveling with Paul, saw the light but did not hear the voice of the one who was speaking to Paul. 22: 9

That the men traveling with Saul saw the light and all fell down. 26:12

And now for the piece de resistance – remember Shaul quotes what his disembodied vision says"It is hard for thee to kick against the goads." Acts 26:14

THIS QUOTE IS PAGAN FROM 794 In Bacchae of Euripides and in Pindar's Odes, Pythia 2.94. Here we have the expression to "to kick against the goad" used of resisting the will of a 'god' already in the early fifth century before Messiah. (Bacchae was published later in 405 BCE).

Aelius Aristides specifically refers to Pindar as advising not to kick against the goads in: From Plato Concerning Rhetoric (Jebb edition, page 53, line 15).

The phase is also found in Aeschylus, Agamemnon, Line 1624. With the death of Aeschylus set arund 456 BCE, this work was also published before Euripides' Bacchae.

It is kind of strange to have a pagan quote from the mouth of 'Jesus' - don't you think? While we are on this subject of Paul plagiarising and quoting from Pagan philosophers ... here is a few more - and of course you can and should check them out for yourself.

Who wrote the following?

"Though I speak with the tongues of men and of angels, but have not love, I am become as sounding brass or a tinkling cymbal. Though I tell what is to come, and know all secrets, and all wisdom; and though I have faith strong as the storm which lifts mountains from their seat, but have not love, I am nothing. And though I bestow all my goods to feed the poor, and give all my fire that I have received from my Father, but have not love, I am in no wise profited. Love is patient, love is kind. Love is not envious, works not evil, knows not pride; is not rude, neither selfish; is slow to anger, imagines no mischief; rejoices not in injustice, but delights in justice. Love defends all, love believes all, love hopes all, love bears all; never exhausts itself; but as for tongues they shall cease, and, as for knowledge, it shall vanish away. For we have truth in part, and error in part, but when the fullness of perfection is come, that which is in part shall be blotted out. When a man was a child he spoke as a child, understood as a child, thought as a child; but when he became a man he put away childish things. For now we see through a glass and through dark sayings. Now we know in part, but when we are come before the face of God, we shall not know in part, but even as we are taught by him. Andnow remain these three: faith and hope and love; but the greatest of these is love.

It looks like a passage from 1 Cor 13 that Paul wrote...!!

It's an excerpt from the Essenes Gospel of Peace. The passage from 1 Cor 13 this is merely a PLAGIARISM of that excerpt.

Conclusion: So 1 Cor 13 is a PLAGIARISM. Keep in mind that you have been taught to believe it came from Paul. Why specifically Paul?

"Perhaps even more important is the fact that the (excerpt above from the Essene Gospel of Peace) was found hidden away in the Vatican Library and removed by a priest because he believed the world should know the truth. In fact hidden away in the Vatican Library are copies of ancient manuscripts that are far older and more pure than anything we make our biblical translations from today. When asked why the Roman Church does not make these manuscripts available to Christians today, they said that they (the church) believe that they are the sole keepers of the truth -- that these scriptures do not belong to those who are outside Roman Catholicism. They believe that genuine followers of Christ will be a part of their Church -- a priest dedicated to the furtherance of what they consider God's true Church -- and they therefore believe that they alone have been entrusted with the ultimate truths of the teachings of The Way, and the secrets of the Kingdom belong only to them."

EVEN MORE DISTURBING

Where do the following come from?

"We are his offspring"

"Work out your own salvation with fear and trembling"

"in him we live and move and have our being"

"Cretans are always liars"

"Bad company corrupts good character"

"The good that I would I do not; but the evil which I would not do, that I do"

"But if any widow has children or nephews, let them learn first to show piety at home"

Col 2:8 Beware lest any man spoil you through philosophy and vain deceit, after the tradition of men, after the rudiments of the world, and not after the Messiah

They look like passages from the letters of Paul!

You would be correct in that assumption some of the most famous passages in the pauline epistles....

They actually come directly from the pagan writings of Arastus (c.300

BCE); Epimenides (c 600BCE); Menander (c 350 BCE); Hippolytus (c 430

BCE); Terence (c 200 BCE) who were extollong the idol "Zeus". Also quoted is a passage from the Buddhist scriptures (c 500-250 BCE

AND WE ALL THOUGHT THEY WERE FROM 'the great apostle' PAUL —
HE CERTAINLY MAY HAVE HEARD FROM A DISEMBODIED SPIRIT/VISION CALLED "JESUS CHRIST" — BUT
IT IS NOT THE TRUE YAHSHUA HAMASHIYACH THE SON OF THE MOST HIGH YAHWEH ELOHIM.

Thus:

Arastus "We are his (Zeus) offspring" (Acts 17:28)
Epimenides "god (Zeus) is not far from each one of us for in him we live and move and have our being" (Acts 17:27,28)
From Epimenides "Cretans are always liars, evil brutes lazy

gluttons(Titus 1:12)

From Menander "Bad company corrupts good character" (1 Cor 15:33)

From Hippolytus "The good that I would I do not; but the evil which I would not do, that I do" (Romans 7:19)

From Terence "But if any widow has children or nephews, let them learn first to show piety at home" (1 Timothy 5:4)

From Mahaparinibanasuta Buddhist scriptures "Work out your own salvation with fear and trembling" (Phillipians 2:12)

Conclusion: We find plagiarisms and quoting from pagan philosophy in Paul's letters. Paul used these passages (and they were actually extolling another "god"), to put into his letters that the Christian Church have made you to believe are the WORD OF GOD!

Think again!! You have been SERIOUSLY deceived...

This makes 'interesting' reading 2 Cor 11:1-31

This needs to be read very carefully – you will find that Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus is defending his position and what it reveals is absolutely shocking!

If the apostles who lived, preached, ate, and drank with Jesus for so many years are all, according to Paul, lazy, misguided, hypocrites, who were not able to see the "truth" of Jesus' message as clearly as himself, and if Paul, who never met Jesus in the flesh but is the author of the majority of our New Testament, is more truly guided than all of the apostles combined because of his claimed "visions" even though he never quotes the real Jesus nor needs to learn from the apostles, but is, according to his own gospel, more truly guided than all of them despite all of this, then why did Jesus need to preach the law of Moses to mankind at all? Why did he himself observe it so strictly?

According to Paul, Jesus' only use is as a body to be hung on the cross. Jesus felt it necessary to command his followers to strictly and uncompromisingly observe the law of Moses. He even felt it necessary to live his life in strict observance of this law as a supreme example for us. He never once explicitly mentioned an original sin, an atonement, a crucifixion, a redemption, or a nullification of the law of Moses.

However, no sooner does Jesus depart this earth than Paul uses his claimed visions to completely nullify everything Jesus ever taught and practiced. He does not need to learn from the apostles, all he needs is his visions. That is indeed why he almost never quotes Jesus himself. He always resorts to his own philosophy rather than quoting Jesus.

Why then did Jesus not simply come to earth right after Adam sinned, not say a single word, quickly anger some enemies of God, let them crucify him, and have it over with quickly? Even if Jesus decided to wait thousands of years and only come 2000 years ago, then why preach a law that is going to be thrown out the window in only a couple of years? Why observe this law so devoutly himself? Why command everyone to strictly observe this law "till heaven and earth pass"? Why threaten them that anyone who would forsake a single commandment would be called "the least in the kingdom of heaven"? Is he not going to die for everyone's sins and then come back in exclusive visions to Paul and command him to nullify the law of Moses? Is he not going to come back in visions to Paul and command him to tell everyone that "a man is justified by faith without the deeds of the law."? Why not preach such a doctrine himself while he is still

among his apostles instead of waiting to first mention it to Paul in a vision after his death?

These apostles that Paul looked down upon as lazy misguided hypocrites are the selfsame apostles who had accompanied Jesus during his lifetime, who taught all of mankind (including Paul himself) the teachings of Jesus and who endured the persecution of many (including Paul himself) to convey this message without compromise, as Jesus had directly taught it to them.

The Pauline Church (the Roman Catholic church which later gave birth to other churches such as the Protestant church) was to later go on and officially adopt the doctrine of the Trinity a couple of centuries after the departure of Jesus, to severely condemn, persecute, and kill any Christians who did not convert to their own personal brand of Christianity, to have presided over the death of millions of Christians who did not adopt this belief. To have presided over the destruction of many hundreds of "unacceptable" gospels (some sources claim thousands) some of which were written by the apostles themselves, and to have issued death warrants for all those found concealing them... and on and on.

In the end, Paul decided that <u>all</u> the commandments of God through Moses which Jesus had kept faithfully till the crucifixion and which the apostles had also kept were all worthless decaying and ready to vanish away and faith was all that was required, thereby completely nullifying everything his "Lord" Jesus had taught and practiced during his lifetime.

The ministry that he 'stole' was given to Peter a true apostle of Yahshua - Acts 15:7

And when there had been much disputing, Peter rose up, and said unto them, Men [and] brethren, ye know how that a good while ago God made choice

among us, that the Gentiles by my mouth should hear the word of the gospel, and believe.

Luke was mentored by Shaul and obviously utterly convinced of his apostate ministry - just like millions of souls before us and many will be after us!

Please read very carefully and prayerfully this amazing scripture and to whom it was said: John 21:15-18:

So when they had dined, Jesus saith to Simon Peter, Simon, [son] of Jonas, lovest thou me more than these? He saith unto him, Yea, Lord; thou knowest that I love thee. He saith unto him, Feed my lambs. He saith to him again the second time, Simon, [son] of Jonas, lovest thou me? He saith unto him, Yea, Lord; thou knowest that I love thee. He saith unto him, Feed my sheep. He saith to him again the second time, Simon, [son] of Jonas, lovest thou me? He saith unto him, Yea, Lord; thou knowest that I love thee. He saith unto him, Feed my sheep. Verily, verily, I say unto thee, When thou wast young, thou girdedst thyself, and walkedst whither thou wouldest: but when thou shalt be old, thou shalt stretch forth thy hands, and another shall gird thee, and carry [thee] whither thou wouldest not.

John 10:16:

And <u>other sheep</u> I have, which are not of this fold: them also I must bring, and they shall hear my voice; and there shall be <u>one fold, [and] one shepherd</u> (not fractions of some 33.000 plus so called christian denominations – whose founder is no less then Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus.)

Who did Yahshua tell to feed his sheep?

To whom did he say He would build His 'church' (called out - assembly)? Matthew 16:18

HAS THE LAW BEEN ABROGATED?

What is sin?

Sin is missing the mark = Lawlessness (THE SIN OF THE WORLD John 1:29) (not obeying the Torah)

Obedience to the Torah = is hitting the mark and the entrance to eternal life.

THE LAW HAS <u>NOT</u> BEEN DONE AWAY WITH IT IS STILL REQUIRED FROM ALL PEOPLES ... ON THE FACT WHETHER YOU ARE KEEPER OF THE LAW OR A BREAKER OF LAW YOU WILL BE JUDGED MATTHEW 7:12-27

Therefore all things "whatsoever ye would that "men should" do to you, "do ye even so to them: for this is the "law and the prophets.

- 13 Enter ye in at the ^astrait ^bgate: for wide is the gate, and broad is the way, that leadeth to ^cdestruction, and many there be which go in thereat:
- 14 Because astrait is the gate, and narrow is the way, which leadeth unto life, and few there be that find it.
- 15 Beware of a false prophets, which come to you in b sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves.
- 16 Ye shall aknow them by their fruits. Do men gather grapes of thorns, or figs of thistles?
- 17 Even so every good tree bringeth forth agood fruit; but a corrupt tree bringeth forth evil fruit.
- $18\,A\,good\,tree\,cannot\,bring\,forth\,evil\,fruit,\,neither\,can\,a\,corrupt\,tree\,bring\,forth\,good\,fruit.$
- 19 Every tree that bringeth not forth good afruit is hewn down, and cast into the fire.
- 20 Wherefore by their afruits ye shall know them.
- 21 Not every one that "<u>saith</u> unto me, ^b<u>Lord,</u> Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that '<u>doeth</u> the ^d<u>will</u> of my Father which is in "<u>heaven</u>.
- 22 Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, have we not "prophesied in thy name? and in thy bname have cast out devils? and in thy name done many wonderful works?
- 23 And then will I profess unto them, I <u>never</u> ak new you: bd epart from me, ye that work c iniquity. This is a very bad translation the correct word is $\underline{LAWLESSNESS}$.
- 24 Therefore <mark>whosoever ^aheareth these sayings of mine</mark>, and ^bdoeth them, '<u>I</u> will liken him unto a ^dwise man, which 'built his house upon ^fa rock:
- 25 And the "rain descended, and the floods came, and the winds blew, and beat upon that house; and it fell not: for it was founded upon a rock.
- 26 <u>And every one that heareth these sayings of mine, and **doeth them not,** shall be likened unto a ^afoolish man, which built his house upon the sand:</u>

<u>27 And the rain descended, and the floods came, and the winds blew, and beat upon that ^ahouse; and it ^bfell: and great was the fall of it.</u>

Hearing and obeying is the foundation of the house that will endure all that comes against it, and wise is the man who builds on that rock. But foolish is the man who builds his house on those who hear but do not obey. That house will not withstand the test. The floods of deception and the shifting winds of false doctrine will prevail against that house.

For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one **jot** or one **tittle** shall in <u>no</u> wise pass from the law, till all be fulfilled MATTHEW 5:18

And it is easier for heaven and earth to pass, than one tittle of the law to fail. LUKE 16:17

AS YAHSHUA SAID .. IF YOU <u>LOVE ME</u> KEEP <u>MY</u> <u>COMMANDMENTS</u>JOHN 14:15

If ye keep my commandments, ye shall abide in my love; even as I have kept myFather's commandments, and abide in his love. JOHN 15:10

MATT 19:17 And he said unto him, Why callest thou me good? [there is] none good but one, [that is], God: but if thou wilt enter into life, keep the commandments

REV 14:12 Here is the patience of the saints: here [are] they that keep the commandments of God, and the faith of Jesus

REV 14:13 And I heard a voice from heaven saying unto me, Write, Blessed [are] the dead which die in the Lord from henceforth: Yea, saith the Spirit, that they may rest from their labours; and their works do follow them

(NO SAVED BY GRACE HERE ...)

REV 22:12 And, behold, I come quickly; and my reward [is] with me, to give every man according as his work shall be. (NO SAVED BY GRACE HERE...)

REV 22:14 Blessed [are] they that do his commandments, that

they may have right to the tree of life, and may enter in through the gates into the city.

(NO SAVED BY GRACE HERE...)

When asked about the first commandment of all, **YAHshua** said, "And one of the scribes came, and having heard them reasoning together, and perceiving that **He** had answered them well, asked **Him**, Which is the first commandment of all? And **YAHshua** answered him, The first of all the commandments *is*, Hear, O Israel; **YAHWEH** our **Elohim** is one **YAHWEH**: And **thou shalt love YAHWEH** thy **Elohim with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind, and with all thy strength: this** *is* **the first commandment. And the second** *is* **like,** *namely* **this, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself**. Here is none other commandment greater than these," Mk. 12:28-31.

Therefore, the first tablet of stone with its five commandments are summed up as "Love toward YAHWEH."

This leads us to a very interesting concept for the major Hebrew word for love is "ahavah" which in Hebrew looks like this: \[\begin{align*} \begin{align*}

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{II} \\ \mathbf{IV} \\ \mathbf{V} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{1} \mathbf{5} + \mathbf{1} \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{1} \mathbf{5} + \mathbf{1} \mathbf{5} + \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{13}$$

$$= (\mathbf{LOVE} \ \mathbf{TO} \ \mathbf{YAHWEH})$$

VI
VIII
VIII
IX
X
$$= 77(5) + 77(5) + 87(1) = 13$$

 $= (LOVE\ TO\ NEIGHBOR)$
TOTAL $= 26$

YAHWEH'S name in the Hebrew is יהוה.

The Apostle John tells us, "Beloved, let us **LOVE** one another: for **LOVE** is of **YAHWEH**; and every one that loveth is born of **YAHWEH**, and knoweth **YAHWEH**. He that loveth not knoweth not **YAHWEH**; for **YAHWEH** is **LOVE**," 1 Jn. 4:7-8.

YAHWEH'S name is the sum total of the commandments. It is He Who has complete and total power over this law of **LOVE**. He is the One Who is able to faithfully fulfil it.

1JOHN 3:7-10

7 Little children, let no man deceive you; he who practices righteousness is righteous, just as He is righteous.

8 He who commits sin is of the devil, for the devil has sinned from the beginning. For this purpose the Son of Yahweh was manifested; that He might destroy the works of the devil.

9 Whoever is begotten of Yahweh does not commit sin; for His seed remains in Him; and it is possible for him not to sin, because he has been begotten of Yahweh.

10 In this the children of Yahweh and the children of the devil are manifest.

Whoever does not practice righteousness is not of Yahweh, and he does not love his neighbor!

Matt 19:17

But He said to him: Why do you question Me about righteousness? There is only One Who is the standard of perfection, and that is Yahweh; so if you would enter into life, keep the Laws of Yahweh.

Deuteronomy 6:25

And it will be OUR RIGHTEOUSNESS, if we observe to do all of this Law before Yahweh our Father, as He has commanded us.

Christianity was/is the 'apostate child' of Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus...

Yahshua never came to start another religion -

It was Shaul's disciples that were called Christains in Antioch Acts 11:26

And the disciples of Yahweh were called 'The Way' . Acts 9:2

However in the light of truth, the word 'christian' is aptly named – it certainly is a curse in the eyes and law of Yahweh! For it transgresses the law and follows a false god and has created a false mystical jesus christ who has absolutely no bearing to the true Messiah who was sent by Yahweh.

Shaul also stole the ministry from Peter who was given the command to preach to the gentiles. What message did Shaul carry to the Jews and the Gentiles?

A mystery religion .. that he had revealed only to him? He preached a false gospel of a mythical god ... who was in fact based on gnosticism, Mithraism and Zeus. He preached that the law was abrogated – what kind of gospel is this?

Certainly not one given to the true apostles – they were commissioned to preach truth <u>WHICH WAS</u>
<u>ABOUT THE KINGDOM OF GOD/HEAVEN</u> and the entry in to this Kingdom is repentance,
<u>obedience and keeping Yahweh's Laws/Commandments</u>

Who was given the command to feed His sheep – teaching all that Yahshua had commanded him, as were the other apostles? It was <u>not Shaul.</u>

There was a criteria for being an Apostle and Peter states it loud and clear: Acts 1:22 Beginning from the baptism of John, unto that same day that he was taken up from us, must one be ordained to be a witness with us of his resurrection

Was SHAUL OF TARSUS? Does the appearance of a disembodied spirit surrounded by a bright light count as being a witness to His resurrection ..when no one but himself could vouch for this apparition?

These are the words of Yahshua *John5:30* "If I testify about <u>myself</u>, my testimony <u>is not valid</u>. There is <u>another who testifies in my favor</u>, and I know that his testimony about me is valid.

Just on biblical basis alone Shaul was not a witness to the resurrection and he had no witnesses to come forward to state that his vision was true! ... Where does that leave Paul? All Shaul ever did was testify about himself

Paul again lying and giving the impression he was a witness to the resurrection of Yahshua. 1 Cor 15:7-8 After that, he was seen of James; then of all the apostles. And last of all he was seen of me also, as of one born out of due time.

Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus the boaster:

Romans 11:13 For I speak to you Gentiles, inasmuch as I am the apostle of the Gentiles, I magnify mine office:

2 Cor 10:8 For though <u>I should boast</u> somewhat more of our authority, which YHWH hath given us for edification, and not for your destruction, I should not be ashamed:

1 Cor. 15:9:10 For I am the least of the apostles, who am not worthy to be called an apostle, because I persecuted the church of God. But by the grace of God I am what I am, and His grace toward me was not in vain; but I labored more abundantly than they all....

there are many more passages....

Note what Yahshua says: John 5:43 I am come <u>in my Father's name</u>, and ye receive me not: if another shall come *in his own name*, him ye will receive.

JOHN 1:31 If I bear witness of myself, my witness is not true

JOHN 1:32 There is <u>another that beareth witness of me</u>; and I know that the witness which he witnesseth of me is true.

JOHN 1:33 Ye sent unto John, and he bare witness unto the truth

JOHN 1: 34 But I receive not testimony from man: but these things I say, that ye might be saved.

Shaul was the biggest deceiver the world has ever known - it is breathtaking how he has deceived – including me and you!

The people in the Bible are not just 'characters' - they were real flesh and blood people and lived just like you and me, they ate, slept, walked, talked. They are not just paper characters in a book they were living breathing people, who raised families, earned a living, brought and sold at markets, felt the sun and wind on their faces, they had emotions, had their fears and doubts, went to the temple and synagogue, they fought the same battles as we do, (as Solomon says there is nothing new under the sun) they also lived in an incredible and turbulent time of history. As we do....

One must read carefully the responses that other apostles wrote to and about Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus - when your eyes are opened to truth you will see the desperate <u>warnings</u> they were giving against the Apostle Shaul in <u>their letters</u> – and Shaul 'Paul's vicious response - leaves us in no doubt of

their desperate concern at this man wrecking havoc with their apostolic ministry. It is all there for those whose eyes will see and whose ears will hear!! These letters were not sent to you and me, they were sent to the elders or churches that were being deceived by Shaul of Tarsus. THEY WERE WARNINGS

When Paul shows up on the scene calling himself an Apostle, He has not met any of qualifications that is required of an apostle, other than his claim that through a **bright**

light from heaven he was chosen by Yahshua. Luke's accounting of this miracle conversion claims that there were witnesses, however not one of them provided a written verification of the event. All we are left with is Paul's claim to his good friend and follower Luke. For anyone to claim this miracle conversion as truth, they would have to acknowledge that Paul was the 13th Apostle, yet Revelation tells us there would only ever be twelve Apostles of the Lamb.

For any person to claim belief in The Yahshua and accept Paul as a true Apostle of the Lamb, declares themselves within a position that defies all common sense, reasoning, evidences and accountability.

Was Paul an Apostle? Of course he was! As Paul himself stated, the proof that he is an Apostle is that the masses of the people of the world accepted him as an Apostle. The masses of the people of the world still follow his spirit and doctrine, and still accept him as an Apostle, almost two thousand years later. He is still in complete control of Christianity.

However we have seen that Paul could not possibly have been an Apostle of Yahshua. We have seen that Paul taught a different Gospel than that of Yahshua and he declared his Gospel as the Gospel of Christ. We have seen that the head of the true church rejected Paul, and we have seen that the head of the churches that Paul started overpowered and eliminated the true churches of Yahshua.

Paul has most definitely proven himself an Apostle. Paul has also most definitely proven that he is not an Apostle of Yahshua.

Who was Paul an Apostle to? What are the options? "I beheld Satan as lightning fall from Heaven"

He preached a false gospel which has ensnared millions: Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus is – "THE SERPENT IN THE GOSPEL...."

He put himself up as 'god/messiah' and preached that the law had been abrogated Gal 3:22-25 Rom 4:14 Rom 7:6 (to many to mention)
He preached a false gospel
Rom 16:25 (to many to mention)
He invented a new gospel the just shall live by faith
Rom 1:17 and misquotes Hab2:4
He preached that we are saved by grace — without the law
Eph 2:5 Eph 2:8 Rom 11:5 Titus 2:11 (and others)

He abrogated the Torah and invented a new law - The Law of Christ

Gal 5:11-26 1 Cor 9:21 Gal 2:21 Gal 6:2 (and others)

He preached that eating food presented to idols was no big thing et al.

1 Cor 8:4

He created the 'mystical body of Christ ...'

Rom 11:4-5 Rom 12:5 1 Cor 12:27 1 Cor 10:16 Col 1:18 (to many to mention)

He preached that believers were the temple of the holy Spirit

1 Cor 6:19 1 Cor 3:16

He created an entirely new version of the "New Covenant"

Truth: Luke 22:14 -20 Matt 26:29 Mark14:22-25

Paul's 1 Cor 11:23 -30 1Cor 10:16-22

He invented the lie - there are none righteous

Rom 3:10

He plagerized others

1 Cor 13

He quoted pagan philosophers

Acts 17:27-28 1 Cor 15:33 Rom7:19 (and others)

He also cursed Yahweh and His Son Yahshua

Gal 1:8-9

He also stated that the Yahweh's Law was a curse

Gal 3:10 Gal 3:13

He states that keeping the Sabbath and feast days are not necessary

Col 2:16-17

He accuses Yahshua's apostles of being false apostles of Christ, deceitful workers

2 Cor 11:13

He accuses them of being satanic and posing as angels of light

2 Cor 11:14

He states that he became a father through his gospel

1 Cor 4:15

He handed people over to satan and murdered them

1 Tim 1:20 1 Cor 5:5

He said 'follow me and MY gospel'

...(can the blind lead the blind)?

2 Tim 2:8 Rom 2:16 Matt 15:14

. Only when your eyes are opened by Yahweh's Spirit of truth to his horrendous false gospel do you see the massive abyss in front of you.

Shaul the Pharisee follows after his 'father' he is a liar, murderer and a deceiver – who will receive his just reward!

Paul believed the crucifixion of Jesus for our sins was the crucifixion of the world, which would soon end. The new age is an age of the spirit, so a God's words spoken as a man were irrelevant. Paul knew better than God. Paul maintained Jesus had been resurrected from the dead, glorified with the title Lord of God Himself, and sat in heaven on God's right hand. The death of a sinless man atoned for human sin, so people could be magically righteous, and be eligible for entry into heaven.

Jesus, had **not** taught this.

Jesus's teaching was no effortless magical cure for sin. His cure was repentance and strictly upright living until the world ended. A big effort was needed, but it was worth it. Salvation for Christ was not easy.

Paul made it as easy as a declaration of faith in Christ. Christians had a hard option offered by God, and an easy one offered by Paul. They took the easy one unconcerned what God thought of it.

In 2 Corinthians 11:1-12, Paul is manic in his own defence, and in his vigour is clear what his opponents' charges against him are. Central is that his overweening conceit has distorted his thinking, that he is a foolish boaster, and Paul sarcastically accepts the charges to reply to them. Among the charges he attempts to refute in 2 Corinthians are:

- his boasting is unbounded (10:8,13,15;11:23)
- he is insane (stronger than "foolish") with boasting (12:11)
- 3. he is deceitful (12:16)
- 4. he did people wrong (7:2;12)
- 5. he is unreliable (1:17-18)6. he is weak (10:1;11:21)
- 7. though clever, he admits he is no speaker (11:6)
- 8. he has no personal presence (10:1,10)
- he can achieve nothing with worldly weapons (10:2f)
- 10. his measure is false (10:13)
- 11. his experience or evidence is dubious (10:15)
- 12. he alters God's word (4:2)
- 13. he hides his true message (4:3)
- 14. He preaches himself (4:5)
- 15. He denigrates Jews (11:23f) but boasts of being one (11:22).

His defence exacerbates and illustrates the accusations. The "pillars" at Jerusalem had the authority of Christ, and few scholars disagree, so Paul just defies them. Plainly he was not appointed by Christ as an apostle but says he is no less than those who were because of claimed visions that no one else can confirm—Christians cannot resist anything that cannot be verified!

Pauls reasoning is: "He is not a false apostle, the true apostles are. He does not change God's words, they do. He does not accept payment, they do. Just in case his visions of Christ are not impressive enough he has been to the third heaven! Hellenistic "pneumatics" made claims like this to uphold their claim to the secret knowledge of gnosis. It shows Paul is a Gnostic or is appealing to Gnostic allies in the Corinthian church against the representatives of the mother church in Jerusalem."

Paul argues that his ecstatic visions are superior to personal knowledge of the incarnated God. The chosen apostles show he is unworthy because he had persecuted them when they were being midwife to the new born church. Had Paul been more successful, there would not have been a church! Paul felt the impact of this accusation strongly and boasted in argument (1 Cor 15:8) that God's grace was responsible, and he had worked harder than the apostles had.

The pseudo-Clementine writings give the attitude of the Ebionites, the Jewish Christians who emerged from the residue of the Essenes after the Jewish wars. Paul in these works is identified with Simon Magus. He is the enemy, the antiChrist! He was a pseudo-apostle who taught apostasy from the law of Moses and a gospel of lies. This Ebionite work vigorously defends the original chosen apostles of Jesus. Indeed, a thirteenth apostle is as impossible as a thirteenth month in a year (*Recognitions* 4:35). Peter is shown as upholding the principle expressed by him in Acts when a replacement was chosen to replace Judas—apostles had to bear witness to Jesus in his lifetime when he was teaching and preaching his gospel, the earthly Jesus! A vision was subjective. No one else could verify it, so it could have been a boast, an illusion, or even a satanic trick. Christ, alive for over thirty years and ministering for several years with twelve apostles and a crowd of other disciples and followers, could not have been a boast, a trick or a vision. Those who witnessed Christ in action did in the presence of the others, so they all automatically verified each other. It could not apply to a lone man who could make any outlandish claims that he liked, and did. http://www.askwhy.co.uk/christianity/0582PaulChristianity2.php

He laments to Timothy that all Asia had turned against him ...

2 Tim 1:15 This thou knowest, that all they which are in Asia be turned away from me; of whom are Phygellus and Hermogenes.

Let us hear what Yahshua has to say about his church in Ephesus –Rev 2:2 I know thy works, and thy labour, and thy patience, and how thou canst not bear them which are evil: and thou hast tried them which say they are apostles, and are not, and hast found them liars:

Who was the apostle for Ephesus and who was affiliated with him....???

<u>YAHSHUA'S CONFIRMATION OF WHAT IS YAHWEH'S WORD – SCRIPTURE:</u>

written in the law of Moses, and [in] the prophets, and [in] the psalms, concerning me. Then opened he their understanding, that they might understand the scriptures, And said unto them, Thus it is written, and thus it behoved Christ to suffer, and to rise from the dead the third day: And that repentance and remission of sins should be preached in his name among all nations, beginning at Jerusalem.

THIS IS SCRIPTURE THIS IS WHAT IS VERIFIED AND QUOTED BY YAHSHUA plus HIS OWN WORDS WHICH HE HEARD FROM HIS FATHER YAHWEH.

Deuteronomy 13:1-11 reads,

13:1 If there arise in the midst of you a prophet, or a dreamer of dreams, and he give you a sign or a wonder,

13:2 and the sign or the wonder come to pass, whereof he spoke to you, saying, Let us go after other gods, which you have not known, and let us serve them;

13:3 you shall NOt listen to the words of that prophet, or to that dreamer of dreams: for

<u>Yahweh your</u>

God proves you, to know whether you love Yahweh your God with all your heart and with all your soul.

13:4 You shall walk after Yahweh your God, and fear him, and keep his commandments, and obey his voice, and you shall serve him, and cleave to him.

13:5 That prophet, or that dreamer of dreams, shall be put to death, because he has spoken rebellion against Yahweh your God, who brought you out of the land of Egypt, and redeemed you out of the house of bondage, to draw you aside out of the way which Yahweh your God commanded you to walk in. So shall you put away the evil from the midst of you.

13:6 If your brother, the son of your mother, or your son, or your daughter, or the wife of your bosom, or your friend, who is as your own soul, entice you secretly, saying, Let us go and serve other gods, which you have not known, you, nor your fathers;

13:7 of the gods of the peoples who are round about you, near to you, or far off from you, from the one end of the earth even to the other end of the earth;

13:8 you shall not consent to him, nor listen to him; neither shall your eye pity him, neither shall you spare, neither shall you conceal him:

13:9 but you shall surely kill him; your hand shall be first on him to put him to death, and after wards the hand of all the people.

13:10 You shall stone him to death with stones, because he has sought to draw you away from Yahweh your God, who brought you out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage.

13:11All Israel shall hear, and fear, and shall not do any more such wickedness as this is in the midst of you.

Paul, through his letters to the assemblies, has enticed them to go and serve other gods, away from Yahweh's way and word! Yahweh has allowed Paul to so entice them. Why? To try those who profess to be his people, to prove them, to know whether they love Yahweh with all their heart and with all their soul. Yahweh has already given those who profess to be his people his voice and his commandments, adjuring them to abide by these and to fear him.

Choose Between Yahweh And Paul

Those professing to be servants of Yahweh must therefore choose whether they will abide by Yahweh's word and follow him, or whether they will be enticed by Paul's seductive words and depart from Yahweh and proceed to serve other gods and ways different and contrary to Yahweh's.

It is a time of decision-making, choosing between Yahweh on the one hand, and Paul on the other hand.

Yahweh's Penalty For The Likes Of Paul

Yahweh's penalty for the likes of Paul is DEATH – for he has sought to draw and entice Yahweh's people away from serving and following Yahweh and into serving and following another god different and contrary to Yahweh! He has also attributed his own words and opinions contrary to Yahweh's word to Yahweh, thereby again incurring Yahweh's DEATH penalty on another ground!

Paul was not an apostle or servant facilitating the LIFE Yahweh provides through his Son Yahshua, but was an apostle or servant facilitating DEATH to those he enticed to his way of rebellion against Yahweh's word and way.

Yah'shua's Warning To Those Who Believe In Him Matthew 24:4-5 reads.

24:4 Jesus answered them, "Be careful that no one leads you astray.

24:5For many will come in my name, saying, 'I am the Christ,' and will lead many astray. Paul purportedly came in the way of Christ, verbally acknowledging that Yahshua indeed was the Christ. Nevertheless, he has indeed led many astray, away from Yahweh's word and ways, through his traditions contrary to Yahweh's word and ways! It is the likes of Paul that those professing to follow Yahweh through his Son Yahshua should be wary of.

Yah'shua's Words Identify Paul As A False Prophet Matthew 7:15-23 reads,

7:15"Beware of false prophets, who come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly are ravening wolves.

7:16 By their fruits you will know them. Do you gather grapes from thorns, or figs from thistles?

7:17 Even so, every good tree brings forth good fruit; but the corrupt tree brings forth evil fruit.

7:18 A good tree can't bring forth evil fruit, neither can a corrupt tree bring forth good fruit.

7:19 Every tree that doesn't grow good fruit is cut down, and thrown into the fire.

7:20 Therefore, by their fruits you will know them.

7:21 Not everyone who says to me, 'Lord, Lord,' will enter into the Kingdom of Heaven; but he who does the will of my Father who is in heaven.

7:22 Many will tell me in that day, 'Lord, Lord, didn't we prophesy by your name, by your name cast out demons, and by your name did many mighty works?'

7:23Then I will tell them, 'I never knew you. Depart from me, you who work iniquity.'

Paul's fruits, the traditions that he delivered to the various assemblies, have revealed the kind of prophet or servant that he was – A FALSE ONE! For his fruits were different and contrary to those of Yahweh and his Son Yahshua! His fruits were not good, but were corrupt and evil! Through the revelation contained in Yahweh's word, including those of Yah'shuah, Paul has been identified as a FALSE PROPHET!

Yahshua also reveals that prophesying by his name, or casting out demons by his name, or doing many mighty works by his name, such as performed by Paul, is no guarantee to entering the kingdom of heaven. Those to enter are those who do Yahweh's will, rather than those who work iniquity. Yahweh's people should therefore not be hoodwinked by the mighty works performed by anyone. What they should instead do is to assess the fruits of such ones. When they find their fruits to be good – in harmony with Yahweh and his word, they should receive such. But when they find that their fruits are bad – out of harmony with Yahweh and his word, they should do away with such ones, even if and when such ones perform many mighty works in Yahshuah's name! It is Yahweh that we are to serve, worship and follow, and not other gods or persons, not even if such a person is Paul!

Follow Yahweh's Words Rather Than Contrary Human Traditions

Matthew 15:1-14 reads.

15:1 Then Pharisees and scribes came to Jesus from Jerusalem, saying,

15:2"Why do your disciples disobey the tradition of the elders? For they don't wash their hands when they eat bread."

15:3 He answered them, "Why do you also disobey the commandment of God because of your tradition?

15:4 For God commanded, 'Honor your father and your mother,' and, 'He who speaks evil of father or mother, let him be put to death.'

15:5 But you say, 'Whoever may tell his father or his mother, "Whatever help you might otherwise have gotten from me is a gift devoted to God,"

15:6 He shall not honor his father or mother.' You have made the commandment of God void because of your tradition.

15:7 You hypocrites! Well did Isaiah prophesy of you, saying,

15:8'These people draw near to me with their mouth, And honor me with their lips; But their heart is far from me.

15:9 But in vain do they worship me, Teaching as doctrine rules made by men."

15:10 He summoned the multitude, and said to them, "Hear, and understand.

15:11 That which enters into the mouth doesn't defile the man; but that which proceeds out of the mouth, this defiles the man."

15:12 Then the disciples came, and said to him, "Do you know that the Pharisees were offended, when they heard this saying?"

15:13 But he answered, "Every plant which my heavenly Father didn't plant will be uprooted.

15:14 Leave them alone. They are blind guides of the blind. If the blind guide the blind, both will fall into a pit."

Yahweh's true servants should not worship him in vain – drawing near to him only with their mouths and honouring him with their lips, but with hearts far from him. They should not abide by rules made by men – such as Paul's. They should instead abide by all words proceeding out of Yahweh's mouth, thereby worshipping Yahweh in spirit and in truth.

Ignore The Offensive Reactions Of The Custodians Of Human Traditions Contrary To Yahweh's Word

Yahweh's servants should also not fear the offensive reactions of the followers and custodians of human traditions contrary to Yahweh's words. These are "plants" not planted by the heavenly Father Yahweh, but instead planted by the Adversary – Satan the Devil. As they are blind guides, Yah'shua advises his disciples to leave such satanic plantings alone – ignore them! Yahweh will see to it that they are uprooted from his field at his appointed times! Excellent article written by Isaac Aluochier - Servants of Yahweh

Shaul often quoted from the Hebrew bible mostly incorrectly one example is Psalm 68:18

Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus says: Eph 4:8 Wherefore he saith, When he ascended up on high, he led captivity captive, and gave gifts unto men.

David the Psalmist says: Psalm 68:18 Thou hast ascended on high, thou hast led captivity captive: thou hast received gifts for (among/from) men; yea, [for] the rebellious also, that the LORD God might dwell [among them].

This misquote has been the cause of much deception and has caused millions to follow wrong teaching of Eph 4:11 And He gave some as apostles, and some as prophets, and some as evangelists, and some as pastors and teachers,.... This is utterly against what Yahshua taught in Matthew 23:8 But you may not be named Teacher: for one is your teacher, and you are all brothers.

Just in case you might be wondering what GIFTS Yahweh received among men:

Exodus 25:1 And the LORD spake unto Moses, saying, 2 Speak unto the children of Israel, that they bring me an offering: of every man that giveth it willingly with his heart ye shall take my offering. 3 And this is the offering which ye shall take of them; gold, and silver, and brass, 4 And

blue, and purple, and scarlet, and fine linen, and goats' hair, 5 And rams' skins dyed red, and badgers' skins, and shittim wood, 6 Oil for the light, spices for anointing oil, and for sweet incense, 7 Onyx stones, and stones to be set in the ephod, and in the breastplate. 8 And let them make me a sanctuary; that I may dwell among them. 9 According to all that I show thee, after the pattern of the tabernacle, and the pattern of all the instruments thereof, even so shall ye make it....

ARE YOU GOING TO BELIEVE THE LIAR OR THE GIVER OF TRUTH – YAHWEH?

Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus damning statement that man is justified by faith, is heinous and a false promise of a misquote from the Hebrew scripture Hab 2:4. It is lawlessness that all human kind is judged by. And it is by keeping the law that pleases Yahweh. No where in the gospels will you find this nonsense of being justified by faith - this is Pauline deception at its best. John the Baptist said Behold the Lamb of God who takes away the SIN of the world John 1:29. Unless we repent and turn away and follow Yahshua who told us to obey the Torah,

Yahweh's commandments - we are eternally lost. And thanks to Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus millions are!

Third trialing to shadi Tadi of Tarsas immons are.

Rom 3:28 Therefore we conclude that a man is justified by faith without the deeds of the law.

Rom 5:1 Therefore being justified by faith, we have peace with God through our Lord Jesus Christ:

Gal 2:16 Knowing that a man is not justified by the works of the law, but by the faith of Jesus Christ, even we have believed in Jesus Christ, that we might be justified by the faith of Christ, and not by the works of the law: for by the works of the law shall no flesh be justified

Gal 3:24 But that no man is justified by the law in the sight of God, [it is] evident: for, The just shall live by faith.

Another misquote: Hab 2:4 Behold, his soul [which] is lifted up is not upright in him: but the just shall live by his faith.

And the correct translation is the THE JUST SHALL LIVE BY HIS FAITHFULNESS

"For, The just shall live by faith" - This is Paul/Saul quoting from Hab_2:4 one of the most minor of the Hebrew Prophets. This passage is also quoted by Paul/Saul in Rom_1:17. His sense here is, that life appears to be promised to man only in connection with faith (according to his understanding of Hab. 2:4). Therefore he reasons, it is not by the keeping of the commandments that can lead to life. The condition of life he believes, is faith, and he lives who has this "faith." However, this is again only based on his opinion, since he provides no other Scriptural supporting evidence. Life he believes, is promised and exists only in connection with this so-called faith, and that the just or righteous man obtains "life" only in this way. Of course, he believes, it cannot be obtained by the observance of the commandments, therefore, it must be by this other scheme.

However, Paul/Saul's opinion is directly opposed to the teachings of Yahshua Messiah, who Paul/Saul never had the opportunity to directly learn from, or meet. This is unfortunate, for much of Christianity took to believing the error of Paul/Saul's translation of Hab. 2:4, and is ignoring the clear teaching of Yahshua Messiah.

Eternal Life according to Yahshua Messiah:

Mat 19:16 And, behold, coming near, one said to Him, Good Teacher, what good *thing* shall I do that I may have eternal life?

Mat 19:17And He (Yahshua) said to him, Why do you call Me good? No one is good except One, YHWH! But if you desire to enter into life, keep the commandments.

Yahshua is very clearly providing an answer here to a very specific question. Entering into "life" requires the keeping of the commandments. In other words, <u>faithfulness</u> by one's action leads to justification, not just "blind faith." Yahshua **did not** quote Hab. 2:4, or give an opinion similar to Paul/Saul's, that the requirement is "Faith" only in or for something or someone.

Paul/Saul's quote "the Just shall live by faith" is supposed to be from Hab. 2:4. Yet, in light of what Yahshua Messiah is quoted to have said, who is really correct? Is Paul/Saul correct, that obtaining life requires only "faith," or is Yahshua Messiah correct, that obtaining life requires "faithfulness"?

Paul/Saul has mistranslated the Hebrew by using "Greek sources," either deliberately or by plain stupidity, and has built the Christian doctrine of justification by faith only, on complete error. This requires faith in the Pharisee Paul/Saul, when if fact, Yahshua taught that Yahweh requires "faithfulness."

Who do you believe is correct? Is it "blind faith" as is the opinion of the self-appointed Apostle Paul/Saul from which one receives life, or is it "faithfulness as in steadfastness" as taught by Yahshua Messiah from which one inherits life? We choose the opinion of Yahshua Messiah. (See also Matt. 7: 21-29). http://assemblyoftrueisrael.com/Documents/Faithfulness.htm

Then we have James 2:24 Ye see then how that by works a man is justified, and not by faith only.

The apostles did everything they could to combat Shaul's lies - in the end his entire churches turned against him "Tim 1:5 - it is rather obvious that the Apostles letters also were received by these churches and they saw the truth and Shaul's misleading them. A prime example is Gal 3:1 O foolish Galatians, who hath bewitched you, that ye should not obey the truth, before whose eyes Jesus Christ hath been evidently set forth, crucified among you? This only would I learn of you, Received ye the Spirit by the works of the law, or by the hearing of faith? Are ye so foolish? having begun in the Spirit, are ye now made perfect by the flesh? Have ye suffered so many things in vain? if [it be] yet in vain. He therefore that ministereth to you the Spirit, and worketh miracles among you, [doeth he it] by the works of the law, or by the hearing of faith?

Great swelling words against the most High I Peter 2:18 Jude 1:16

Romans 13:8-10 Owe no man any thing, but to love one another: for he that loveth another hath fulfilled the law. For this, Thou shalt not commit adultery, Thou shalt not kill, Thou shalt not steal, Thou shalt not bear false witness, Thou shalt not covet; and if [there be] any other commandment, it is briefly comprehended in this saying, namely, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself. Love worketh no ill to his neighbour: therefore love [is] the fulfilling of the law.

Shame Paul didn't take a leaf out of his own book, handing people over to satan because they did not agree with him - cursing those including Yahweh Elohim and His Son Yahshua and His apostles and all who do not agree with his heinous gospel and belittling the chosen Apostles and Moses is not an act of love! IT IS AN ACT OF BLASPHEMY!!!

OUCH PAUL AKA SHAUL OF TARSUS GREAT SWELLING WORDS AGAINST THE MOST HIGH: Haven't we heard that hiss before ... "Hath God said..." and you now say "if there be any other commandment ..." shame on you!!

Yahshua says in Matthew 22:37-40 Jesus said unto him, Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind.

This is the **first and great commandment**.

And the **SECONO** [is] like unto it, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself. On these two commandments hang all the law and the prophets

"Love the Lord your God with all your heart and with all your soul and with all your might" is a quotation from Deuteronomy 6:5. "Love your neighbor as yourself" is from Leviticus 19:18.

These teachings predated Jesus by some 1,300 years.

This false apostle cannot even quote one of the MOST important sayings of Yahshua correctly - it is nothing short of blasphemous.

However the tragedy of reading Shaul's (All Scripture is ...) today one concludes that ALL the NT is also scripture. Though he was talking to his co-hort Timothy and others - christains or any other reader would automatically accept that All Scripture was indeed the entire NT - including Shaul's epistles. So it is a misleading statement - when he penned those word there was no new testament. And what had been written by Yahweh was indeed Scripture.

Dan 7:25 And he shall speak [great] words against the most High, and shall wear out the saints of the most High, and think to change times and laws and they shall be given into his hand until a time and times and the dividing of time

This was Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus and could also be applied to Constantine who changed the Shabbat to the first day of the week Sun day - and introduced the pagan Mithra Sun-god's 'birthday' 25th December as a Christian holiday aka the winter solstice). Mitherism did not disappear it became absorbed into Christianity...

Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus is a liar - this man speaks as his father the devil Shaul did exactly that – he claimed to be 'god' and acted like 'god'- His power is manifested in the mass global deception of millions of people that are deceived and believe all that he says is 'gospel truth' even over Yahshua's anointed words.

In his gospel he states it is **MY** gospel:

Romans 2:1 In the day when God shall judge the secrets of men by Jesus Christ according to my gospel.

Note that Shaul says the God will judge the secrets of men ..according to <u>my</u> gospel - what does Yahweh say obey the commandments <u>and live</u> Proverbs 7:2

Romans 16:25 Now to him that is of power to stablish you according to my gospel, and the preaching of Jesus Christ, according to the revelation of the mystery, which was kept secret since the world began

1 Tim 1:11 According to the glorious gospel of the blessed God, which was committed to my trust.

These statements in the 3 above verses of Paul are really serious - this man takes us for fools ... which we were all were until truth shone into our hearts and we see this lie for what it is - so now the testimony of the Messiah and 12 chosen apostles counts for nothing? And we are expected to believe that a 'vision'given to this man and secrets that were hidden - and were not revealed to Yahshua the Son of the most High?

2 Tim 2:8 Remember that Jesus Christ of the seed of David was raised from the dead according to my gospel:

You would think Yahshua never existed and there was no other apostles - when in fact there were 12 hand picked by Yahshua himself In Romans 16:25 we have an outstanding revelation of a private and mysterious gospel kept hidden since the mists of time and it is 'given' to Shaul of Tarsus. By a disembodied spirit no less. Does intelligence not speak here just a little - would not have Yahshua mentioned this to his chosen and hand picked apostles - that another apostle was going to come along and preach a wonderful new way to His Blessed Father a gospel that transends all that Messiah was saying and Messiah's gospel (given to Him by his Father) was going to be obsolete in a matter of years ...

NO, Saul cut straight across the Messiah's and his apostles teaching! And creates another gospel that according to Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus you are damned if you don't believe it - are you aware that Shaul has put himself up as "god"? The truth of this mysterious gospel is revealed further up.

NEVER, NEVER, did Yahshua say it was <u>my</u> gospel - all Messiah ever preached and taught was given to him by his Father.

Let us look at Romans 8:3 For what the law could not do, in that it was weak through the flesh, God sending his own Son in the likeness of sinful flesh, and for sin, condemned sin in the flesh:

What is this he is saying? THE <u>LIKENESS</u> OF SINFUL FLESH - meaning a figure, image, representation. What does 2 John 1:7 say about such things For many deceivers are entered into the world, who confess not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh. This is a <u>deceiver</u> and an antichrist

The only thing that our blessed Saviour Yahshua had in common with Shaul was the name. They were poles apart Yahshua's gospel is from above and Shaul's gospel is from below. They are in essence totally different beings one is the Son of the Most High – the other the son of apostacy – a mysterious deceptive mystic and a Gnostic false saviour who according to Shaul cannot even quote what he calls God's word correctly. Whose confused thinking and great swelling words spills out like vomit. Strong words but nevertheless true.

Deception does not have all bells ringing it has a 'quiet' and deadly authority that blends in with truth so you cannot tell them apart. Unless your eyes have been opened by the Spirit of Yahweh. He fulfilled exactly what Yahshua said he would do.

Matthew 7:15

Beware of **false prophets**, which come to you in sheep's clothing, but <u>inwardly</u> they are ravening wolves.

Matthew 24:11

And many false prophets shall rise, and shall deceive many.

Mark 13:22

For false Christs and false prophets shall rise, and shall shew signs and wonders, to seduce, if [it were] possible, even the elect

1 JOHN 4:1

Beloved, believe not every spirit, but try the spirits whether they are of God: because many false prophets are gone out into the world.

Consider Genesis 49:27

Benjamin shall ravin [as] a wolf: in the morning he shall devour the prey, and at night he shall divide the spoil.

Eze 22:37

Her princes in the midst thereof [are] like wolves ravening the prey, to shed blood, [and] to destroy souls, to get dishonest gain

Matthew 7:15

Beware of false prophets, which come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves.

John 10:12

But he that is an hireling, and not the shepherd, whose own the sheep are not, seeth the wolf coming, and leaveth the sheep, and fleeth: and the wolf catcheth them, and scattereth the sheep

Genesis in 49:27 states Benjamin shall "ravin as a wolf" in the morning he shall devour the prey and at night he shall divide the spoil in Rom 11:1 and Phil 3:5 Shaul states proudly that he is of the tribe of Benjamin ... Yahshua speaks again in Matthew 7:15 the unusual term "ravening wolf" appearing in sheeps clothing – A kind of fifth columnist.

"A secret subversive person that works against an organization from the inside....."

After murdering many people who followed Yahshua – as stated in Gen 49:27 ... 'ravin as a wolf' in the morning – he changed tactics and by deception 'by night he shall divide the spoil.'

Consider Gal 1:16 To reveal his Son <u>in me</u>, that I might preach him among the heathen; immediately I conferred not with flesh and blood:

Don't you think it is a strange terminology ...To reveal his son IN ME Surely it would be TO ME - here we have the classic (I am Saul the enlightened one and I do it alone syndrome)... "I conferred not with flesh and blood ..." in other words ignored the true apostles who were the true representatives of Yahshua. He was truly a deluded man, self deceived and deceiving millions.

Consider 1 Cor 3:10 According to the grace of God which is given unto me, as a wise masterbuilder, the foundation, and another buildeth thereon. But let every man take heed how he buildeth thereupon..

... a wise <u>masterbuilder</u>, <u>have laid the foundation</u> and another buildeth thereon

What does he mean ... I have laid the foundation ... of what? His own false revelation and gospel?

Isa 28:16 Therefore thus saith the Lord GOD, Behold, I lay in Zion for a foundation a stone, a tried stone, a precious corner [stone], a sure foundation: he that believeth shall not make haste.

(I do not think this is talking about Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus)

On to James who was well aware of what Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus was up to - Acts 9:26 And when Saul was come to Jerusalem, he assayed to join himself to the disciples: but they were all afraid of him, and believed not that he was a disciple - James's letter is refuting all Shaul taught is evidence they were very concerned at his teaching.

Points to consider...

- 1. There are three different accounts of Shaul's testimony of the Damascus Road Revelation that we know of in the Scriptures (probably many more that we don't even know of), the very words out of Shaul's mouth. All 3 were different accounts of the same event. These weren't translation errors, they were different stories of the same event as told by PAUL. Why the differences? Because Liars can't keep up with the truth. The Apostles didn't believe Paul's story and we shouldn't either.
- 2. After this "divine revelation" Shaul disappeared for 2 years into the wilderness to receive more and more revelations. Not to mention the 14 years he also disappeared Gal 2:1. Perhaps he thought he would follow Ezekiel 8:3.

There were never any accounts listed anywhere from any of the soldiers or witnesses who were there to confirm that this event really happened. He never sought the disciples or to learn from them Yahshua's ways and teachings directly from the men who knew Yahshua the most. He claimed by divine revelation the very falsehood that almost got him excommunicated from the church completely! He argued with the apostles that circumcision was no longer necessary and in Jesus would profit them nothing when circumcision was a command, a sign of the covenant Yahweh made with Israel! To escape excommunication, Paul relented and stopped preaching his falsehood on circumcision. In other words, Paul's revelation wasn't so divine when it was held up and scrutinized in God's Word. Paul was exposed for the fraud and liar he was!

I don't think any of the apostles realized at the time how dangerous this Shaul was going to be to the great commission. When they visited cities and towns Shaul had preached in, they spent their time undoing the damage Shaul did and setting the people straight on doctrine. In fact it got so bad the entire area of Asia completely rejected Shaul! They didn't even want to see him!

When he was thrown in prison in Rome the people wouldn't even visit him! This is confirmed by the apostles letters that also were in circulation to the new churches warning the converts about Shaul.

Yet, almost half of the New Testament today are books Paul wrote. Hindsight..would have been nice. But Yahweh allowed it to serve His own purposes. Just as He allowed the serpent to beguile Eve in the garden of Eden. To test our hearts!

I can find nowhere in his writings that Shaul points anyone to the true Messiah – all he does is points to his own satanic gospel of a mystical, secret and Gnostic Christ and threatens damnation if you don't believe or follow it. The arrogance is beyond comprehension ... have we not seen this before in Isa 14:14 I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High. This false self appointed apostle has changed the law to suit his own demonic understanding of what he calls a gospel - to deceive millions and totally abrogated the commands of Yahwah that were given to His Son Yahshua to fool us to believe he had another new mystery and secret gospel! And you think that is showing us the true Messiah???

On the commandments and eternal life

'Paul' Saul of Tarsus says:

Rom 7:9-10 For I was alive without the law once: but when the commandment came, sin revived, and I died. And the commandment, which [was ordained] to life, I found [to be] unto death.

YAHSHUA says:

Matt 19:17 And he said unto him, Why callest thou me good? [there is] none good but one, [that is], God: but if thou wilt enter into life, keep the commandments.

On the scource of Truth and the true gospel

'Paul' Saul of Tarsus says:

1 Cor 2:13 Which things also we speak, not in the words which man's wisdom teacheth, but which the Holy Ghost teacheth; comparing spiritual things with spiritual.

Gal 1:12 For I neither received it of man, neither was I taught [it], but by the revelation of Jesus Christ.

YAHSHUA says: John 17:14 I have given them thy word; and the world hath hated them, because they are not of the world, even as I am not of the world.

John 17:17 Sanctify them through thy truth: thy word is truth.

On the sum of the commandments:

'Paul' Saul of Tarsus says: Rom 13:9 For this, Thou shalt not commit adultery, Thou shalt not kill, Thou shalt not steal, Thou shalt not bear false witness, Thou shalt not covet; and if [there be] any other commandment, it is

briefly comprehended in this saying, namely, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself.

YAHSHUA says: Matt 22:37-40 Jesus said unto him, Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind.

This is the first and great commandment.

And the second [is] like unto it, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself. On these two commandments hang all the law and the prophets.

On forgiveness of trespasses

'Paul' Saul of Tarsus says: Eph 1:7

In whom we have redemption through his blood, the forgiveness of sins, according to the riches of his grace;

Rom 4:25

Who was delivered for our offences, and was raised again for our justification.

YAHSHUA says: Matt 6:14-15

For if ye forgive men their trespasses, your heavenly Father will also forgive you:

But if ye forgive not men their trespasses, neither will your Father forgive your trespasses.

On being justified

'Paul' Saul of Tarsus says: Rom 3:24 and 28

Being justified freely by his grace through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus:

Therefore we conclude that a man is justified by faith without the deeds of the law.

Rom 5:9

Much more then, being now justified by his blood, we shall be saved from wrath through him.

YAHSHUA says: Matt 12:37

For by thy words thou shalt be justified, and by thy words thou shalt be condemned.

Revelation 22:14

Blessed [are] they that do his commandments, that they may have right to the tree of life, and may enter in through the gates into the city.

On the cost of eternal life

'Paul' Saul of Tarsus says: Rom 6:23

For the wages of sin [is] death; but the gift of God [is] eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord.

YAHSHUA says: Matt 19:29

And every one that hath forsaken houses, or brethren, or sisters, or father, or mother, or wife, or children, or lands, for my name's sake, shall receive an hundredfold, and shall inherit everlasting life.

On your father:

'Paul' Saul of Tarsus says: 1 Cor 4:15

For though ye have ten thousand instructors in Christ, yet [have ye] not many fathers: for in Christ Jesus I have begotten you through the gospel.

Philemon 1:10

I beseech thee for my son Onesimus, whom I have begotten in my bonds:

YAHSHUA says: Matt 23:9

And call no [man] your father upon the earth: for one is your Father, which is in heaven.

On the destiny of the Law and the Prophets:

'Paul' Saul of Tarsus says: Rom 10:4

For Christ [is] the end of the law for righteousness to every one that believeth.

YAHSHUA says: Matt 5:17-18-19

Think not that I am come to destroy the law, or the prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfil.

For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one tittle shall in no wise pass from the law, till all be fulfilled.

Whosoever therefore shall break one of these least commandments, and shall teach men so, he shall be called the least in the kingdom of heaven: but whosoever shall do and teach [them], the same shall be called great in the kingdom of heaven.

On the number an identity of teachers:

'Paul' Saul of Tarsus says: 1 Cor 12:28

And God hath set some in the church, first apostles, secondarily prophets, thirdly teachers.....

Eph 4:11

And he gave some, apostles; and some, prophets; and some, evangelists; and some, pastors and teachers;

1 Tim 2:7

Whereunto I am ordained a preacher, and an apostle, (I speak the truth in Christ, [and] lie not;) a teacher of the Gentiles in faith and verity.

2 Tim 1:11

Whereunto I am appointed a preacher, and an apostle, and a teacher of the Gentiles.

YAHSHUA says: Matt 23:8

But be not ye called Rabbi: for one is your Master, [even] Christ; and all ye are brethren.

Of the number and identity of pastors (shepherds):

'Paul' Saul of Tarsus says: Eph 4:11

And he gave some, apostles; and some, prophets; and some, evangelists; and some, pastors and teachers;

YAHSHUA says: John 10:16

And other sheep I have, which are not of this fold: them also I must bring, and they shall hear my voice; and there shall be one fold, [and] one shepherd.

On the number and identity of master (instructors):

'Paul' Saul of Tarsus says: 1Cor 4:15

For though ye have ten thousand instructors in Christ, yet [have ye] not many fathers: for in Christ Jesus I have begotten you through the gospel.

YAHSHUA says: Matt 23:10

Neither be called masters, for one is your master, the Messiah.

Yahshua says: Who hath ears to hear, let him hear!

I am the way, the truth, and the life, no man cometh unto the Father but by me - Yahshua ha'Mashiach John 14:6

Yahshua ha'Mashiach vs 'Paul Saul of Tarsus

Malachi 2:8

But ye are departed out of the way; ye have caused many to stumble at the law; ye have corrupted the covenant of Levi, saith the LORD of hosts.

On remuneration for preaching the gospel:

'Paul' Saul of Tarsus says: 1 Tim 5:17-18

Let the elders that rule well be counted worthy of double honour, especially they who labour in the word and doctrine

For the scripture saith, Thou shalt not muzzle the ox that treadeth out the corn. And, The labourer [is] worthy of his reward.

1 Cor 9:11-12

If we have sown unto you spiritual things, [is it] a great thing if we shall reap your carnal things?

If others be partakers of [this] power over you, [are] not we rather? Nevertheless we have not used this power; but suffer all things, lest we should hinder the gospel of Christ.

Yahshua says: Matt 10:7-10

And as ye go, preach, saying, The kingdom of heaven is at hand.

Heal the sick, cleanse the lepers, raise the dead, cast out devils: freely ye have received, freely give

Provide neither gold, nor silver, nor brass in your purses,

Nor scrip for [your] journey, neither two coats, neither shoes, nor yet staves: for the workman is worthy of his meat.

Yahweh says in Deuteronomy 13 that (false) prophets and certain dreamers of dreams are there to test you.

"Yahweh your God is testing you to know if you love Yahweh your God with all your heart and all your soul" Deut 13:4

So have you looked lately INSIDE your Bible to see where you are being tested?

Whose Words do you believe are the Word of God in the Bible?

All of it or some of it - with other words put in there to test you?

For one - there are many <u>corruptions of scripture</u> that have been placed there by "lying scribes" (Jer 8:8) and you'll also notice that Yahshua chose only 12 apostles. Yet most of the church today seems to be following apostle 13, namely Paul.

When last did you compare Paul's words to those of Jesus (Yahshua) and/or to those of the rest of the Bible?

Remember Yahshua chose 12 apostles and yet He stated that one of them was a devil (John 6:70). So God can just as well choose somebody for a purpose yet that person is not one of His. You can either choose to remain ignorant or choose to learn the truth. Read your Bible and seek the true Yahweh for light on the matter. You may find that what you'll learn will answer many questions you had concerning your church or Bible and a lot else too!"

Jeremiah 8:8 How do ye say, We are wise, and the law of **YHWH** is with us? Lo, certainly the lying pen of the scribes hath made it falsehood.

"In this tragic legacy to history left by Christianity, the most tragic has been the twisting of the Holy Scriptures to fit pagan doctrines, beliefs and philosophy; rather than allowing them to fit Yahweh's Laws and prophets, the way they were originally written. The *Encyclopedia Judaica*, Volume 10, page 10, acknowledges that this is exactly what the church has done.

Both of the chief sources of the Synoptic Gospels, the old account, and the collection of Jesus' sayings, were produced in the primitive Christian congregation in Jerusalem, and were translated into Greek from Aramaic or Hebrew. They contained the picture of Jesus as seen by the disciples who knew him. The present Gospels are redactions of these two sources, which were often changed as a result of ecclesiastical tendentiousness.

Most shocking of all, the Roman Catholic Church herself <u>admits to the forgery of the Holy Scriptures</u>. *The Catholic Encyclopedia*, Volume 6, page 136, gives us this admission.

"Substitution of false documents and tampering with genuine ones was quite a trade in the Middle Ages. Innocent III (1198) points out nine species of forgery[of ecclesiastical records] which had come under his notice.

But such frauds of the Church were not confined to the Middle Ages; they begin even with the beginning of the Church and infest every period of its history for fifteen hundred years and defile nearly every document, both of "Scriptures" and of Church aggrandizement. As truly said by Collins, in his celebrated Discourse of Free Thinking: "In Short, these frauds are very common in all books which are published by priests or priestly men... For it is certain they may plead the authority of the Fathers for Forgery, Corruption and mangling of Authors, with more reason than for any of their Articles of Faith..."(p.96.)

So by forging, altering, and changing the Holy Scriptures, including the inspired record of Yahshua's teachings, Christianity has brought forth a pagan God-savior and a way of life in complete opposition to Yahweh's Laws.

One of the greatest pagan deceptions ever passed off to this world as truth by the Roman Catholic Church is that of a <u>pre-existent God-savior who is part of a trinity of Gods</u>. This very idea is **pagan in origin**.... "

The *Encyclopedia Judaica*, Volume 12, page 1061, agrees that the original versions of Volume Two of the Holy Scriptures did not teach a pre-existent Godsavior.

The spirit of Judaism is discernible even in the compositions originally written in this popular Greek. The New Testament is still far removed from the absolute deification of Jesus, and even more so from the LATER idea of the Trinity.

We will now examine some of the Scriptures the Christians were "forced" to twist and mistranslate in order to deceive their followers. One thing is certain though, they were not able to twist all of them and there are plenty of Scriptures that contradict the "Pre-Existence Fraud," even in the *King James Version...*"

http://www.fxuk.com/ (This is a superb web page very informative)

THE SAVIOUR'S NAME CHANGED FROM YAHSHUA TO JESUS

The origins of the name Greek lesous (Jesus Christ) latinized lesus are also in the false religion of Mithra and greek mythology - Zeus. The letter J was not used until the 16th century. Two factors contributed greatly to the substitution and distortion of our Saviour's Name. The first was the un-Scriptural superstitious teaching of the Jews that the Father's Name is not to be uttered, that it is ineffable, that others will profane it when they use it, and that the Name must be "disguised" outside of the temple of Jerusalem.

Because of the Father's Name being in His Son's Name, this same disastrous suppression of the Name resulted in them giving a Hellenized, in fact, a surrogate name for our Saviour. He did warn us in John 5:43, "I have come in My Father's Name...if another comes in his own name, him you will receive." Also was the strong anti-Judaism that prevailed amongst the Gentiles, as we have already pointed out. The Gentiles wanted a saviour, but not a Jewish one. They loathed the Jews, they even loathed the Elohim of the Old Testament. Thus, a Hellenized saviour was preferred. The Messianic Belief, and its Saviour, had to become Hellenized to be acceptable to the Gentiles.... Prior to that - ENTER Hellenized Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus. Who created his own Gnostic mystical 'body of Christ' The Hellenized theological school at Alexandria, led by the syncretizing, allegorizing, philosophying, Gnostic-indoctrinated Clement, Origen, (Marcion later 144 AD) was the place where everything which had become distorted because of Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus become adapted to suit the Gentiles – what Shaul started they completed.

There is no resemblance between the names of



or



The one is correct and the

other one a substitute and no holy meaning. The one contains our Father's Name and the

other one not. Yahshua has said that

He came in His Father's name, John 5:43 the meaning of the Hebrew names is pregnant with sheer TRUTH

It is unbelievable that the names of both Yahweh Elohim and Yahshua HaMachiyach are never mentioned in any translation apart from Hebrew and maybe orginally the Greek.

If you want to look for perversion in any Bible look no further then the corruption and perversion of hiding the correct names of YHWH and His beloved son. The tampering of the 'Bible' is nothing new - and what most 'Christians' do not realise that the bible was put together by the Roman Catholic Church in the 4th century, never mind Erasmus in the 15th Century who was a roman catholic priest - who was never converted. When you can smash through the 'glass ceiling' fact that the 'Bible' in it self, is not Holy and the name means 'collection of books' hence - an example a medical bible ect. And that it is OUR responsibility to find out what is TRUTH and what is not. Because man has put these books together – and many unholy men at that.

CONSIDER:

ALL Scripture IS IN THE BIBLE, BUT THE BIBLE IS NOT ALL scripture

Yahweh WILL ALWAYS TEST OUR HEARTS by "O B E D I E N C E"
Adam and Eve - Yahweh's created people failed YAHSHUA not Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus was the answer to that failure To whom you BELIEVE and follow is where your HEART is! YOU CANNOT SERVE TWO MASTERS.

Deception always looks good and like the real thing - There would be no point in putting blatent lies – the idea of an anti-christ is that it is in fact a counterfeit and appears to come along side of the truth! Paul was/is the great deceiver.

WHAT IS THE WRITTEN WORD OF YAHWEH (GOD)

is it the books of the bible as we know it?

What can we actually trust?

HIS WORD IS THE TORAH (the Law) THE PROPHETS (Nevi'im) AND THE PSALMS (Kethuvim) AND THE WORDS OF YAHWEH (WHO IS THE WORD) TO HIS SON YAHSHUA

AND YAHSHUA WORDS TO HIS CHOSEN APOSTLES

THE INSTRUCTIONS - THE COMMANDMENTS, Luke 24:44:48

Time and time again Yahshua said that we must keep the TORAH (the commandments). This is YAHWEH's gift to us mankind – it is not a legal control system – it is actually a huge gesture of LOVE. HE gave us the TORAH for our good – we can by obeying them keep in His perfect will – His Blessings and loving fellowship. (This does not exclude trials and tribulations). THIS IS YAHWEH COMMUNICATING WITH US HIS PEOPLE – FIRST TO THE HEBREWS (ISRAELITES) by MOSES AND NOW THROUGH YAHSHUA.

YET AGAIN MAN HAS A CHANCE TO OBEY AND FULLFILL THE CALLING OF YAHWEH – WE ARE INDEED THE REMENANT WHOM HE PROMISED TO CALL – THE OTHER FLOCK HE INTENDED TO DRAW IN *John 10:16...*.NOT INTO A DIFFERENT RELIGION – BUT INTO HIS FOLD THE REMEMANT OF ISRAEL.

Remember that Abraham was a gentile The nation of Israel was created entirely by Yahweh – as promised to Abraham. So coming under the Covenant (there is NO Old Covenant (it is due to Pauline lies that this situation has happened) is incredible that we should be so privileged to come under the same covenant as Abraham – the New

Covenant comes into operation on the return of Yahshua to His Kingdom. That by repentance and keeping Yahweh's commandments we can come under the awesome Covenant of our fathers – by keeping Yahweh's laws and celebrating His Shabbat and His feast days.

So precious is the Torah and keeping the Shabbat to Yahweh and his Beloved Son Yahshua – that satan has done everything in his power to stop the vital message of the true meaning and the way of salvation reaching us.

John 10:16 I have other sheep that are not of this sheep pen. I must bring them also. They too will listen to my voice, and there shall be **One flock and one shepherd**.

The Question is asked: What happens to all the people that think they have acquired eternal life through Christianity by believing in the human Sacrifice of J-sus for their sins; what would be a good answer to give them?

Answer: We do not really know what happens to them. To say that we do is simply wishful thinking and pure conjecture. We do know (from the Tanakh) that Yahweh is righteous in His judgment, for we are told that every work will be brought into judgment, with every secret thing, whether good or bad.

Yahshua hinted at a condition of paradise (a Persian word denoting the parks of Persian kings and nobles). Throughout Scripture we see the evidence of fallen man and his need for redemption. The plan for the redemption of man by the Creator is always offered through repentance and obedience all through the Tanakh, yet deception seems to be much easier for people to believe than the Truth.

Christians accept the Messiah of Israel, while leaving behind his walk, his manner of living, what he taught, and his Hebrew Scriptures (Matt. 7:21). As the Edomites were usurpers claiming the name, rights, and inheritance of True Israel, so we have the modern usurpers who claim to be Spiritual Israel through their statement of belief in J-sus and his human sacrifice.

The only way to overcome this deceptive manner of living and belief is for individuals to do their own personal research. There is nothing that anyone can say or write to convince even one to change unless they do that personal required research. Many are asleep unaware of what they are doing, mostly because they are lulled to sleep every week in their churches. Knowledge of what they believe and what is taught to them is amazingly shallow. Their misplaced trust in men who they accept as their teachers is their greatest mistake.

The bottom line is this, most people do not realize they are <u>outside of the Contract with Yahweh</u> having never been told that they must be engrafted into the family of True Israel by faithfully living according to that Contract. Until they stop and realize this basic truth, they will keep putting up Christmas trees and hunting for Easter eggs. Their faith has become an acceptable Church Standard but that standard is not the one that pleases Yahweh. Instead, most Christians follow old Heathen Pagan practices, concealed in new meanings, Matt. 7: 21.

http://assemblyoftrueisrael.com/Documents/Yahwismvschristianity.pdf

MASSIVE DECEPTION

Behold, the days come, saith the LORD, that I will make a new covenant with the house of Israel, and with the house of Judah: (no christinaity mentioned here)

Not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers in the day [that] I took them by the hand to bring them out of the land of Egypt; which my covenant they brake, although I was an husband unto them, saith the LORD:

But this [shall be] the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel; After those days, saith the LORD, I will put my law in their inward parts, and write it in their hearts; and will be their God, and they shall be my people.

And they shall teach no more every man his neighbour, and every man his brother, saying, Know the LORD: for they shall all know me, from the least of them unto the greatest of them, saith the LORD: for I will forgive their iniquity, and I will remember their sin no more.

Jer 31:31-34

Only with a huge amount of scripture twisting and perverting the scriptures can you possibly make this fit anything but what it says......the house of Israel and with the house of Judah. Nothing about any other religion ... Christian or otherwise.

Paul in 1 Corinthians 11:24-25 quotes from the Last Supper at odds with Luke's account. See Luke 22:19-20. Luke says Jesus' body is 'given' but Paul says it is 'broken.' This variance is significant. As John 19:36 mentions, Psalm 34:20 says not a bone of His shall be broken. Paul's quote is thus contradictory of Luke as well as theologically troublesome.

Taking the epistle?

"The text which has been misused to support a literal view of the entire Bible's inspiration is itself the work of an author who has lied about his identity."

- Robin Lane Fox (*The Unauthorized Version*, p136

Which ever way you cut it ... the NEW COVENANT was given to Israel and Judah. **Not** to **33,000** plus fractions of apostate christianity via Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus.

Luke 22:14 And when the hour was come, he sat down, and the twelve apostles with him.

22:15 And he said unto them, With desire I have desired to eat this passover with you before I suffer:

22:16 For I say unto you, I will not any more eat thereof, until it be fulfilled in the kingdom of YHWH.

22:17 And he took the cup, and gave thanks, and said, Take this, and divide it among yourselves:

22:18 For I say unto you, I will not drink of the fruit of the vine, until the kingdom of YHWH shall come.

22:19 And he took bread, and gave thanks, and brake it, and gave unto them, saying, This is my body which is given for you: this do in remembrance of me.

22:20 Likewise also the cup after supper, saying, This cup is the new testament in my blood, which is shed for you.

Matthew 26:26 And as they were eating, Yahushua took bread, and blessed it, and brake it, and gave it to the disciples, and said, Take, eat; this is my body.

26:27 And he took the cup, and gave thanks, and gave it to them, saying, Drink ye all of it;

26:28 For this is my blood of the new testament, which is shed for many for the remission of sins.

26:29 But I say unto you, I will not drink henceforth of this fruit of the vine, until that day when I drink it new with you in my Father's kingdom.

Mark 14:22 And as they did eat, Yahushua took bread, and blessed, and brake it, and gave to them, and said, Take, eat: this is my body.

14:23 And he took the cup, and when he had given thanks, he gave it to them: and they all drank of it.

14:24 And he said unto them, This is my blood of the new testament, which is shed for many.

14:25 Verily I say unto you, I will drink no more of the fruit of the vine, until that day that I drink it new in the kingdom of YHWH.

SHAUL 'PAUL' OF TARSUS

1 Cor 11:23 For I have received of YHWH that which also I delivered unto you, That the Master Yahushua the same night in which he was betrayed took bread:

11:24 And when he had given thanks, he brake it, and said, Take, eat: this is my body, which is broken for you: this do in remembrance of me.

John 19:36 For these things were done, that the scripture should be fulfilled, A bone of him shall not be broken.

11:25 After the same manner also he took the cup, when he had supped, saying, This cup is the new testament in my blood: this do ye, as oft as ye drink it, in remembrance of me.

11:26 For as often as ye eat this bread, and drink this cup, ye do shew Yahushua's death till he come.

11:27 Wherefore whosoever shall eat this bread, and drink this cup of Yahushua, unworthily, shall be guilty of the body and blood of Yahushua.

11:28 But let a man examine himself, and so let him eat of that bread, and drink of that cup.

11:29 For he that eateth and drinketh unworthily, eateth and drinketh damnation to himself, not discerning the body of the Master.

11:30 For this cause many are weak and sickly among you, and many sleep.

The Lord's supper was not invented by Paul, but was borrowed by him from Mithraism, the mystery religion that existed long before Christianity and was Christianity's chief competitor up until the time of Constantine. In Mithraism, the central figure is the mythical Mithras, who died for the sins of mankind and was resurrected. Believers in Mithras were rewarded with eternal life. Part of the Mithraic communion liturgy included the words, "He who will not eat of my body and drink of my blood, so that he will be made one with me and I with him, the same shall not know salvation."

The early Church Fathers Justin Martyr and Tertullian tried to say that Mithraism copied the Lord's Supper from Christianity, but they were forced to say that demons had copied it since only demons could copy an event in advance of its happening! They could not say that the followers of Mithras had copied it - it was a known fact that Mithraism had included the ritual a long time before Christ was born.

Where did Mithraism come from? The ancient historian Plutarch mentioned Mithraism in connection with the pirates of Cilicia in Asia Minor encountering the Roman general Pompey in 67 BC. More recently, in 1989 Mithraic scholar David Ulansey wrote a book, *The Origins of the Mithraic Mysteries*, in which he convincingly shows that Mithraism originated in the city of Tarsus in Cilicia. That this is also the home town of the apostle Paul cannot be a coincidence.

Paul admits that he did not know Jesus during Jesus' lifetime. He also says that **his gospel** was not taught to him by any man *Galatians 1:11-12*. All of Paul's theology is based on his own revelations, or visions. Like dreams, visions or hallucinations do not come from nowhere, but reveal what is already in a person's subconscious. It is very likely that the source of most of Paul's visions, and therefore most of his theology, is to be found in Mithraism and is another example of the church modifying the gospels to incorporate the theology of Paul, which eventually won out over the theology of Jesus' original disciples.

- 1 Cor 10:16 The cup of blessing which we bless, is it not the communion of the blood of the Messiah? The bread which we break, is it not the communion of the body of the Messiah?
- 10:17 For we being many are one bread, and one body: for we are all partakers of that one bread.
- 10:18 Behold Israel after the flesh: are not they which eat of the sacrifices partakers of the alter?
- 10:19 What say I then? that the idol is any thing, or that which is offered in sacrifice to idols is any thing?
- 10:20 But I say, that the things which the Gentiles sacrifice, they sacrifice to devils, and not to Elohim: and I would not that ye should have fellowship with devils.
- 10:21 Ye cannot drink the cup of YHWH, and the cup of devils: ye cannot be partakers of YHWH's table, and of the table of devils.
- 10:22 Do we provoke YHWH to jealousy? are we stronger than he?
- 2 Cor 3:3 Forasmuch as ye are manifestly declared to be the epistle of the Messiah ministered by us, written not with ink, but with the Spirit of the living Elohim; not in tables of stone, but in fleshy tables of the heart.
- 3:4 And such trust have we through the Messiah toward YHWH:
- 3:5 Not that we are sufficient of ourselves to think any thing as of ourselves; but our sufficiency is of YHWH;
- 3:6 Who also hath made us able ministers of the new testament; not of the letter, but of the spirit: for the letter killeth, but the spirit giveth life.
- 3:7 But if the ministration of death, written and engraven in stones, was glorious, so that the children of Israel could not stedfastly behold the face of Moses for the glory of his countenance; which glory was to be done away:
- 3:8 How shall not the ministration of the spirit be rather glorious?
- 3:9 For if the ministration of condemnation be glory, much more doth the ministration of righteousness exceed in glory.
- 3:10 For even that which was made glorious had no glory in this respect, by reason of the glory that excelleth.
- 3:11 For if that which is done away was glorious, much more that which remaineth is glorious.
- 3:12 Seeing then that we have such hope, we use great plainness of speech:
- 3:13 And not as Moses, which put a vail over his face, that the children of Israel could not stedfastly look to the end of that which is abolished:
- 3:14 But their minds were blinded: for until this day remaineth the same vail untaken away in the reading of the old testament; which vail is done away in the Messiah.
- 3:15 But even unto this day, when Moses is read, the vail is upon their heart.
- 3:16 Nevertheless when it shall turn to YHWH, the vail shall be taken away.
- 3:17 Now YHWH is that Spirit: and where the Spirit of YHWH is, there is liberty.
- 3:18 But we all, with open face beholding as in a glass the glory of YHWH, are changed into the same image from glory to glory, even as by the Spirit of YHWH.

In Romans 2:29 Paul is telling he is a jew who is inwardly and that circumcision is that which is of the heart ... we have a problem here in the fact Yahweh said to Jeremiah 31:31 Behold, the days come, saith the LORD, that I will make a new covenant with the house of Israel, and with the house of Judah: 32 Not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers in the day that I took them by the hand to bring them out of the land of Egypt; which my covenant they brake, although I was an husband unto them, saith the LORD: 33 But this shall be the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel; After those days, saith the LORD, I will put my law in their inward parts, and write it in their hearts; and will be their God, and they shall be my people. 34 And they shall teach no more every man his neighbour, and every man his brother, saying, Know the LORD: for they shall all know me, from the least of them unto the greatest of them, saith the LORD: for I will forgive their iniquity, and I will remember their sin no more.

It would appear that Paul has jumped the gun here ... the covenant is totally with Yahweh's own people the house of Israel and the house of Judah - and Paul is telling us 'he is a jew who is one inwardly,' So we are now to believe that Paul is able to graft us into the new covenant by his gospel? And doing away with the law which is old and obey his lying and false gospel?

2 Cor 3:6

Who also hath made us able ministers of the new testament; not of the letter, but of the spirit: for the letter killeth, but the spirit giveth life.

So we are to now believe that to the obey the Torah killeth and that Paul's 'spirit' gives us life?

Rom 7:6 But now we are delivered from the law, that being dead wherein we were held; that we should serve in newness of spirit, and not in the oldness of the letter.

This is Paul's twisted concept of what the New Covenant is ... he then proceeds to add more twisted concepts in his understanding of how Yahshua celebrated the last supper! He adds his pernicious ideas that have rocked the foundations of truth! The blasphemy that has caused the Roman Catholic Church and her daughters the Protestants to partake in something that was never ordained or sanctioned by Yahweh or His Son Yahshua – this is directly due to this false apostle's lies.

Paul then added his own interpretation which is nothing short of blasphemy adding to what the Gospel's have said.

Paul has caused many agony of mind and heart – not to mention that this was only celebrated once and will be again by Yahshua and his apostles when he returns - in His Father's Kingdom.

Breaking bread is different to what the New Covenant celebration is ... that is sharing our meals together like Yahshua did regularly with his disciples.

In inventing this blasphemy which is celebrated every day in the Roman Catholic mass – and in Protestant churches every Sunday – it seems the blasphemy never ends!

Because neither of them are right — Yahweh's Shabbath and His Feast Days are still in operation and will be till the end of time! And the Communion that is celebrated in 'Christian churches' as no relation to the truth in any shape or form whatsoever, again inspired by the false apostle Paul — and his cohorts.

The proof that the New Covenant is not yet with us – is the fruit of Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus's revelation is swirling round us like a murky mist – 33,000 different denominations – all professing to be followers of Christ – makes it own statement. Yahweh said WE WOULD KNOW From that wonderful promise yet to come to pass: We know that we need to keep the law (Torah) of Yahweh as instructed by Yahshua – that we are under Yahwah's powerful and wonderful Covenant – old things have not passed away, because they are NOT old. 2 Cor 5:17.

Jer 31:31 Behold, the days come, saith YHWH, that I will make a new covenant with the <u>house of Israel, and with</u> the house of Judah:

31:32 Not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers in the day that I took them by the hand to bring them out of the land of Egypt; which my covenant they brake, although I was an husband unto them, saith YHWH:

31:33 But this shall be the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel; After those days, saith YHWH, I will put my law in their inward parts, and write it in their hearts; and will be their Elohim, and they shall be my people.

31:34 And they shall teach no more every man his neighbour, and every man his brother, saying, Know YHWH: for they shall all know me, from the least of them unto the greatest of them, saith YHWH; for I will forgive their iniquity, and I will remember their sin no more.

It must be obvious to a discerning person that

THIS HAS NOT HAPPENED YET

Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus has tried to bring this to pass by creating a 'spiritual body of Christ/Israel.' It is obscene and a tragic lie fooling people in to something that is yet to come to pass. Indeed Yahshua has indeed made the way, He is the Way, the Truth and the Life.

THE TRUE GOSPEL OF YAHWEH GIVEN TO YAHSHUA AND HIS APOSTLES THEN GIVEN TO THE WORLD (ALL NATIONS) - WAS DRAWING US TO HIM IN THE KINGDOM OF GOD – NOT TO START ANOTHER RELIGION/CHURCH/INSTITUTION. WE ARE CALLED TO RETURN TO THE WORD OF YAHWEH HIS TRUTH – HIS COMMANDMENTS AND BY BEING BORN FROM ABOVE (John 3:3) (NOT THE CLICHED BORN AGAIN) WE BECOME PART OF YAHWEH'S COVENENT HE GAVE TO THE ISRAELITES OF OLD. WE ARE THE FOLD THAT YAHSHUA SPOKE OF: John 10:16 And other sheep I have, which are not of this fold: them also I must bring, and they shall hear my voice; and there shall be one fold, and one shepherd. Obedience to Yahweh's Laws is the entrance to the Kingdom of God.

THE NAME YAH-SHUA MEANS YAHWEH SAVES

.....not Jesus saves it is vital to truth to understand that you will obviously do your own research and see how terribly important it is.

YAHWEH

Means ..

SELF EXISTANT

WAS

IS

WILL BE

THE ETERNAL

YAH SAVES

YAH IS SAVIOUR

Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus would have you believe the opposite – he would have you believe that his disembodied vision of Jesus Christ who led him into great error and us who follow this iniquitous path that it is his Jesus who saves.

From the vast error of this man – it is one of life's tragedies that he never learned the truth from the men (apostles) that were raised by Yahweh through Yahshua. It is obvious that he reached many people, sadly for them preaching a false and self styled gospel. And equally sadly for many of us who have followed his pernicious teachings.

I do not think we will ever know the havoc that he wrecked in those early days that the apostles were struggling to impart the importance of adhering to their truth as it was Yahweh's specified will for mankind.

There is a massive 'revulsion' of the mind to even contemplate the fact the acknowledged bible could possibly contain such evil error.

We need to consider - however revolting the thought is - it is a fact!

We also need to see the reason why?

Is our security in a book containing many books – or could Yahweh have allowed this to test us? For he has said He will.

We would be strange not to be incensed at this violation that has infiltrated what we consider to be holy and untouchable - the very word of God?

Can we believe that God would actually allow this?

When we allow the thought - it just could - the shock has us reeling in unbelief...

There is no getting away from it – the' boat' rocks violently and suddenly all boundaries disappear. The very essence of our being is violated and all security vanishes.

It is a horrible sensation of insecurity and vulnerability.

Worse still which has us shaking in fear - who are we to challenge Almighty Yahweh?

This is not a question of picking and choosing what or what isn't the Word of God, what is in question is can two opposites be right? One cannot serve to masters – and there are clearly choices in the bible for us to make. *Joshua* 24:15 And if it seem evil unto you to serve the LORD, **Choose you this day whom ye will serve**; whether the gods which your fathers served that [were] on the other side of the flood, or the gods of the Amorites, in whose land ye dwell: but as for me and my house, we will serve the LORD.

Adam and Eve had a choice- they disobeyed.

The Israelites had a choice- they too disobeyed.

We have a choice- shall we disobey?

It is a lack of understanding of the scriptures that cause men to stumble – the truth is revealed in HIS Word – YAHWEH has given us HIS word and we are to blind to see it. How much plainer can Yahshua make things when he tell us in Acts what constitutes 'HIS WORDS' this is stated in *Luke 24:44-47*.

Are you going to stumble on the head corner stone and believe the lie or do you see the truth before you and see that there are indeed two gospels, and they do not blend in any shape or form – a serious reading of Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus epistles ... will show you that he believed he was a 'messiah'! When you can see it – it is not even very subtle! He replaced his jesus christ 'a 'spiritual body' with a lie annulling the truth of the true Messiah. He was indeed an anti Christ – and indeed put himself by 'my' gospel and his invented jesus and presented to millions the lie and has replaced the true Messiah sent by Yahweh.

THE FRUIT OF THIS EVIL IS RAGING ALL AROUND US!

The sheep have been stolen (hi-jacked) by Shaul's false gospel, lured on to the rocks by false and pernicious teachers that have followed the wrong gospel and the wrong shepherd.

Consider the the words of Yahshua – by their fruits you shall know them – Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus even perverted that wonderful simple guidance Yahshua has given to so we might through the Spirit of Yahweh discern the truth of the diabolical <u>impostor</u> who poses as a messenger of truth when in reality he is offering a poisoned chalice.

Matt 7:16 -23 Ye shall know them by their fruits. Do men gather grapes of thorns, or figs of thistles?

Even so every good tree bringeth forth good fruit; but a corrupt tree bringeth forth evil fruit. good tree cannot bring forth evil fruit, neither [can] a corrupt tree bring forth good fruit.

Every tree that bringeth not forth good fruit is hewn down, and cast into the fire.

Wherefore by their fruits ye shall know them.

Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus creates his own fruits... Galations 5:22

But the fruit of the Spirit is love, joy, peace, longsuffering, gentleness, goodness, faith,

5:23 Meekness, temperance: against such there is no law.

NO PAUL - THE FRUIT OF THE SPIRIT IS TRUTH...

This is not a man who lived by what he preached....

Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in heaven. Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, have we not prophesied in thy name? and in thy name have cast out devils? and in thy name done many wonderful works?

And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart from me, Ye that work lawlessness.

This is what Yahshua said about Yahweh's word. Yahshua always stated "IT IS WRITTEN"

He never referred to His Father's words as the Old Testament

Luke 24:44-47 And he said unto them, These [are] the words which I spake unto you, while I was yet with you, that all things must be fulfilled, which were written in the <u>law of Moses</u>, and [in] the <u>prophets</u>, and [in] <u>the psalms</u>, concerning me. Then opened he their understanding, that they might understand the scriptures, And said unto them, Thus it is written, and thus it behoved Christ to suffer, and to rise from the dead the third day:

And that repentance and remission of sins should be preached in his name among all nations, beginning at Jerusalem.

As Yahshua never referred to the written word as the Old Testament ... why should we? On whose information do we have two Testaments?

Romans 7:6 2 Cor 3:6 2 Cor 3:14

These are just a few of the several references.

Romans 7:6 But now we are delivered from the law, that being dead by which we were held; that we should serve in newness of spirit, and not in the oldness of the letter

By the letter he means the law, with respect to that old condition: for before our will is shaped by the Holy Spirit, the law speaks but to deaf men, and therefore it is dumb and dead to us, with regard to the fulfilling of it. <u>Geneva Study Bible</u>

2 Cor 3:6 Who also hath made us able ministers of the new testament; not of the letter, but of the spirit: for the letter killeth, but the spirit giveth life.

He amplifies his ministry and his fellows: that is to say, the ministry of the Gospel comparing it with the ministry of the Law, which he considers in the person of Moses, by whom the Law was given: against whom he sets Christ the author of the Gospel. Now this comparison is taken from the very substance of the ministry. The Law is as it were a writing in itself,

dead, and without efficacy: but the Gospel, and new Covenant, as it were the very power of God itself, in renewing, justifying, and saving men. The Law offers death, accusing all men of unrighteousness: the Gospel offers and gives righteousness and life. The administration of the Law served for a time to the promise: the Gospel remains to the end of the world. Therefore what is the glory of the Law in comparison of the majesty of the Gospel? <u>Geneva Study Bible.</u>

2 Cor 3:14 Nay, their minds were made dull; for to this very day during the reading of the book of the ancient Covenant, the same veil remains unlifted, because it is only in Christ that it is to be abolished (WEY)

... untaken away . which veil-rather, "the same veil . remaineth untaken away [literally, not unveiled], so that they do not see THAT it (not the veil as English Version, but 'THE Old Testament,' or covenant of legal ordinances) is done away (2Co 3:7, 11, 13) in Christ" or, as Bengel, "Because it is done away in Christ," that is, it is not done away save in Christ: the veil therefore remains untaken away from them, because they will not come to Christ, who does away, with the law as a mere letter. If they once saw that the law is done away in Him, the veil would be no longer on their hearts in reading it publicly in their synagogues (so "reading" means, Ac 15:21). I prefer the former. Jamieson-Fausset –Brown Bible Commentary.

This is utterly contrary to Yahshua's words – it is all back to front and how blind these 'respected' writers of these Commentary's are. This is how christians think because they follow Paul.

1 John 3:4 Whosoever committeth sin transgresseth also the law: for sin is the transgression of the law.

Paul again deceiving and being deceived!

Acts 17 10-28

¹⁰And the brethren immediately sent away Paul and Silas by night unto Berea: who coming thither went into the synagogue of the Jews.

¹¹These were more noble than those in Thessalonica, in that they received the word with all readiness of mind, and searched the scriptures daily, whether those things were so.

The need to be a Berean has never been more important in these last days – do we search the scriptures to see whether those things are so? Surely anything that does not agree with Yahweh's teachings must be suspect?

 12 Therefore many of them believed; also of honourable women which were Greeks, and of men, not a few.

¹³But when the Jews of Thessalonica had knowledge that the word of God was preached of Paul at Berea, they came thithr also, and stirred up the people.

¹⁴And then immediately the brethren sent away Paul to go as it were to the sea: but Silas and Timotheus abode there still.

¹⁵And they that conducted Paul brought him unto Athens: and receiving a commandment unto Silas and Timotheus for to come to him with all speed, they departed.

¹⁶Now while Paul waited for them at Athens, his spirit was stirred in him, when he saw the city wholly given to idolatry.

¹⁷Therefore disputed he in the synagogue with the Jews, and with the devout persons, and in the market daily with them that met with him.

¹⁸Then certain philosophers of the Epicureans, and of the Stoicks, encountered him. And some said, What will this babbler say? other some, He seemeth to be a setter forth of strange gods: because he preached unto them Jesus, and the resurrection.

¹⁹And they took him, and brought him unto Areopagus, saying, May we know what this new doctrine, whereof thou speakest, is?

²⁰For thou bringest certain strange things to our ears: we would know therefore what these things mean.

²¹(For all the Athenians and strangers which were there spent their time in nothing else, but either to tell, or to hear some new thing.)

²²Then Paul stood in the midst of Mars' hill, and said, Ye men of Athens, I perceive that in all things ye are too superstitious.

²³For as I passed by, and beheld your devotions, I found an altar with this inscription, TO THE UNKNOWN GOD. Whom therefore ye ignorantly worship, him declare I unto you.

... This man Shaul, 'beheld their devotions' and he finds an altar with this subscription TO THE UNKNOWN GODand announces that these pagans are innocently ignorantly worshiping Yahweh and then proceeds in v 24-28 to state the character and power of his god – and then in v 26 he states 'hath made of one blood all nations of men'.... and quotes it as though this is how to seek and find his god, 'that we might feel after him'- and assuring us 'that he is not very far from us'!! And then in v 28 to top his garbled nonsense he slips in pagan philosophy – so Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus ... has never heard how we are called to worship Yahweh in SPIRIT AND IN TRUTHJohn 4:23-24 Yet a time is coming and has now come when the true worshipers will worship the Father in spirit and truth, for they are the kind of worshipers the Father seeks. God is spirit, and his worshipers must worship in spirit and in truth."

Had this foolish man spent time with the true TAUGHT Apostles of Yahshua ... HE WOULD HAVE KNOWN THIS.

²⁴God that made the world and all things therein, seeing that he is Lord of heaven and earth, dwelleth not in temples made with hands;

²⁵Neither is worshipped with men's hands, as though he needed any thing, seeing he giveth to all life, and breath, and all things;

²⁶And hath made of one blood all nations of men for to dwell on all the face of the earth, and hath determined the times before appointed, and the bounds of their habitation;

²⁷That they should seek the Lord, if haply they might feel after him, and find him, though he be not far from every one of us:

²⁸For in him we live, and move, and have our being; as certain also of your own poets have said, For we are also his offspring.

So here our deceiver is now quoting pagan philosophy:

From <u>Arastus</u> "We (Christians) are his (Zeus) offspring" (Acts 17:28)
From <u>Epimenides</u> "god (Zeus) is not far from each one of us for in him we live and move and have our being" (Acts 17:27,28)

It is incomprehensible that the people that Shaul was talking too would not know where these pagan sayings had come from?

²⁹Forasmuch then as we are the offspring of God, we ought not to think that the Godhead is like unto gold, or silver, or stone, graven by art and man's device.

³⁰And the times of this ignorance God <u>winked</u> at; (THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A TIME OF IGNORANCE YAHWEH'S LAWS HAVE BEEN WITH US SINCE THE GARDEN OF EDEN) but now commandeth all men every where to repent:

³¹Because he hath appointed a day, in the which he will judge the world in righteousness by that man whom he hath ordained; whereof he hath given assurance unto all men, in that he hath raised him from the dead.

³²And when they heard of the resurrection of the dead, some mocked: and others said, We will hear thee again of this matter.

³³So Paul departed from among them.

³⁴Howbeit certain men clave unto him, and believed: among the which was Dionysius the Areopagite, and a woman named Damaris, and others with them.

When Was The Law To Cease To Exist? Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus traditions:

Galatians 3:19-29 reads,

3:19 What then is the law? It was added because of transgressions, until the seed should come to whom the promise has been made. <u>It was ordained through angels by the hand of a mediator.</u>

3:20Now a mediator is not between one, but God is one.

3:21 Is the law then against the promises of God? Certainly not! For if there had been a law given which could make alive, most assuredly righteousness would have been of the law.

3:22But the Scriptures shut up all

things under sin, that the promise by faith in Jesus Christ might be given to those who believe.

3:23But before faith came, we were kept in custody under the law, shut up to the faith which should afterwards be revealed.

3:24So that the law has become our tutor to bringus to Christ, that we might be justified by faith.

3:25But now that faith is come, we are nolonger under a tutor.

3:26For you are all sons of God, through faith in Christ Jesus.

3:27 For asmany of you as were baptized into Christ have put on Christ.

3:28 There is neither Jew no rGreek, there is neither slave nor free man, there is neither male nor female; for you are all one in Christ Jesus.

3:29If you are Christ's, then you are Abraham's seed and heirs according to promise

The teaching that Paul delivered to the Galatians, as seen in Galatians 3:19, implies that prior to transgressions there existed no law. Also, that its existence was envisaged to be for a temporary period, ceasing to exist once the seed to whom the promise had been made had come. Is this the case? Was the law to cease to exist upon the coming of the seed to whom the promise had been made?

In Galatians 3:23-25 Paul reiterates that with the coming of Christ the law has ceased to have authority over those it previously had authority over. In other words, it is of no consequence to them. Is this the case? Did the law cease to have authority, with the coming of Christ, over those it had authority over prior to the coming of Christ?

Please note in Gal 3:19Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus states the Law was ordained through the angels by the hand of a mediator THIS is what Yahweh says ABOUT HIS LAW:

Deuteronomy 10:1 At that time **YHWH** said unto me, Hew thee two tables of stone like unto the first, and come up unto me into the mount, and make thee an ark of wood.

10:2 And I will write on the tables the words that were in the first tables which thou brakest, and thou shalt put them in the ark.

10:3 And I made an ark of shittim wood, and hewed two tables of stone like unto the first, and went up into the mount, having the two tables in mine hand.

10:4 And he wrote on the tables, according to the first writing, the ten commandments, which **YHWH** spake unto you in the mount out of the midst of the fire in the day of the assembly: **and YHWH gave them unto me**

Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus says in: Gal 3:19 Wherefore then serveth the law? It was added because of transgressions, till the seed should come to whom the promise was made; and it was ordained by angels in the hand of a mediator.

Have I missed something here? Do you see any angels ordaining the most sacred Law of Yahweh?

Deut 9:10 And the LORD delivered unto me two tables of stone written with the finger of God; and on them was written according to all the words, which the LORD spake with you in the mount out of the midst of the fire in the day of the assembly.

Deuteronomy 30:11-14 mangled in Romans 10:6-9

Moses says (Deuteronomy 30:11-14) "For this commandment which I command thee this day, it is not hidden from thee, neither is it far off. It is not in heaven, that thou shouldest say, Who shall go up for us to heaven, and bring it unto us, that we may hear it, and do it? Neither is it beyond the sea, that thou shouldest say, Who shall go over the sea for us, and bring it unto us, that we may hear it, and do it? But the word is very nigh unto thee, in thy mouth, and in thy heart, that thou mayest do it."

Paul says (Romans 10:4-9) "For Christ is the end of the law for righteousness to every one that believeth. For Moses describeth the righteousness which is of the law, That the man which doeth those things shall live by them. But the righteousness which is of faith speaketh on this wise, Say not in thine heart, Who shall ascend into heaven? (that is, to bring Christ down from above:) Or, Who shall descend into the deep? (that is, to bring up Christ again from the dead.) But what saith it? The word is nigh thee, even in thy mouth, and in thy heart: that is, the word of faith, which we preach; That if thou shalt confess with thy mouth the Lord Jesus, and shalt believe in thine heart that God hath raised him from the dead, thou shalt be saved."

Paul is clearly quoting from Deuteronomy 30:11-14, but he completely changes the meaning. He quotes the "who will ascend into heaven?" and "who will descend into the deep?" (close enough translation of Moses) questions, but totally changes the point. Moses' point is that the LAW is not up in heaven where we can't reach it, nor is it in the deep (or beyond the sea) where we can't reach it—no, the Law is right here where we can both reach it **and do it**. Moses' point is that the Law is **doable**. Paul's is the opposite, that the Law is **NOT** doable. Is Paul, therefore, using this passage honorably? No.

Let's look even closer.

Paul is trying to establish that faith in Christ means we no longer have to keep the Law. And to do so, he quotes Moses as saying that *a man will live by doing the Law* and then follows it up by quoting this section of Deuteronomy where Moses shows that the Law *is doable*. And then he reaches the conclusion that since the Law is *NOT doable* (a conclusion contrary to both his proof texts from Moses), instead of doing the Law you just need to confess that Jesus is Lord and believe that he was raised from the dead, and that's it, you will be saved. He clearly is not properly using the OT. http://egopaulus.blogspot.com/2009_01_01_archive.html

Another devastating doctrine of Shaul 'Paul' of Tarus is his iniquitous comprehension of sin

The founders of Christianity understood that if man can save himself from eternal damnation through his own initiative and obedience to God, the church would have very little to offer the human race. Moreover, if righteousness can be achieved through submission to the commandments outlined in the *Torah*, what possible benefit could Jesus' death provide for mankind? Such self-probing thoughts, however, were unimaginable to those who shaped primitive Christianity.

Despite the zealous position missionaries take as they defend this creed, the Christian doctrine on original sin is profoundly hostile to the central teachings of the Jewish scriptures. Over and over again the *Torah* loudly dismisses the notion that man has lost his divinely endowed capacity to freely choose good over evil, life over death. This is not a hidden or ambiguous message in the Jewish scriptures. On the contrary, it is proclaimed in virtually every teaching that Moses directs to the children of Israel.

In fact, in an extraordinary sermon delivered by Moses in the last days of his life, the prophet stands before the entire nation and condemns the notion that man's condition is utterly hopeless. Throughout this uplifting exhortation, Moses declares that it is man alone who can and must merit his own salvation. Moreover, as he unhesitatingly speaks in the name of God, the lawgiver thoroughly rejects the notion that obedience to the Almighty is "too difficult or far off" and declares to the children of Israel that <u>righteousness has been placed within their reach.</u>

Deuteronomy 30 isn't a quiet chapter and its verses read as though the *Torah* is bracing the Jewish people for the Christian doctrines that would confront them many centuries later. As the last Book of the *Pentateuch* draws to a close, Moses admonishes his young nation not to question their capacity to remain faithful to the *mitzvoth* of the *Torah*. *Deuteronomy 30:10-14* states:

His commandments and His statutes which are written in this Book of the Law; if you turn unto the Lord thy God with all your heart and with all your soul; for this commandment which I command you this day is not too hard for you neither is it too far off. It is not in heaven, that you should say, "Who shall go up for us to heaven, and bring it to us, and make us hear it, that we may do it?" Neither is it beyond the sea that you should say: "Who shall go over the sea for us, and bring it unto us, and make us to hear it that we may do it?" The word is very near to you, in your mouth and in your heart, that you may do it.

The Jewish people have drawn great comfort and encouragement from this uplifting promise. For the church, however, <u>Moses' strong message created a theological disaster</u>. How could the authors of the New Testament reasonably insist that man's dire condition was hopeless if the *Torah* unambiguously declared that man possessed an extraordinary ability to remain faithful to God? How could the church fathers possibly contend that the *mitzvoth* in the *Torah* couldn't save the Jewish people when the Creator proclaimed otherwise? How could missionaries conceivably maintain that the commandments of the *Torah* are too difficult when the *Torah* declares that they are "not far off," "not too hard," and "you may do it"?

This staggering problem did not escape the false apostle Paul. Bear in mind, the author of Romans and Galatians constructed his most consequential doctrines on the premise that man is utterly deprayed and incapable of saving himself through his own obedience to God. In chapter after chapter he directs his largely gentile audiences toward the cross and away from Sinai as he repeatedly insists that man is lost without Jesus.

Yet how could Paul harmonize this wayward and evil theology with the Jewish scriptures in which his teachings were not only unknown, but thoroughly condemned? Even with the nimble skills that Paul possessed, welding together the church's young doctrine on original sin with diametrically opposed teachings of the

Jewish scriptures would not be a simple task.

Employing unparalleled literary manipulation, however, Paul manages to conceal this vexing theological problem with a swipe of his well-worn eraser. In fact, Paul's innovative approach to biblical tampering was so remarkable that it would set the standard of scriptural revisionism for future New Testament authors.

A classic example of this biblical revisionism can be found in *Romans 10:8* where Paul announces to his readers that he is quoting directly from scripture as he records the words of *Deuteronomy 30:14*. Yet as he approaches the last portion of this verse, he carefully stops short of the *Torah's* vital conclusion and expunges the remaining segment of this crucial verse. *In Romans 10:8 Paul writes*,

But what does it say? "The word is near you, in your mouth and in your heart" (that is, the word of faith which we preach).

Predictably, the last words of Deuteronomy 30:14, "that you may do it," were meticulously deleted by Paul. Bear in mind that he had good reason for removing this clause -- the powerful message contained in these closing words rendered all that Paul was preaching as heresy.

This stunning misquote in Romans stands out as a remarkable illustration of Paul's ability to shape scriptures in order to create the illusion that his theological message conformed to the principles of the *Torah*. By removing the final segment of this verse, Paul succeeded in convincing his largely gentile readers that his Christian teachings were supported by the principles of the Hebrew Bible.

Deuteronomy 30:14 But the word is very near to you, in your mouth and in your heart, that you may do it.

Romans 10:8 But what does it say? "The word is near you, in your mouth and in your heart" (that is, the word of faith which we preach).

The question that immediately comes to mind is: How can Paul deliberately remove a vital clause from Moses' message and still expect to gain a following among the Jewish people? While considering this question, we can begin to understand why Paul attained great success among his gentile audiences and utterly failed among the Jews who were unimpressed with his contrived message.

The tragedy is many people think that the Jews rejected the true gospel – not so, they rejected Paul's false gospel – therein lies the difference.

It is for this reason that although both Paul and Matthew quoted extensively from the Jewish scriptures, they achieved a very different result. Paul was largely a minister to gentile audiences who were ignorant of the Jewish Bible (the only Bible in existence at the time). As a result, they did not possess the skills necessary to discern between genuine Judaism and Bible tampering. These illiterate masses were, as a result, vulnerable, and eagerly consumed everything that Paul taught them. In fact, throughout the New Testament it was exclusively the Jewish apostates to Christianity who challenged Paul's authority, never the gentile community.

(Also I have added Scott Nelsons excellent article on the INFALLIBLE WORD OF GOD)

Ironically, there was no individual in history who was more responsible for the strong resistance of the Jewish people to the Christian message than Matthew. In contrast, the person most responsible for the church's unparalleled success among the gentiles was unquestionably the apostle Paul. Not surprisingly, throughout the biblical narrative, gentiles had always had a terrible time discerning chaff from wheat, truth from heresy; and the Jews were repeatedly warned never to emulate them. Tragically, some of our people missed this crucial message.

Paul, however, should have been tipped off that his teachings on original sin were misguided and that his broad-brushed characterization of humanity was erroneous. In fact, the Jewish scriptures repeatedly praised numerous men of God for their unwavering righteousness. For example, the Bible declared that men like Calev¹ and King Josiah² were faithful throughout their extraordinary lives. Moreover, because of their devotion to their Creator, Abraham and Daniel were the objects of the Almighty's warm affection as He tenderly referred to Abraham as "My friend," and Daniel, "beloved." These extraordinary people did not merit these remarkable superlatives because they believed in Jesus or depended on a blood atonement; but rather, it was their devotion to God and unyielding obedience to His *Torah* that shaped their lives.

Job's unique loyalty to God stands as a permanent enigma to Christian theology as well. Here was a man who was severely tested by Satan and endured unimaginable personal tragedies, yet despite these afflictions, Job remains the model of the righteous servant of God. While in Christian theology Job's personal spiritual triumph is a theological impossibility, in Jewish terms it stands out as the embodiment of God's salvation program for mankind. Job didn't rely on Jesus to save him and he certainly did not turn to the cross for his redemption; rather, it was his unswerving obedience to God that made his life a lesson for all of humanity.

Paul's unfounded doctrine on original sin sullies the exemplary legacies of these and many other great men of God. Moreover, Christians must ponder whether it is an insult to the Creator to label all of God's human creation deprayed.

Quite unwittingly, Luke committed a striking theological blunder that severely undermined Paul's teachings on original sin. In the first chapter of Luke, the evangelist seeks to portray Elizabeth, who is the cousin of Mary, and her husband Zechariah as the virtuous parents of John the Baptist. Yet in his zeal to characterize the baptizer's mother and father as saints, Luke unwittingly writes, "Both of them were upright in the sight of God, observing all the Lord's commandments and regulations blamelessly." (Luke 1:6)

The question that immediately comes to mind is how can missionaries possibly harmonize Paul's insistence that all humanity is depraved when Luke insists that Elizabeth and Zechariah were to be regarded as "blameless"? This is a stunning gaffe for Luke to make when it was he who eagerly promoted Paul in his Book of Acts. Doesn't Luke's assertion that this couple observed "all the Lord's commandments" fly in the face of Paul's central teaching that no one is capable of keeping the mitzvoth of the Torah? Is it not a fact that Christianity teaches that this task is impossible?

Paul never lived to read the Book of Luke, yet throughout his epistles Paul sidesteps any statement in the Jewish scriptures that could undermine his teaching on original sin. For example, immediately after the sin of Adam and Eve is narrated, the *Torah* declares that man can master his passionate lust for sin. *In Genesis 4:6-7, God turns to Cain and warns him,*

If you do what is right, will you not be accepted? If, though, you do not do what is right, sin is crouching at your door; it desires to have you, but you shall master over it.

For Christian architects (a very polite terminology) like Paul, Augustine, and Calvin, this declaration of man's capacity to restrain and govern his lust for sin is nothing short of heresy. Moreover, the fact that the *Torah* places these assuring words immediately following the sin in the Garden of Eden⁵ is profoundly troubling for the church. How can depraved humanity control its iniquity when the Book of Romans repeatedly insists that man can do nothing to release himself from sin's powerful grip? Yet notice that there is nothing in the Eden narrative that could be construed as support for Paul's teaching on humanity's dire condition. On the contrary, in just these two inspiring verses, the *Torah* dispels forever the church's teachings on original sin.

The Almighty did not give us desires that we cannot govern or commandments that we could not keep. The *Torah* was not delivered to angels, it was given to the children of Israel long after our first ancestors transgressed in the Garden of Eden.

In Jewish terms, sin is not a person, it's an event, and that event happened yesterday. In chapter after chapter, the prophets of Israel beseech those who lost their way to turn back to the Merciful One because today is a new day. http://www.sullivan-county.com/z/os.htm

Christianity is not about Jesus the man that preached an enlightened form of Judaism; it's about a Gnostic Christ Paul saw in a vision. (Acts 9) That "vision" had nothing to do with the Jesus of the Galilee or God. Paul as a Diaspora Jew was exposed to Greek philosophy (He wrote only in Greek) and he originated in Tarsus, a center for the pagan mystery religions.

The above article is a profound and thought seeking fact – the world can survive without Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus it cannot survive without Yahshua directing us back to His father Yahweh.

In ORIGIN OF CHRISTIANITY and JUDAISM, Manfred Davidmann

proves what Jesus really taught: The social laws of the Torah have to be followed. These social laws guarantee equality, social justice and security, and a good life for all members of the community. These laws protect people from exploitation, oppression and enslavement through need. Early Christians, being mostly Jews, followed these laws.

Manfred Davidmann then proves how these essential social laws of the Torah were bypassed and ceased to be observed, in Judaism and in Christianity at the same time.

He describes and proves how Paul changed what Jesus had taught, how Paul's ideology serves the establishment instead of the people, and how this became Christianity's official doctrine. On the other hand Manfred Davidmann shows that the Talmud (especially the Mishnah) tells how Hillel changed Judaism in the same way, to what it is today.

The Dead Sea Scrolls, within the context of the findings reported here, become much more meaningful. In turn, the knowledge gained from them is part of the pattern of events recorded here for the first time.

What you find here is scientific analysis of facts established by the methods of biblical archaeology.

Outstanding are the sections on Paul and the Gospels: Manfred Davidmann shows that Paul's ideology was first opposed and that successive gospel writers then changed the record in Paul's favour, and how they did it.

Early Christians

The first Christians were Jewish Christians. The Pentateuch <1> was of great importance to them and they kept its laws, keeping the sabbath and performing circumcision.

Christian beliefs were spreading largely among Jews, and Christianity was a group within Judaism.

They were called Jewish Christians because their membership consisted largely of Jews who had joined them and followed their beliefs and teachings.

They believed Jesus was a prophet who had tried to make people more aware of the intent of the Pentateuch and had tried to intensify the application of its laws.

As Jews had in any case to follow and live according to the Pentateuch's laws, so what were Jews and Jewish Christians arguing about?

At issue are the laws of behaviour and the social system which are laid down in the Pentateuch {13}:

The Pentateuch states that all are equal, that no person may oppress or exploit another, that all have the right to be free and be independent masters of their own fate.

Every person is entitled as a matter of right to social security. This means that people are entitled to be supported by the community not only when they fall on hard times but also to maintain their independence as independent breadwinners for their families.

For example, the community has to provide backup funds to those who need them and they have to be provided as and when required. To prevent people being exploited through their need these funds have to be provided without charging interest and such 'loans' are cancelled every seventh year if the borrower has been unable to repay them.

The country's wealth, and this applies particularly to productive capital, belongs to all equally and has to be shared out. This equal and fair distribution of the community's wealth has to be updated at regular intervals.

The role of those who are rich is seen to be that of administering their wealth and money on behalf of and for the community and not that of enriching themselves at the expense of the community.

The laws of the Pentateuch have to be followed and applied by Jews as a matter of law in their daily lives.

However, it was such laws of behaviour and such social system laws which the rich simply did not want to apply and they, the rich and powerful, had the application of the laws changed to suit themselves. {13-16}

Jesus tried to reverse this situation and to have such laws applied by people in their everyday lives.

Paul

Paul was Jewish and persecuted the Christians who were renewing their knowledge of the laws and the application of the laws in their daily lives. So he was acting on the side of, that is for, the oppressive establishment.

He was unsuccessful in this as Jewish Christianity spread and continued to spread.

He then said that he had had a 'vision' and called himself a Christian but he preached not for but against the social laws and against the social system of the Pentateuch. He preached against material independence, against social security, against freedom from oppression and exploitation.

What he preached was the political ideology of an oppressive establishment which wanted to be able to oppress so as to exploit without hindrance.

This brought him into conflict with Jewish Christians and with the mostly Jewish Christian communities. He then concentrated on gaining converts from gentiles (people who are not Jewish) who presumably knew nothing or little about the laws of the Pentateuch and who would thus be more likely to follow his teachings without arguing about its content.

Paul's letters (epistles) are the oldest part of the New Testament. The Gospels followed - as far as we know Matthew's was written first, then Mark's, then Luke's. Luke also wrote The Acts. It seems that Paul's letters were written about 50 AD and the gospels about 70-100 AD.

What stands out is that no one before Paul wrote such letters and that no one did so afterwards. They give his own point of view and personal ideology and he gives them an authority which they would not otherwise have had by means of a self-proclaimed vision.

The gospels as a whole relate to the life and death of Jesus but Paul's letters seem to be more a vehicle for pronouncements directed against observance of laws ensuring freedom, independence and equality.

Paul's teachings were accepted to a considerable extent and the Gentile Christians' stories about the beginning of Christianity do differ from those of the Jewish Christians. It is the versions of the Gentile Christians which were included in the Christian Canon and became official doctrine.

I suppose that what I am saying is that changes which were made as time progressed were at times 'politically' motivated towards putting across Paul's 'message', towards indoctrinating people with the political ideology of an oppressive establishment which wanted to be able to oppress so as to exploit without hindrance.

Romans

For no apparent reason, without justification and without stating a source, Paul says in his letter to the 'Romans' {5} <4> that:

- All authority comes from God, so that rulers (those who are in a position of authority) have been appointed by God. Hence let every person submit to those who rule.
- It follows that whoever resists the rulers resists whom God has appointed and those who resist rulers will be punished,
- 3. for rulers do not terrorise those whose conduct is "good", but those whose conduct is "evil".
 - If you want to live without fearing the person who is in authority, then do what is "good" and you will be praised,
- 4. for he rules over you in God's place for your own good.

But if you do that which is "evil", be afraid, for his is not an empty threat; he will in God's place punish the doer of "evil".

- Therefore one must be obedient, to avoid their anger (if you do not obey) and because to obey is the right thing to do.
- For the same reason you also pay taxes, for those in authority collecting taxes are acting on God's behalf.
- 7. Pay all those in authority what they demand, taxes, revenues, respect, honour.
- Your only obligation to others is to love one another;
 Because he who loves another has fulfilled "the law".
- 9. For this

You shall not commit adultery, You shall not murder, You shall not steal, You shall not bear false witness, You shall not covet:

and if there be any other commandment, it is summed up in this saying:
You shall love your neighbour as yourself.

Love does not do harm to a neighbour; therefore love (is) the fulfilling of the law.

Romans 13, 1-7

Astonishingly and without good reason he states that those in authority rule by 'divine right', that whatever they do is justified because in his opinion they act on God's behalf. Whatever those in authority do or want is called 'good' by Paul and those who resist or oppose them do 'evil' and will be punished. Paul wants all to obey those in authority and to be obedient, to pay taxes and revenues, to respect and honour those in authority.

He is arguing that one must fear and obey those in authority and do for them and give them all they ask, without regard to how selfish, rotten, corrupt, inhuman, vicious, murdering or evil they may be.

What Paul is saying and putting forward in this letter is neither God's word nor is it what Jesus taught. Under the disguise of a religious sermon Paul is spreading political propaganda, trying to brainwash people into willingly serving and loving those who exploit and oppress so as to exploit.

Look again at the social laws and system of the Pentateuch <2> and you will see how the laws of the Pentateuch ensure freedom and material independence and provide a good life of high quality here and now, backed by effective social security. No one may oppress or exploit another and all are equal, as a matter of law.

It is these laws of behaviour, it is these social laws and this social system which Paul opposes and he next attempts to stop people from keeping these laws.

Romans 13, 8-10

Paul lists only the last five of the Ten Commandments <3>. These five commandments protect people against anti-social behaviour of others by prohibiting the doing of that which would harm or injure other people, prohibiting adultery, murder, theft, false witness and coveting.

He continues by saying

- (1) "Love does not do harm to a neighbour", and
- (2) "all 'other' commandments are contained in
- 'You shall love your neighbour as yourself'".

He also says

- (3) "Love is the fulfilling of the law" and
- (4) "He who loves another has fulfilled the law"

and concludes

(5) Therefore your only obligation to others is to love one another.

The word 'love' is a label for something which is vague and abstract and quite meaningless until it is clearly, precisely and unambiguously defined in detail. 'Love does not do harm to a neighbour' and 'You shall love your neighbour as yourself' are vague and do not stand up to examination.

It would be illogical to argue that step (2) follows from step (1).

One person's idea of 'love' could be another person's 'insult' or 'hurt'.

To say that 'You shall love your neighbour as yourself' contains all the other laws, is in effect abrogating, bypassing, annulling these laws by replacing them with a person's likes and dislikes, even by a pervert's feelings.

There is simply no basis for saying that "Love is the fulfilling of the law" and that "He who loves another has fulfilled the law".

Paul himself leaves us in no doubt about his intentions when he says that "Your only obligation to others is to love one another". His intentions are to stop people from observing other laws.

The laws he does not wish people to observe include the first five commandments (of the Ten Commandments) which he pointedly left out from his list and thus include the social laws and the social system laws which together ensure freedom and material independence and social security.

When Moses brought the tables of the law he brought 'freedom upon the tables'. It is the Ten Commandments as a whole which underlie freedom, independence and strength to oppose and resist oppression.

And the first five commandments which Paul is attempting to stop people from observing are those which directly relate to freedom and independence, which give the working population strength in their struggle for a better life for themselves and their children against those who oppress and exploit.

These laws state <3> that:

The only way to gain and keep freedom and independence and a good life free from oppression and exploitation is to follow all these laws.

One must not respect or serve oppressing, exploiting or enslaving beliefs or ideologies.

One must not use God's name to lend authority to a statement which it would not otherwise have or to a false or misleading statement.

One must observe the sabbath day, the seventh day which is a day of rest from work for all, on which all are equal and rest, on which our servants rest just as we do, remembering that it was God who by a mighty hand and by an outstretched arm freed us from most brutal service.

One must honour one's father and one's mother and willingly accept God's commands and the tradition, knowledge and life experience of one's parents so that one will progress and advance in understanding and in life and so that one will have long and secure lives of high quality in the land God gives one.

Among the social laws of the Pentateuch, for example, are the kingship laws {3} which state that those in authority must not oppress people so as to increase their own possessions and power, that they must not put themselves above the people and so enrich themselves. They are warned against oppressing people and against forming enforcing squads or organisations so as to multiply their own power, must not be promiscuous and must not amass wealth. They must know and observe the law and its intent and aim to see the law applied.

The Pentateuch states the Ten Commandments, the social laws and the social system laws and states in religious language the effects when people either follow or else reject these laws. But it also states that this is a scientific Cause-and-effect relationship, lists causes and effects and states that the effects are reversible dependent on how people behave {17}.

Paul is apparently unaware of the inevitable inescapable consequences of breaking the law, of not living according to the social laws, and so makes changes which would have and have had disastrous consequences for those who attempted to put into effect what he proposes.

We will see how Matthew struggled to put the record straight, struggled to record what Jesus actually taught. Throughout the ages, Christians of goodwill chose intuitively to interpret Paul's statements about those in authority as meaning that

'the authority of those in authority only comes from God to the extent to which they themselves live according to and apply the Ten Commandments, the social laws and the social system laws' and that only those can 'love one another' who comply with all these laws.

Jesus Taught: About The Rich Young Man

This story, of course, makes a particular point, presents an important statement.

It is also labelled by a key phrase as one of a group of connected statements or as an argument in a series of connected arguments. Here the key phrase is 'But many that are first will be last, and the last first'.

Outstanding is how the later subsequent changes made to the text fundamentally changed the intended meaning of the story.

Matthew records {8} that

Jesus said that 'good deeds' by themselves are not enough to gain eternal life. Whoever keeps the commandments enters eternal life. To be a 'good person' and enter eternal life one has to keep the commandments.

Asked to state which of the commandments should be kept, Jesus lists the laws which have to be observed. It is immediately obvious that Jesus is here recorded as listing those commandments referred to by Paul in his letter to the Romans {7} <5> which included Paul's 'You shall love your neighbour as yourself', this being where Paul said that it is these laws, and only these, which need to be kept.

Matthew's gospel was written after Paul wrote his letters and Paul wrote his letters some considerable time after the death of Jesus. Hence we suddenly find ourselves understanding this story as an important statement about whether all or only some of the laws should be kept, about whether or not some laws should be discarded, about removing from observance those laws which restrain the rich and powerful from oppressing and exploiting people.

Important is that Matthew is recording what Jesus taught and that this outweighs and overrules whatever Paul may have said to the contrary.

Matthew states that Jesus' list includes the fifth commandment (Honour your father and your mother ...) and leaves out the tenth (You shall not covet ...). As 'You shall not covet' applies equally well to the rich as to the poor, this makes the clear point, and emphasises it, that the laws which are left out are those which protect people against oppression and exploitation, which restrain and restrict the behaviour of the rich and powerful.

The rich young man then tells Jesus that he has kept all the laws Jesus listed and asks what else he must do, which other commandments need to be kept.

If nothing else were required Jesus would have said so. But Jesus makes the point that there are other requirements, summing them up by saying "Sell what you possess and give to the poor ... and follow me.", referring in this way to the other laws from the Ten Commandments and to the social laws and social system of the Pentateuch <2>.

Those who are rich are commanded to, and must, provide funds to those whose independence is threatened or who are in need. These funds must be provided free of interest so that the needy cannot be exploited through their need. Those who are supported are under obligation to repay the capital but every seventh year all outstanding amounts have to be cancelled, again to prevent the rich from exploiting the needy through their need. These are examples of what Jesus is referring to.

The rich and powerful ignored laws such as these and wanted the system of social organisation and social security annulled and deleted from observance. This had been happening and the people were suffering. But here Jesus is telling the rich that to be rewarded by God, that is to fulfil the law, they would need to keep the social laws.

And when Jesus adds '... and follow me' he is asking the rich young man (that is, the rich and powerful) to follow what Jesus teaches.

But the man would not do so 'because he had great possessions' and went away.

We are told that the rich and powerful would not follow Jesus when he asked them to return to God by keeping the social laws, when he asked them to stop oppressing and exploiting those in need.

And so Jesus says to his disciples that 'it will be hard for a rich man to enter the kingdom of heaven'. The rich and powerful are not observing the social laws and as a result suffer and will suffer the inevitable consequences.

So Jesus taught that all the laws had to be kept, that belief and practice included and had to include the Ten Commandments, the social laws and the social system of the Pentateuch. Paul, however, acted on behalf of the rich and powerful when he tried to convince people that those in authority were God's representatives on earth and that the social laws did not have to be kept.

Paul's letter to the Romans was written before the gospels. Matthew's later gospel records what Jesus actually taught and clearly makes the point that Paul was trying to subvert and turn upside down that which Jesus taught. As Matthew records what Jesus taught, this outweighs and overrides what Paul said.

It would seem that those who later favoured Paul's pro-establishment ideology could not challenge Matthew's earlier record. Hence, as we shall now see, Matthew's record was subtly changed in later

gospels, in an attempt to distort and hide that which Jesus had taught so as to weaken arguments against Paul's ideology.

Jesus Taught: Censored!

We can now compare how later gospel writers recorded the same story. We will see how successive changes, one by one, subtly change meaning and intent further and further away from what was there initially, namely away from the clear record and statement about what Jesus taught and towards obscure abstract matters.

The story begins:

Matt. 19, 16-30	Mark 10, 17-31	Luke 18, 18- 30
Jesus is asked	Jesus is asked	Repeats Mark's version
"Teacher, what good deed must I do to have eternal life?"	"Good teacher, what must I do to inherit eternal life?"	
and replies	and replies	
"Why do you ask me about what is good?	"Why do you call me good?	
One there is who is good. If you would enter life, keep the commandments."	No one is good but God alone	

Jesus said that 'good deeds' by themselves are not enough to gain eternal life. Whoever keeps the commandments enters eternal life. To be a 'good person' and enter eternal life one has to keep the commandments.

Mark subtly changes this to have a completely different meaning. He records Jesus as saying that only God is 'good'.

Luke repeats Mark's version.

The story continues as follows:

Matt.	Mark	Luke
Jesus is then asked which commandments should be kept and says:	Jesus continues, saying: "You know the commandments:	Repeats Mark's version
"You shall not kill; You shall not commit adultery; You shall not steal; You shall not bear false witness:	Repeats Matthew's list	

Honour your father and mother, and

You shall love your neighbour as yourself."

The young man continues his questioning by asking Jesus:

"All these I have observed; what do I still lack?"

"All these I have observed from my youth."

Those who are rich and powerful want to have removed the restraints which prevent them from exploiting the people and from oppressing so as to exploit. They want to have deleted from observance those laws which Jesus did not list. This is what Paul is advocating and it seems that what Jesus has said is what Paul wants.

The rich young man then tells Jesus that he has kept all the laws Jesus listed and asks what else he must do, which other commandments need to be kept. This makes the point that there are other requirements, that is other laws, which have to be observed and which Jesus is asked to list.

Mark again changes the text subtly so as to obscure and so delete the statement that all the laws have to be observed.

Matthew's 'Which commandments should be kept?' becomes Mark's 'You know the commandments'. So Mark's Jesus implies that the listed commandments are the only ones, that those which are not listed and which protect people from oppression and exploitation simply do not exist, do not need to be observed.

Paul has said {6} that 'You shall love your neighbour as yourself' contains and thus replaces the laws which are not listed here. Indeed this is the only argument being put forward against laws which protect people.

So Mark simply leaves out 'love your neighbour as yourself'. By doing so he deletes what is a clear pointer to the core argument of the story, to the intent and meaning of what Jesus is saying.

Mark's modified version simply states that these are the laws and that the man has observed the laws all his life.

Luke repeats Mark's version.

The story continues as follows:

Matt.	Mark	Luke
Jesus replies:	Repeats Matthew's version	Repeats Mark's version
sell what you possess and give to the poor		
and follow me.		

The commandments clearly state that independence and freedom from oppression are God-given rights and that one may not 'have other gods'. In other words, the laws of the Pentateuch may not be annulled or replaced.

There are strict laws regulating the behaviour of rulers, of the rich, of the powerful, of the establishment. Their role is to serve the people and they must not make the people serve them, and the role of the rich is that of administering their wealth on behalf of the community.

Here Jesus is telling the rich that if they wish to be rewarded by God they will need to follow that which Jesus teaches by keeping all the commandments and the social laws of the Pentateuch.

The story then continues as follows:

Matt.	Mark	Luke
Then the man	his countenance fell and he	he became sad
went away	went away	
Sorrowful	Sorrowful	
for he had great possessions	for he had great possessions	for he was very rich

We are here told that those who were rich and powerful would not follow Jesus' teachings and deserted him.

Mark repeats Matthew's record.

Luke, however, leaves out the statement about what action the rich person (a 'ruler' in Luke's version) took following Jesus' call to duty.

We see that at this point Luke takes further what Mark did earlier on, making changes which weaken, hide and cover up the intended meaning of the story. He does so by relating the story to 'rulers' instead of to those who were rich and powerful, and by deleting from the story that they would not follow Jesus' teachings and that they deserted him.

The story then continues as follows:

Matt.	Mark	Luke
And Jesus said to his disciples	And Jesus looked around and said to his disciples	Jesus looking at him said
" it will be hard for a rich man to enter the kingdom of heaven.	"How hard it will be for those who have riches to enter the kingdom of God!"	"How hard it is for those who have riches to enter the kingdom of God!
it is easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle than	Repeats Matthew's version	Repeats Mark's version

for a rich man to enter the kingdom of God."

In Matthew's gospel Jesus is making a specific point which applies in general. Those who are rich and powerful and who are not observing the important social laws, suffer and will suffer the unavoidable consequences as a result.

Mark again weakens the connection between the different interconnected parts of Jesus' statement by giving the impression that what Jesus says is somehow connected with those present instead of being generally applicable. He does so by saying that Jesus 'looked around' before making his point.

Luke here also further weakens the general applicability of what he records Jesus as saying. In his version Jesus specifically looks at one person when making the point.

The story The Rich Young Man continues but there is one sentence at the end which is the label, tag or key phrase. A key phrase links the statement or argument in one section to other related statements or arguments elsewhere. Here the key phrase is 'But many that are first will be last, and the last first.'

Immediately following the story about The Rich Young Man is that about The Labourers in the Vineyard {9}.

Here the owner of the vineyard (rich, ruler, powerful, ruling or controlling establishment) decides to pay the same amount to his labourers regardless of the time worked by them, regardless of some having worked many hours while some worked only a few.

He decided whom to pay and how much and for what, without regard to considerations of performance, equality, fairness or social justice.

The way his labourers are paid is unequal, unfair and unjust and this story constitutes a severe criticism of how servants and labourers were being treated at that time.

This story about the Labourers not only immediately follows that of The Rich Young Man, but it is the only one in Matthew's gospel which has the same key phrase. And indeed it is making the same point about the rich and powerful not keeping the commandments and social laws and in this way we are told that we have correctly understood the lesson in 'The Rich Young Man'.

The key phrase itself is a vivid statement of an unfair system and society.

Both Mark and Luke excluded this story from their gospels, presumably because it confirmed the real meaning of what Jesus taught in a direct and powerful way and which could not easily be modified to change its obvious meaning.

But Luke attempts to muddle up the significance of the key phrase and to confuse us by leaving it out from his version of 'The Rich Young Man' and inserting it into another story, in a story of his own. Significantly, the words in Luke's key phrase {11} are transposed and given superficial meaning:

Matt.	Mark	Luke
But many that are first will be last, and the last first.	Repeats Matthew's version	Leaves out this key phrase from his version.
		Inserts the key phrase into another story

At the Time of Jesus

Outstanding is that the contemporaneously written, agreed and finalised Christian Canon and Jewish Talmud were shaped by and independently record the same confrontations and struggle and sequence of events we have here seen unfold.

The agreement between Christian and Jewish writings is so complete that we are now reasonably certain about the main course of events.

Christian Canon

We have already seen <6> that Paul's letters (epistles) are the oldest part of the New Testament, probably written about 50 AD, some considerable time after the death of Jesus. What stands out is that no one before Paul wrote such letters and that no one did so afterwards.

The gospels followed. Matthew's was apparently written first, then Mark's, then Luke's. Luke also wrote The Acts. It seems the gospels were written about 70-100 AD.

Matthew's record was subtly changed in later gospels. We saw how successive changes, one by one, changed meaning and intent further and further away from what is recorded in Matthew's gospel.

Further and further away from Matthew's clear record and statement about what Jesus taught, obscuring and confusing that which Jesus taught so as to weaken arguments against Paul's ideology.

What became the Christian Canon, meaning by this the 'agreed' version of events and teachings, took about four hundred years before it was 'agreed' {25}. It apparently took about four hundred years for written material and for people's memories to be changed, edited and modified, to conform to the establishment point of view.

If it had just been a matter of recording facts, of recording the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth (the truth, nothing left out and nothing added), it would not have taken so long. After ten or so generations people would be regarding as factual that which they were being told was factual.

So it continued to be compiled till it was approved by the Christian establishments and so presumably biased towards the point of view of the establishment, towards what the establishment wanted included or excluded, wanted said or unsaid.

It may well have taken such a long period of time before the hierarchy had argued away evidence contrary to the establishment's viewpoint or buried it out of sight or declared it to be against established doctrine, in such ways discrediting opposing viewpoints which called for social justice according to the word of God.

Paul and Hillel

The earliest of the Christian writings to be accepted as part of the Christian Canon and thus playing an important part in moulding early Christian beliefs, were Paul's letters. In the Talmud, we read about Hillel as a key and central figure who played a key part in moulding Jewish beliefs. This is what they are recorded as saying:

Paul {6}:

Your only obligation to others is to love one another; Because he who loves another has fulfilled the law. ... and if there be any other commandment, it is summed up in this saying: You shall love your neighbour as yourself.

Hillel {20}:

What is hateful to you, do not to your neighbour; that is the whole Torah (Pentateuch), while the rest is commentary thereon; go and learn it.

'What is hateful to you, do not to your neighbour' is the negative form of 'You shall love your neighbour as yourself'.

Taken with the other parts of these statements, as well as with the context in which they are made, it can be seen that the statements are in effect identical. When the Talmud contains information about and quotes Hillel, then it seems to be writing about and quoting Paul.

Paul, Hillel and Christianity

We saw <6> that Jewish Christians believed in the laws of the Pentateuch and struggled for the application of these laws in daily life, struggling against an oppressive establishment which argued against and did not keep the social laws.

Paul preached against material independence, against social security, against freedom from oppression and exploitation. What he preached was the political ideology of an oppressive establishment.

This brought him into conflict with Jewish Christians and with the mostly Jewish Christian communities and he was unsuccessful in changing their beliefs and practices. He then concentrated on gaining converts from gentiles (people who are not Jewish) who presumably knew nothing or little about the laws of the Pentateuch and who would thus be more likely to follow his teachings without arguing about its content.

Paul's teachings were accepted to a considerable extent and it is the versions of the Gentile Christians which were included in the Christian Canon and became official doctrine.

So let us see what else the Talmud tells us about Hillel, let us see what the Talmud tells us about these events.

Hillel, Paul and Judaism

We are told {20} that there were two men, each with his own followers, who in effect founded two schools of conflicting religious thought. These men were called Shammai and Hillel. Shammai was strict in the application of the laws while Hillel was lenient. A gentile asked to be converted on condition that he would be taught the whole of the Torah (Pentateuch) while he stood on one foot. Shammai, when asked, refused to do so. Hillel, on the other hand, replied saying

What is hateful to you, do not to your neighbour; that is the whole Torah (Pentateuch), while the rest is commentary thereon; go and learn it.

We saw that in this way the Talmud states what Paul stated. Jewish Christians kept the laws and expected converts to keep the laws. But when Paul converted gentiles to Christianity he told them that only some of the laws needed to be kept, that they did not need to keep the social laws.

The Talmud tells {21} how Hillel gained a following and took control. We are told that he did so

- 1. because he was taught by Shemaiah and Avtalyon, and
- 2. that he took over from the Bene Bathyra, having
- persuaded them to hand over by using abstract rules of logic and association for interpreting the law.

We saw already that the Talmud counts Shemaiah and Avtalyon among the worst and most destructive enemies of the Jewish people, representing those who wish to oppress and exploit. And Hillel told the Bene Bathyra that he ruled over them because he had served Shemaiah and Avtalyon, while they (the Bene Bathyra) had dared not to serve them.

The Bene Bathyra, the sons or children of Bathyra, of those who are doing the cutting (circumcision), who are carrying out the Brit, the sons or children of the Covenant. It would seem that this again could refer equally well to Paul and his ideology taking over from, gaining ascendancy over, the Jewish Christians as these practised circumcision.

Before these rules of logic and association were introduced, the law seems to have been decided according to the Pentateuch and in line with its intent. The use of such rules resulted in laws which were unrelated, or perhaps even outside or opposed to the meaning of the original text of the Pentateuch, and in this way controversy increased in Israel. As the pupils of Hillel increased in number, so controversy increased in Israel.

An example of such an illogical argument (including in this logical arguments based on false presuppositions or assumptions, being equally misleading) is Paul's statement {5} <5> in Romans about rulers representing God and acting for God.

One of the core social laws in the Pentateuch is that those whose independence or material security was threatened had to be provided by the community, that is by those who are rich, with the funds required to meet their needs. The money had to be provided free of interest and if those who had been given such funds had not been able to repay them then such debts were cancelled after a period of time which could not exceed seven years. Every seventh year was a Year of Release in which such debts were cancelled. This is part of a system of social security which also protects those in need from exploitation and oppression by those who control the community's wealth, by those who are rich and powerful.

It is recorded {18} in what is apparently the oldest, that is earliest, part of the Talmud that Hillel saw that those who were rich did not provide the needed funds and so broke the laws. He is then recorded as having laid down that all the rich had to do was to declare in writing before a judge that they would collect any outstanding funds whenever they desired and that they could then collect at will.

The rich and powerful break the laws and Hillel in effect cancels the application of the laws they do not want to keep! In this way Hillel by bypassing the application of a basic Pentateuch law withdrew an essential protection from the people which protected those who are in need from economic and later more direct oppression and enslavement by those who control money or who have money.

In the whole of the vast Talmud there are only two rulings recorded in the Mishnah, the oldest part of the Talmud, as having been made by Hillel. What they have in common is that in each case he ruled in favour of the rich. He favoured the rich at the expense of the poor. He exposed the people to exploitation through need.

Hillel and Paul are again saying and applying the same ideology.

Paul wrote {4} that 'For the law brings wrath, but where there is no law there is no transgression'. Paul held that the God-given laws of Moses (the Pentateuch) resulted in punishment for those who broke the law and that people would be freed from this when the laws were abolished, when they stopped following them.

This is what Hillel gave as his reason for saying that funds provided for the needy would have to be repaid by the needy to the rich.

Origin of Christianity

Now let us combine what we know with other available information. For the first time we can now see the whole pattern of events.

On the one hand we have the Jewish people who are protected by Jewish law from need and from being exploited because of need. On the other we have the rulers and their establishment who wish to oppress their people so as to exploit them.

Two sides do not engage in such bitter controversy without very real cause. What is at stake is on the one hand power and wealth for a few at the expense of the many; and on the other hand freedom, independence and a good life as against oppression and exploitation.

There are movements and so-called religions which condition and brainwash their members into working so as to enrich the founders or the establishment, or which brainwash members into obediently working for and serving the leadership in unquestioning obedience.

Also one has to realise that when it suits their purpose those who run countries will encourage and use religion. Such a 'religion' may be used as a tranquilliser to prevent the population from complaining about being downtrodden and exploited. The message the religion then spells out is 'never mind a hard life now, reward will come in the next life'.

The Jewish religion, however, is quite different and does not readily lend itself to such misuses.

So what happened was that those who wished to rule over, and exploit, the Jewish people, took over the religion and from within changed its pattern of observance. So that it served the rulers and establishment instead of serving God and people.

What we see is the struggle of the two sides within the Jewish establishment. Corrupting them, setting them against each, misusing religion to gain adherents and servile followers. Changing religious beliefs and practices to make religious teachings serve the rulers and their establishment.

And we see the struggle against these changes, see how people struggled in very cruel and tough and difficult times to return to the social teachings of the Torah, to regain spiritual freedom and material independence and a good life for all.

.

The Infallible Word of God

Which Parts are Infallible?

We have seen that Paul is quite capable of misusing if not abusing Scripture to support a doctrine that has no other support. Before moving on to expose Paul for the false apostle he is, it would be good to interject at this point what the criterion should be for determining which portions of the Bible are the infallible word of God, and which ones are not.

All or nothing?

Much of Christianity works from the premise that the Bible as a whole is the infallible word of God. But no sooner does one begin to question some part of it than a Bible believing Christian indicates that to reject any one part of the Bible is to render the entire book irrelevant. The flow of logic supposes that if one part can be rejected, then it's open season to reject any part one doesn't like. Therefore the Bible as a whole is viewed as God's flawless revelation to man. While on the surface this logic sounds reasonable, it is not necessarily true. Besides calling for the reckless abandon of blind faith in a book, a practice that isn't any more credible than Islam's faith in the Koran, it is also interesting to note that in demanding this standard, Christianity has tied its own noose. There are

atheists who would like to discredit the entire book, and there are many Jews who would like to discredit the New Testament. So if either group can demonstrate even one little error in the New Testament they both win by Christianity's own standards! Christian teachers need to come to grips with the fact that there are numerous significant errors that cannot be reconciled with the classic bend-over-backwards apologetics of the past. Visit any atheistic or Jewish anti-missionary website and brace yourself. By demonstrating error in the Bible, those opposed to God and Christianity have proven that the New Testament is no longer the infallible word of God. These now have legitimate grounds on which to continue rejecting the truth that the Bible does contain. Christianity has to a large degree handed them this logic and win on a silver platter. Speaking for myself, I no longer begin with the premise that the Bible is infallible cover to cover. Blind faith in any book is dangerous. But what I believe to be the truth is far more objective than being a simple matter of picking and choosing what suits any particular fancy. Here are the presuppositions that I work from;

The God of Abraham, Isaac, and Israel is the one true Most High God, and the creator of the heavens and earth.

His word is truth, and it can be found in the books of Moses, and the prophets, as well as in the words of Yahshua. What these men actually said never conflicts with the others. Yahshua's words would be the same as those found in red in a red-letter edition Bible.

The truth will always be consistent with itself with no contradictions. It is therefore assumed, that in the rare cases when contradiction is found, it is due to man's influence over the centuries. These contradictions are almost never more than one passage standing against numerous others, and the favor always goes with the majority.

More errors in the New Testament

When it comes to the words of other writers in the Bible, there is still much truth that can be extracted from them. But they are subject to error and must be held accountable to the truth established in Moses, the Prophets, and Yahshua. This includes the narrative parts of Gospels as well. The authors of the gospels regularly interjected their own commentaries and recollections that have on occasion proven to be in error. For example, the author of the book of Matthew regularly made an attempt to connect events in Yahshua's life with prophecies from the Hebrew Bible. Though he made a number of accurate connections, he also made a number of inaccurate ones. The first three times he attempted to make a connection he erred, and used a text that had absolutely nothing to do with Yahshua. Matt 1:23 His first mistake was in quoting an Isaiah prophecy which supposedly concerned Yahshua's virgin birth. There are a number of good reasons to continue to believe Yahshua was born of a virgin, but Isaiah 7:14 is not one of them. This passage has absolutely nothing to do with a virgin or Yahshua! Jews know that the Hebrew word translated "virgin" in Isaiah 7:14 in Christian Bibles carries no connotation of virginity, but is often used in reference to a young married woman. In its full context, this passage is clearly speaking of Isaiah's wife. See Isaiah 7:10 - 8:10. This is only one of several blunders made by the

author of Matthew. Knowing this, the Jews have legitimate grounds to discount the Gospels altogether. If Christianity hadn't held out for all-or-nothing infallibility, it maybe wouldn't have ended up with nothing in the eyes of many Jews.

The narrative parts of the gospels concerning events surrounding Yahshua's words could still be considered as reliable as reading a news paper today. One can obtain many facts and much truth from the news paper without anyone considering it word of God. But there are the occasional misunderstandings as well as editorials and commentary that depart significantly from the truth. Yet we read it for the truth we can still get from it.

Can't God protect His word?

Another common argument suggest that if God is God, He has protected His word and kept it pure and unadulterated. I wish I had a nickel for every time a version of this logic has been cast my way. This argument stems directly from the presupposition that every event that transpires on earth is part of the micro-managing sovereign will of God. And how did we arrive at this conclusion? Because Paul teaches it in the Bible! Isn't it interesting how this self-supporting circular-reasoning works? This is about as air-tight as circular reasoning gets. Let's look at its components again this way.

How do we know that the Bible as a whole is infallible from Genesis to Revelation? Because Paul taught that God is sovereign and in complete control of every event on earth, and it flows from this that God would not allow His word to be compromised. How do we know Paul was right? Because his teaching is found in the Bible and the Bible is infallible!

How can one lose with this self-supporting type of logic? In the previous chapters, I have dealt with the errors in the doctrine that every event on earth is part of God's sovereign plan. I have also exposed how Paul abuses Scripture to paint this erroneous picture. God's will is not done on earth as it is in heaven... or Yahshua wouldn't have taught his disciples to pray for it!

A few mistakes in the Tanach!

The Hebrew Bible (Or "Old Testament" as it is known to Christianity) is not without a few of its own trouble spots. There are a couple of Psalms that contain words that are blatantly in error and actually blaspheme God. Psalm 44 is one example. It is a Psalm of the sons of Korah who were contemporaries of David. I believe that verses 1-8 comprise the entire original beautiful Psalm. Verses 9-26 however, were undoubtedly added as second and third verses to an old popular tune when Israel went into Babylonian captivity. Read the entire Psalm and notice how the subject matter takes a complete flip at verse 9. It describes conditions that were not in existence at the time of the sons of Korah. It goes on to actually accuse God of unrighteousness in His dealings with Israel. Verses 17-22 are particularly notorious... and guess who quotes verse 22? Yup. It's Paul, in Romans 8:36. I believe Psalm 89 is very similar to Psalm 44. The original great Psalm ends at verse 37. The rest was added later.

I also discovered another problem with a book from the Hebrew Bible. It isn't an error, or a case of something having been added. It's a case of something obviously missing... something that would undoubtedly help in understanding what has been a difficult book for many to understand. There is a gap in the book of Job. We are missing a significant piece of literature between the end of chapter 37 and the beginning of chapter 38. See article We are missing part of the book of Job! in the appendix.

Conclusion

It must be noted though, that the problems with the Hebrew Bible are few and far between. In everything, the Jews of history have been far better stewards of what has been given to them. The point to be made from the examples in the New Testament, Psalms, and Job, is that we need to come to grips with the fact that this wonderful book we call the Bible is not "holy", or "infallible". The Bible only **contains** the words of God, and it contains the truth. This fact continues to make the Bible the best book on earth bar none, but the truth it contains must be sought after and dug out by those who really want it.

When any religion elevates a piece of literature to the status of "infallible" is when trouble begins. That is when faith turns to superstition, and a piece of literature becomes an idol or god in itself. In this superstitious mode, man is not required to use his head. All he has to do is spend time with a book, believe, and fall in line with the institutions that support it.

Since Paul was so severely wrong in his view of the attributes of God, we now need to look closer at Paul's credentials and supposed apostleship.

Scott Nelson http://www.judaismvschristianity.com/word.htm

The parable of the Wheat and the Tares:

Matthew 13 24:30

13:24 Another parable put he forth unto them, saying, The kingdom of heaven is likened unto a man which sowed good seed in his field:

13:25 But while men slept, his enemy came and sowed tares among the wheat, and went his way.

13:26 But when the blade was sprung up, and brought forth fruit, then appeared the tares also.

13:27 So the servants of the householder came and said unto him, Sir, didst not thou sow good seed in thy field? from whence then hath it tares?

13:28 He said unto them, An enemy hath done this. The servants said unto him, Wilt thou then that we go and gather them up?

13:29 But he said, Nay; lest while ye gather up the tares, ye root up also the wheat with them.

13:30 Let both grow together until the harvest: and in the time of harvest I will say to the reapers, Gather ye together first the tares, and bind them in bundles to burn them: but gather the wheat into my barn.

Truth (Wheat) has been sowed by Yahweh and the - Lies (Tares/Darnel) by Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus'..

THE ARTICLE BELOW IS FROM A BOOK CALLED "JESUS WORDS ONLY" BY DOUGLAS J. DEL TONDO.

http://www.jesuswordsonly.com/JWO/freechaptersonline.html

THIS AMAZING BOOK IS ON LINE AND IT IS FREE - WELL WORTH THE DOWNLOAD.

IT IS OUTSTANDING. I HAVE INCLUDED THIS CHAPTER IN FULL BECAUSE IT HELPS US UNDERSTAND WHAT MANY FOLK DO NOT – AND BETTER STILL IT ANSWERS QUESTIONS ON EVERYBODY'S MIND THAT HAS BEEN CHALLENGED!!

2 Does Paul's Long Acceptance in NT Prove God's Will?

Hasn't God Implicitly Approved Our NT List?

Some raise an intriguing response to the entire notion of testing Paul's canonicity. If God intended for us to exclude Paul, why has it taken this long to address the issue? Would not God have corrected us earlier? If God is truly sovereign, then He would not have allowed this to happen. As Felgar says in the side-bar quote, "Is God not powerful enough to preserve the sanctity of His word?" This has superficial appeal, but it is at odds with the Bible itself. For example, if a correct argument, then no true book of the Bible could long be separate from the Bible. God would have to supernaturally intervene promptly to re-affix the lost book to where it belongs.

Yet, the story in 2 Kings 22:8 *et seq.* refutes that God's sovereignty works this way. The Book of Deuteronomy was originally part of the inspired writings of Moses. He wrote it by hand. Yet, it was put in a corner of the Temple. It was then forgotten and lost. No one had made a copy. For 300 years Temple practices deteriorated. These practices bore no resemblance to what Deuteronomy required. Then Deuteronomy was found in a corner of the Temple. King Josiah had it

read aloud. He realized how far Temple practices had fallen below the Bible standard. He tore his clothes in repentance. Deuteronomy was re-affixed to canon. Reformation began. Thus, the inspired book of Deuteronomy was lost for hundreds of years at great damage to the community. If God's sovereignty means He must act as we suppose, then how could He not have acted sooner in supernatural ways to preserve His word? Why would generations lack His revealed word? Apparently, God's sovereignty does not work in the way we assume. Rather, the Israelites had a responsibility not to "diminish" the Law given to them (Deut. 4:2). This meant, among other things, they had to preserve it properly *in backup print copies*.

Furthermore, the Bible even tells us that inspired writings have been permanently lost. In 1 Chronicles 29:29, we read of three *inspired* writings which have been lost: "Now the acts of David the king, first and last, behold, they are written [in] a *Book of Samuel* the Seer, and in the *Book of Nathan* the Prophet, and in the *Book of Gad* the Seer...." Adam Clarke admits these books are "now lost."

The Bible tells us the word *Seer* was the word used at one time to mean *Prophet*. (1 Samuel 9:9, "Beforetime in Israel...he that is now called a Prophet was beforetime called a Seer" ASV.)

The way these three books are described, Chronicles intends for us to understand they are all written by true prophets. Clarke resolves the dilemma of how any prophetic work could be lost by asserting these were all uninspired, and not true prophets. Yet, that can only be based on (a) a willingness to deny the Bible's express claim that these were prophetic works and (b) a willingness to make an unsubstantiated presupposition about how God's sovereignty works. For the Bible says they are prophets/seers.

Thus, Clarke is obviously assuming that works described by the Bible as written by prophets nevertheless must be uninspired simply because these works are now lost. *Jesus' Words Only* 27

What About the Dilemma Caused by the Ethiopian Christians' Inclusion of the Clarke is grounding this upon a presupposition that God's sovereignty would not allow a truly inspired work to be lost. This is pure supposition used to negate the plain meaning of the Bible itself. Chronicles clearly points to Nathan as a Prophet, and Gad and Samuel as Seers. To repeat, 1 Sam. 9:9 say the word *seer* has the same meaning as Prophet. The clear reading of Chronicles is that these *prophetic* titles were accurate. Thus, these three lost works were inspired by God because written by *true* Prophets. Otherwise the Bible would

not have referred to them as such. Despite these works being prophetic, everyone must concede these three prophetic works have been lost. God's sovereignty did not protect us as we assume *it should*. Humans have *personal responsibility* to guard His word from loss.

What About the Dilemma Caused by the Ethiopian Christians' Inclusion of the Book of Enoch?

Furthermore, if we hold to the view that God's failure to block Paul's inclusion in canon means God approves Paul, we have a dilemma posed by the Book of Enoch. This is a book that has been included for 2000 years as inspired canon of the Ethiopian Christian Orthodox church. Ethiopia went through long periods of being run by Christian Kings. Its church body consists today of 20,000 churches in a land of 58 million. The Book of Enoch was also part of universal Christianity's canon until 363 A.D. It was actually quoted by Jude in our New Testament as the words of true prophecy (Jude 17). This gives strong support for the Ethiopian Christians' claim that the Book of Enoch belongs in canon.1 However, in 363 at the Council of Laodicea, the Book of Enoch was dropped by the Roman Catholic Church from the canon list Does Paul's Long Acceptance in NT Prove God's Will? Jesus' Words Only 28

for the 'Old Testament.' No explanation was offered. It then disappeared in the Western Church while it remained canon in the Eastern church.

If God's sovereignty works the way Paulinists suppose, and they reject the Book of Enoch as non-canonical (as they frequently do by saying 'canon is closed'), then they have a problem. They have to insist the Ethiopian Christians for 2000 years wrongly have added to Scripture. Likewise. the early universal Christian Church must have wrongfully treated the Book of Enoch as canon for over 300 years. Then if their position is that Christians in the early church and in Ethiopia have for long periods wrongfully *added* to Scripture, why cannot the Paulinists consider it possible that Paul's writings for 1,970 years were added wrongly to canon?2 If you assume Enoch is non-canonical, God in His sovereignty allowed large communities (i.e., Ethiopia & early universal Christianity) wrongfully to add the Book of Enoch for very long periods of time. So if Enoch was wrongly added, then God for 2000 years has not yet intervened to correct the Ethiopians. Accordingly, the Paulinist must concede it is equally possible that a mistake was made about adding Paul to canon. If God did not prevent the Ethiopians from adding the Book

- of Enoch, there is no reason to believe God always prevents *human error* in assembling canon lists. Paulinists cannot infer our decisions on canon have God's sanction by the mere lapse of time or God's failure to act supernaturally.
- 1. Indeed, an argument exists that the Book of Enoch was wrongfully excluded in the West after 363 A.D. It is a book filled with Messianic prophecies that Jesus fulfilled. For discussion, see *What About the Canonicity of the Book of Enoch?* (2005) available on-line at www.jesuswordsonly.com.
- 2. This number of 1,970 years reflects the evidence that the earliest apostolic church known as The Poor (Ebionites) rejected Paul's writings from the 40s though 70 A.D. See Appendix B: *How the Canon Was Formed.*

Jesus' Words Only 29

What About the Additions to the End of Mark's Gospel?

If, on the other hand, Paulinists try to shift positions, and claim they now admit the Book of Enoch is canonical because Jude quotes it as prophetic, then they still have a similar dilemma. They would have to explain how God allowed the church of the West from 363 A.D. to the present era to diminish God's word by wrongfully excluding the Book of Enoch. God did not protect us in the West from a wrongful subtraction of the Book of Enoch from Scripture, contrary to how some suppose that God's sovereignty works. Thus, regardless of how the Paulinist tries to escape the dilemma posed by the Book of Enoch, it defeats their position. The sovereignty of God does not dictate that He would prevent wrongful addition or wrongful diminishment of Scripture even for as long as 2000 years. God has left the question of canonicity in our hands. We can obey Him by testing claims that something is prophetic or we can disobey God and not test each book we add to His word. The history

of the Book of Enoch proves God does not intervene to fix our errors. The fact we have a book that our Western tradition calls the New Testament does not prove God's agreement with our list.

Thus, we cannot infer a long presence of Paul in canon makes it *God's choice* rather than our own. What About the Additions to the End of Mark's Gospel?

It is now recognized among most evangelical Christians that the verses after Mark 16:8 were improperly added. The last page of the folio in Greek was lost. In *The Westminster Study Edition of the Holy Bible* (Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1948), the authors explain regarding this passage: [T]his section is a later addition. The original ending appears to be lost. The best and oldest

manuscripts of Mark end with ch. 16:8. Does Paul's Long Acceptance in NT Prove God's Will? *Jesus' Words Only* 30

Beginning in the 400s, two different endings were employed after Mark 16:8. One is called the Longer Ending, which appears in the KJV. This includes a verse often used as a proof text that baptism is vital for salvation. We read in Mark 16:16: "He that believes and is baptized shall be saved; but he that believes not shall be condemned." Catholic authorities believe this section is canonical but admit the "vocabulary and style indicate it was written by someone other than Mark."

The other ending to Mark is known as the Shorter Ending. It exists in many other manuscripts and goes back in its tradition to the 400s as well, having been known to Jerome.

Thus, from approximately 400 A.D. to our 20th Century, we have had an addition to Scripture that has gone undetected and treated as canon even though it was certainly written three hundred years after Mark died.

If God's sovereignty works the way we suppose, God would not have permitted this addition to Scripture all these centuries. If God's sovereignty must protect us as we assume, God certainly would not allow an addition on a point so crucial as salvation, misleading numerous souls that water baptism was essential for salvation. However, obviously God's sovereignty does not work in the way we suppose. A long period of our tradition to include something as canon does not prove it belongs in inspired canon.

3. For this background, see Notes to *New American Bible* at http://www.usccb.org/nab/bible/mark/mark16.htm (last accessed 2005). *Jesus' Words Only* **31**

Tradition Is Invalid Grounds To Justify A Canon List
Tradition Is Invalid Grounds To Justify A
Canon List

This inference of canonicity from long acceptance, furthermore, violates Scripture itself. It is a lazy man's way to permit ongoing violation of God's commands. The fact is that the Bible presumes we can make mistakes in joining wrong books to canon. The Bible's command to not do so assumes we can add a non-prophetic work to Scripture. That is why God imposes on us the rigid tests to determine valid prophecy. Why else would such verses even exist in Deuteronomy chapters 4, 12-13 and 18 unless God intended for us to exercise the decision of what to add to canon? If God were going to do this work for us, He would not give us tests to do it ourselves. The commands would be pointless if we did not have to worry about them because God would anyway protect His

word.

In fact, if God protected His word supernaturally, it would defeat God's purpose in allowing false prophets to even exist. God explains why He left it up to us to sift the true prophets from the false: it tests whether we love Him with our whole heart and mind. (Deut. 13:3.) If God sovereignly intervened, and prevented mistakes regarding false prophets, God would thereby avoid the tests of our faith that God expressly says is His intention. God uses such tests and trials to *strengthen*, not weaken, our faith. (James 1:3.)

We should also remember this Sovereignty of God argument was speciously used to resist the Reformation. The papacy argued, in effect: how could the church be so wrong on indulgences if for so long God permitted it to err? Luther in his *Epistle on Galatians* (1535) put his opponent's arguments this way: "Do you suppose that God would have left His Church floundering in error all these centuries?" Luther called this sophistry. Luther said it fundamentally misunderstands the correcting nature of Scripture itself *if applied*. Tradition means nothing. The true Bible text means everything, Luther replied.

Does Paul's Long Acceptance in NT Prove God's Will? Jesus' Words Only 32

Luther was correct. The false teacher will set up his teaching as a tradition that you must not allow others to contradict. To protect themselves, they will tell you to "avoid" or "stay away" from those who might bring correction to their doctrine. False teachers are afraid you will use Scripture to examine their teaching, claiming it is divisive and destructive of the faith of many. Of course it would be, because Scripture's correcting nature is destructive of false faiths. Rather than avoid others who come with doctrines contrary to what you believe, Apostle John tells you to try them whether they are from God (*i.e.*, compare them to God's word): Beloved, believe not every spirit, but *prove the spirits*, *whether they are of God*; because many false prophets are gone out into the world. (1 John 4:1.)

You are to remain engaged in a dialogue with those whom you share disagreement. You can never know you have the truth if your teacher/leader frightens you to "avoid" or "stay away" from others who have different teachings. Only false prophets/teachers can benefit from instilling such fear among Christians.

Thus, tradition means nothing. The Sovereignty of God idea that makes tradition into dogma rests upon a false assumption of how God *should* protect His canon supernaturally.

The Bible only supports that God expects us to protect and guard His word after delivered to us. We cannot avoid applying the tests of Deuteronomy chapters 4, 12-13 and 18 of what constitutes a false prophet on the assumption that God will always intervene to prevent erroneous inclusion of books into canon. A long period of acceptance by a large group of Christians proves nothing about God's divine plan. The history attached to the Book of Enoch for 2000 years stands as a constant reminder of the folly of such a notion, whether one believes Enoch is canonical or not. *Jesus' Words Only* 33

Luther & Calvin Both Rejected the Sovereignty of God Argument on Canon Luther & Calvin Both Rejected the Sovereignty of God Argument on Canon Inclusion

Finally, both Luther and Calvin would reject the idea God's sovereignty has protected the New Testament canon for all these thousands of years. They both claimed various books that now have been attached for 2000 years to the NT canon were erroneously included. Thus, nothing put forth in the JWO proposition runs afoul of the Sovereignty of God, even as Luther and Calvin understood that doctrine. First, Luther in his 1522 Preface to the New Testament clearly said two books do not belong in the New Testament canon: the Book of Revelation and the Epistle of James. Luther said he could not see "the Holy Spirit" in the Book of Revelation. (See *infra* page 370.) As to James' Epistle, because it "contradicts Paul," Luther said it could not possibly be inspired. (See page 248 infra.) Luther printed both books as part of his New Testament simply for historical reasons. Thus, Luther did not regard almost 2000 years of inclusion ipso facto proves inspiration. Luther rejected the idea that God's sovereignty implies approval of our New Testament list on the assumption God would not have delayed so long to fix things.

Likewise, Calvin insisted that Second Peter was wrongfully included in canon. (See *infra* page xix of Appendix B.) The Second Epistle of Peter has a verse that troubled Calvin's doctrine of predestination. This probably motivated Calvin's antagonistic viewpoint. Regardless of Calvin's motives, Calvin's position is valid. The inclusion of Second Peter is one of the most universally recognized flaws in the New Testament. This epistle was never recognized fully in any canon list until 367 A.D. It was expressly rejected by Eusebius in 325 A.D. as a pseudograph. It has several internal evidences of its pseudograph nature. Thus, Calvin's view was

legitimate.

Does Paul's Long Acceptance in NT Prove God's Will?

Jesus' Words Only 34

More important, Calvin's view proves Calvin did not regard almost 2000 years of inclusion *ipso facto* proves inspiration. God's sovereignty does not imply approval merely by God not having supernaturally intervened for 2000 years to reassemble the canon list.

Thus, even though Calvin and Luther surely would not want Paul excluded from canon, both Calvin and Luther would concede it is correct to test Paul's canonicity. There is no presumption that Paul belongs in the NT list merely by passage of time and a long tradition. The Bible demands testing Paul's inclusion by *humans*. The Bible sets forth those tests we *humans* are to apply. However, we *humans* love to shirk responsibility by attributing all events that support our errors to God. However, our Lord does not tolerate such a lazy servant. Let's get to work now and do the job that God commanded us to do: test Paul.

Regardless, The Earliest Tradition Excluded Paul as Inspired Canon

Furthermore, the actual history of canon formation suggests God did tell the early Church that Paul was uninspired. The Ebionites of 65 A.D. asserted Paul was an apostate because of his position on the Law of Moses. The Ebionites insisted Paul's writings must be deemed heretical. Only the Hebrew version of Matthew's Gospel should be canon. (No other NT writing yet existed in 65 A.D.) The evidence strongly suggests that *Ebionites* was a term used for the Apostolic Jerusalem Church under James. The word *Ebionites* is an Hebraism meaning *The Poor*. Paul twice refers to collecting funds for *The Poor* at Jerusalem. However, this link between *The Poor* at Jerusalem and the *Ebionites* was obscured in our New Testament by printing *the poor* in lowercase letters and not transliterating it to Hebrew as *Ebionites*.

Jesus' Words Only 35

Regardless, The Earliest Tradition Excluded Paul as Inspired Canon Next, Paul was expressly identified by recognized Christian leaders as uninspired when Marcion caused a crisis in 144 A.D. Marcion insisted Paul alone had the true gospel, not the *twelve apostles*. In response, the early universal Christian church said Paul is *not* an inspired author. This is clearly set forth in Tertullian's *Against Marcion* from 207 A.D.5 Thus, from 65 A.D. to 207 A.D., God apparently did tell the church through James and Tertullian to reject Paul as lacking inspiration. God did not leave us ignorant. We may

have simply chosen to ignore God's early messages through His agents. However, there is no time like the present to make amends for errors in our past. We must stop trying to shift responsibility to God for our decisions when we fail to obey God's commands to test the words of alleged prophets. Tertullian in Against Marcion (207 A.D.) thought Paul's words should be treated as edifying rather than as inspired material. Unfortunately, this original purpose for reading Paul along with the Gospels was forgotten in the ensuing centuries. Has the notion of *inspired* canon ever been shaped by a misunderstanding of the original intent in joinder? Yes. A similar oversight led Catholics in 1546 to decree the Apocrypha was inspired. However, when it was added to canon eleven centuries earlier, it was solely as edifying but non-inspired material. Catholic scholars now recognize that the original purpose of adding the Apocrypha to canon was forgotten over time. Its joinder originally did not mean to imply it was *inspired* material. Yet, confusion set in and now it is regarded as inspired material by Catholic authorities.6 4. See *infra page* 298 (evidence why Ebionites were the Jerusalem Church under James).

5. For extensive quotations from Tertullian, see page 408 et seq.

Does Paul's Long Acceptance in NT Prove God's Will?

Jesus' Words Only 36

6. Has overlooking Tertullian's writings on Paul led to a crucial misunderstanding on Paul's supposed inspiration? A similar lapse in memory happened among Catholics regarding Jerome's view of the Apocrypha which he combined with the inspired Bible text. The Apocrypha represented seven books within the Vulgate Bible prepared by Jerome in 411 A.D. Why did Jerome include this section? Jerome in a commentary on Solomon explained the Apocrypha was "for the edification of the people, not for the authoritative confirmation of doctrine." However, the memory of Jerome's original purpose faded in time. In 1546, the Catholic Council of Trent affirmed the Apocrypha as sacred, and it belonged to the Bible. The Apocrypha still is considered an official inspired portion of the Catholic Bible. Thus, the memory of the purpose of joining a noninspired writing to inspired texts was, after eleven centuries, forgotten. However, the scholars who wrote the "Canon" article for the New Catholic Encyclopedia concede what really happened: "The latter [i.e., the Apocrypha] he [Jerome] judged were circulated by the Church as *good spiritual reading* but were not recognized as authoritative Scripture. The situation remained unclear in the ensuing centuries...." Thus, in other words, such close association between edifying material and inspired material caused confusion among Catholic authorities over the centuries. Meanwhile, Catholics later adopted doctrines about Purgatory that solely had support in the Apocrypha. Hence, it became embarrassing for Catholicism to later eject this section as noninspired. And thus it stands. A joinder to edify the reader became conclusive proof the writing was inspired! Yet, we cannot judge the Catholics too harshly for this error. It appears identical

to what we did with Paul. If Tertullian was a voice of orthodoxy on Paul, as it appears he most certainly was, then as of approximately 200 A.D., the church which *first* added Paul to canon close in time must have done so with Tertullian's views in mind. This would mean that such close association of Paul with *inspired* canon later caused us confusion. The early church's original purpose became "unclear [to us] in the ensuing centuries...." Then we, like the Catholics, superimposed our belief system about what *canon* means today on a prior era which viewed canon quite differently. This is apparently how Paul went from an edifying writer who had virtually no impact on doctrine in both the Eastern and Western church for fifteen centuries (see page 425 et seq.) to a figure today whose every word is now hung upon by many as inspired text. Also, this episode of how the Apocrypha went from edifying material to inspired writ should remind us that the concept of canon has varied over time. We must not regard the mere fact something was joined as *canon* for centuries as proof that the item is anything more than reading material in church. Only if a writing is objectively prophetic material can it stand on its own and be deemed validly inspired.

Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus did not teach or preach the Torah in truth, he spoke out of both sides of his mouth. In truth HE ABROGATED THE TORAH and replaced it with the Law of Christ and the mystical 'body of Christ'. He created in fact a 'Frankenstein's monster' – spiritually if one could see it as Yahweh must see it is blasphemy personified. Yahshua and his apostles never started another religion – and to even contemplate that Yahshua did – shows a lack of understanding of Yahweh's Words.

Constantine Christianity was well established by the time he conquered – it was then a vile religion steeped in paganism, Mithraism, sun worship and everything unclean. The Roman church was corrupt beyond imagination and Constantine biggest contribution to the blasphemy was he changed the Sabbath to the Sun day. And introduced Mithra's birth day as the 25th December. Mithraism appeared to die out, but in fact it was absorbed into Christianity. Obviously there were pockets of true followers of Yahweh – but it would appear not many. Christianity was already in the wrong gospel so the corruption was no big deal as it was already corrupt. And it blended in perfectly with Mitharism. So now in innocence these poor deluded people of which I was one now worship at the altar of Baal – and offering false praise no less to the author of the Sunday aberration – in fact every time a service is held in what dear folk think as a worship service on a Sunday they are in fact worshipping at the altar of the sun.

The Shabbat has never been abolished – and this is one of the most important parts of returning to Yahweh is keeping his Holy Sabbath and his Holy Feast Days. This is the worship that is required from Yahweh His people to worship Him His way in Spirit and in Truth – not Sunday worship instigated by Constantine and followed by millions – the sad things is that Protestants think they are different from their vile mother the Roman Catholic Church – they are not, they are her daughters and follow their MOTHER in the abominations described in Revelations. What the world considers as feast days such as xmas, easter and others – is an abomination to Yahweh for they are worshipping at the feet of Baal and eating its festive food on these unholy days is food offered to idols.

Emperor Constantine officially fused Mithraism and Christianity

"During the 1st century BC, a cult of Mithra, made much progress in Rome, after enduring persecution, when some Emperors adopted the religion... Mithra became very popular among the Roman legionaries and later even among the Emperors. The worship of Mithra was first recognized by Emperor Aurelian and he instituted the cult of "Sol Invictus" or the Invincible Sun. Emperor Diocletian also a worshipper of Mithra, the Sun God, burned much of the Christian scriptures in 307 A.D.

This enabled Emperor Constantine to merge the cult of Mithra with that of Christianity that was developing much. He declared himself a Christian but at the same time maintained his ties to the Mithra cult. He retained the title "Pontifus Maximus" the high priest. On his coins were inscribed: "Sol Invicto comiti" which means, committed to the invincible sun. This new blend of the two faiths, he officially proclaimed as Christianity. Christianity spread all over the Roman empire and Eastern Europe by massive persecution and brought and end to a variety of religions that flourished there.

Until the fourth century, Mithra and Christianity were distinct but after Constantine, the two cults were blended to form the new faith that was to conquer most of the world." http://www.geocities.com/raqta24/christ.htm

However, cults of Mithra survived in different forms. This God spread through Asia minor and entered Greece and Rome. During the 1st century BC, a cult of Mithra, made much progress in Rome, after enduring persecution, when some emperors adopted the religion. (This religion may have also influenced the Celtic religions.) Mithra became very popular among the Roman legionaries and later even among the emperors. The worship of Mithra was first recognized by Emperor Aurelian and he instituted the cult of "Sol Invictus" or the Invincible Sun. Emperor Diocletian also a worshipper of Mithra, the Sun God, burned much of the Christian scriptures in 307 A.D.

This enabled Emperor Constantine to merge the cult of Mithra with that of Christianity that was developing much. He declared himself a Christian but at the same time maintained his ties to the Mithra cult. He retained the title "Pontifus Maximus" the high priest. On his coins were inscribed: "Sol Invicto comiti" which means, committed to the invincible sun. This new blend of the two faiths, he officially proclaimed as Christianity. Christianity spread all over the Roman empire and Eastern Europe by massive persecution and brought and end to a variety of religions that flourished there. There efforts were so successful that today's Christians descendants of those that were forced into Christianity have no knowledge of the bloody expansion of Christianity and the tyranny of the church that was formed out of the modified temple of Mithra, in the name of Jesus. The trail of of Christian expansion all over the world is filled with atrocities.

In 313 A.D., Emperor Constantine declared December 25th to be the birthday of Jesus (December 25th was prescribed earlier as the birthday of Mithra, by emperor Aurelian). Sabbath day, which is literally Saturday (as the Jews still maintain), became Sunday as it was the day of the Sun, another element from the Mithra worship.

Until the fourth century, Mithra and Christianity were distinct but after Constantine, the two cults were blended to form the new faith that was to conquer most of the world. Much of the Mithra cult practices and temple structure survive in Christianity. One can easily see the rituals in the church that has no relation to the Bible. These have roots either in the Mithra cult or in other existing religions that later Christians dubbed "pagan". In a way the prophet of modern christianity is Constantine. In 325 AD at the Nicean Council, under Constantine, Jesus received divine status as the son of God. When some bishops felt he had gone too far, Constantine said they were required to accept it. He also declared original chiristians that did not follow his brand of christianity (modern christianity) as heretics and started the first persecution. Hiding books of Arius, which did not follow the Constantine line was punishable by death.

6. Conclusion

Mithraism and Judaism merged and became Christianity. Jesus, son of the Hebrew sky God, and Mithras, son of Ormuzd are both the same myth. The rituals of Christianity coincide with the earlier rituals of Mithraism, including the Eucharist and the Communion in great detail. The language used by Mithraism was the language used by Christians. St Paul as the first "Christian" bears much of the responsibility for merging the two in his preaching and teaching, and also comes from Tarsus, a major Mithraist center.

The idea of a sacrificed saviour is Mithraist, so is the symbolism of bulls, rams, sheep, the blood of a transformed saviour washing away sins and granting eternal life, the 7 sacraments, the banishing of an evil host from heaven, apocalyptic end of time when God/Ormuzd sends the wicked to hell and establishes peace. Roman Emperors, Mithraist then Christian, mixed the rituals and laws of both religions into one. Emperor Constantine established 25th of Dec, the birthdate of Mithras, to be the birthdate of Jesus too. The principal day of worship of the Jews, The Sabbath, was replaced by the Mithraistic Sun Day as the Christian holy day. The Catholic Church, based in Rome and founded on top of the most venerated Mithraist temple, wiped out all competing sonof-god religions within the Roman Empire, giving us modern literalist Christianity. http://www.vexen.co.uk/religion/mithraism.html (not a godly website but informative)

Though I do not agree with all the person says - historically he is correct - what we know for truth - obviously others do not! However historically agains shows Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus involvement in the terrible mess of so called Christianity today.

As Churchanity is apostate and reproduces it self at an alarming rate —and you cannot fully comprehend the lies that Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus conjured up. The incredible thing about Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus teaching is that it has absolutely no substance — it is pure evil froth – the anchor that he holds it together is 'cheap grace' and 'justified by faith'and of course his abrogation of the Torah and the creation of his own spiritual law, the 'law of his christ' and his 'created body of christ' – is purely all of his warped imagination. And those who follow him do so to their own destruction. This is no light thing here.

.... I hope dear Reader by the time you have read this – and it has taken many hours – and the surface has not been scratched – you will see what an abomination this Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus is.

Don't be frightened of the challenge – it could save your life!!

The pattern is obvious ... we are tested each and every one of us ... don't stumble on the devious teachings of a deluded mystic. Being in denial about Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus is not going to make the deception go away. Adam and Eve were told not to partake of the tree of good and evil, they were told the consequences if they did ... that tree is also in some Epistles that Yahweh has permitted. (Remember Yahweh always tests our hearts). The fruits of those who participate (and if you are a Christian – you have) is disobedience which causes SPIRITUAL blindness and the end is DEATH. – the same principle applies to the tree of life in Yahshua's writings - brings about Truth which leads to obedience - ones eyes are opened and the fruit of the Truth produces LIFE.

Many dear folk come the way of false religions – it is incredible to find the Truth the 'pearl of great price' - it is given to anyone WHO SEEKS - So we see Yahweh has HIS purpose for allowing this incredible world deception. It certainly will show who are the sheep and who are the goats! We have a duty to warn others – that comes in to loving ones neighbour as oneself. We can never straighten out Shaul's devious and deluded path. But we can warn others. Many love the deception, it is

warm and comfortable and so plausible (if you don't think to deeply) you can follow the herd – and believe the lie.

Yahshua message is about Torah, he is the embodiment of the Torah and the Tree of Life/Bread of life Once we understand that ... we can see the where the beguiling lies of Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus are and of his cohorts. And the ground stops shaking. There was never meant to be two books. The truth about Yahshua was meant to continue as one book. This was done because of what Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus created – the abrogation of the law. Check it out it is all there – and I have given you enough information to prove what a deceiver this man was. This is not a comfort zone – this is stark, cold and painful reality. The great deceiver has indeed deceived the whole world just as prophesied.

Shaul 'Paul' of Tarsus writings are perfectly understood by this writer and many others who realise what a deceiver he is.

Millions have fallen for the lie ...PLEASE DON'T BE ANOTHER SOUL FOOLED BY HIS FALSE GOSPEL AND PERNICOUS TEACHINGS.

Please read on further there is some excellent articles below:

Scott Nelson has some classic points:

Romans 3

When someone suggests to an evangelical Christian that the Law of God still stands today, one of the first defenses to refute the suggestion comes from Romans 3. We are quickly informed that **no** one is able to keep the Law, and **all** are guilty of breaking it and are therefore forever unrighteous.

As it is written: "There is none righteous, no, not one". Romans 3:10

This verse is part of Paul's quote from Psalm 14 that he used as proof that man cannot keep the Law to become righteous. Here is the entire piece of Scripture that Paul uses in Romans 3.

As it is written: "There is none righteous, no, not one; there is none who understands; there is none who seek after God. They have all gone out of the way; they have together become unprofitable; there is none who does good, no not one. Their throat is an open tomb; with their tongues they have practiced deceit; the poison of asps is under their lips; whose mouth is full of cursing and bitterness. Their feet are swift to shed blood; destruction and misery are in their ways; and the way of peace they have not known. There is no fear of God before their eyes." Romans 3:10-18

This is Paul's apparent direct quote from Scripture that is supposed to prove to us that no one is righteous, but all are full of evil. Now guess what? No such passage exists! What Paul quotes is a compilation of **no less than six separate passages** that have been jerked out of their original context from the Psalms and the book of Isaiah, given an interpretation that cannot be found there, and strung together to appear as one quote. We have seen this deceptive practice of Paul's before when we looked at Romans 9 where he pasted together two short passages from Genesis and Malachi concerning Jacob and Esau!

Paul's accuracy in quoting from the Psalms is no better. The first passage he quotes in verses 10-12 comes from Psalm 14. Here is his version again.

As it is written: "There is none **righteous, no, not one**; there is none who understands; there is none who seeks after God. They have all gone out of the way; they have together become unprofitable; there is none who does good, **no, not one**." Romans 3:10-12

Now here is the passage quoted accurately, and in its context.

The <u>fool</u> has said in his heart, "there is no God". They are corrupt, they have done abominable works, there is none who does good. The Lord looks down from heaven upon the children of men to see if there are any who understand, who seek God. They have all turned aside, they have together become corrupt; there is none who does good, no, not one. Have all <u>the workers of iniquity</u> no knowledge, who eat up <u>my people</u> as they eat bread, and do not call on the Lord? There they are in great fear, for God is with the generation of the <u>RIGHTEOUS</u>. Psalm 14:1-5

Guess what? In David's picture there are no **atheistic** <u>fools</u> who do good! This passage is obviously **not** speaking of every human being, but of a distinct group of people whom David describes as *fools*, *atheists*, *workers of abominations*, *corrupt*, *ignorant*, and *workers of iniquity*. Of course, not one of **them** do good. And these evil people are contrasted with a second group of *real* people known as "**my people**" and "**the generation of the <u>righteous</u>"**. Right there in this very Psalm that Paul quotes from, there are obviously those whom God calls "**righteous**"! This is hardly the picture Paul wants us to get from this Psalm. Notice also Paul's embellishment of this passage. He would have us believe the phrase, "**no, not one**" is used twice when it is only used once. The first time Paul uses the phrase is where it doesn't exist, and it is coupled with the word "righteous". This word does not exist in this part of the Psalm, or anywhere near the words "**no, not one**". The word "**righteous**" only shows up later in verse 5, and there it directly implies that there <u>are</u> those who are righteous! So much for "**no, not one**".

In Paul's string of quotes, he continues to take snippets of Scripture out of their context from Psalm 5:9, Psalm 140:3, Psalm 10:7, Isaiah 59:7,8, and Psalm 36:1. In each and every case, the unrighteous individuals spoken of in these passages are specifically evil men, and in the greater context of these passages, the evil men are contrasted with those who are called "the righteous", "the upright", and "the innocent". Please check for yourself. Not only is there no support for Paul's picture in these passages, but in their proper context, the exact **opposite** is firmly established.

Paulinists like to refer to this practice of gluing a number of passages together as "pearl-stringing". Considering the fact that each part is given what is a lie for an interpretation, a more fitting metaphor than "pearls" should be used to describe what Paul is actually stringing together!

God calls some, "righteous"!

Paul wants us to believe that no one becomes righteous through the works of the Law. But there are many whom God called "righteous". From Genesis 7:1 where He says to Noah, "I have seen that **you are righteous before Me** in this generation", all the way through to the New Testament where Yahshua says, "many prophets and **righteous men** desired to see what you see, and did not see it...", there are many references to "righteous" men. Take an exhaustive concordance and look under the word *righteous*. http://www.judaismvschristianity.com/The Law stands.htm.

Paul's greatest lie

Now, after deceptively quoting Scripture to try and convince us that no one can become righteous under the Law, Paul is left to find for us a good working reason why God gave man the Law in the first place! Here is his logic.

"Now we know that whatever the Law says, it says to those who are under the Law, **that** (for this purpose) **every mouth** may be stopped, and **all the world may become guilty before God**. Therefore by the deeds of the Law **no flesh** will be justified in His sight, for by the Law is the knowledge of sin." Romans 3:19,20

This begins to defy words to describe the blasphemous lie that it is. But hey! Paul has to come up with some reason for the Law's existence after demolishing the truth! Are we really to believe now that it is God's **purpose** to make man guilty before Him? If God intentionally made His Law impossible for man to keep, that would make **God** the author of unrighteousness and guilt!

Here is Yahweh's version of why He gave the Law.

"Oh, that they had such a heart in them that they would fear Me and always keep <u>all</u> My commandments, that (for this purpose) it might be well with them and with their children forever!" Deuteronomy 5:29

"And the Lord commanded us to observe all these statutes, to fear the Lord our God, **for our good always**, **that** (*for this purpose*) **He might preserve us** alive, as it is this day. Then it will be <u>righteousness</u> **for us**, <u>if</u> we are careful to observe all these commandments before the Lord our God, as He has commanded us." Deuteronomy 6:24,25

This blasphemous lie that makes God out to be some kind of pathological tyrant who commands people to obey Him when He knows they can't... just to keep them filled with guilt, humiliated, and begging for grace and mercy, is by itself more than enough to finish off Paul and nail his hide to the wall as a false apostle. Yahshua never taught anything remotely close to this. But we are still long from being finished with Paul's doctrinal errors. He goes on to mention some fringe benefits that go along with his evil picture of God. The logic flows that if no man is capable of doing God's Law, and salvation is instead granted as a free gift of grace, then no one can brag about keeping the Law anymore!

Where is boasting then? It is excluded, By what law? Of works? No, but by the law of faith. Therefore we conclude that a man is justified by faith apart from the deeds of the Law. Romans 3:27,28

Never mind the fact that it is an important part of the Law for man to know his place and humble himself! If people kept all the Law they wouldn't be boasting anyway.

"He has shown you, O man, what is good; and what does the Lord **require of you** but to **do justly**, to love mercy, and to walk **humbly** with your God." Micah 6:8

Notice also what it says about the man who gave us the Law.

Now the man Moses was very humble, more than all men who were on the face of the earth. Numbers 12:3

God has never been in the business of making it impossible for man to boast. He just makes it not worth the while for those who do. The irony is, in the real world, Paul's doctrine is the source of far more pride and boasting than any other doctrine! One only need look at Paul himself and notice how he lifted himself above the very apostles who followed Yahshua (2Corinthians 11:5, Galatians 2:6,9), and how he even lifted himself above Moses by belittling him in 2Corithians 3:11-13. Anyone who believes that God actually destined before creation some vessels for honor and some for dishonor (Romans 9:20-23), and also believes he just happens to be one who is destined for honor, cannot avoid thinking way too highly of themselves, because they actually have grounds on which to boast!

From the presupposition that God intentionally made the Law impossible to keep, Paul's flow of logic now becomes totally absurd. Since, in Paul's world no one can keep the Law, man must therefore be justified by **"faith-alone"** apart from the deeds of the Law! At this point, he uses Abraham as proof-precedence.

1 John 5:3 For this is the love of YHWH, that we keep his commandments: and his commandments are not grievous.

Deuteronomy 30:11 For this commandment which I command you this day, it is not too hard for you, neither is it far off.

Abraham justified by faith?

Abraham's supposed justification by faith is Paul's ace-in-the-hole argument for faith apart from the works of the Law, both in the book of Romans and the book of Galatians. The following passages are from Romans and Galatians and contain his *supposed* direct quote from the book of Genesis.

What then shall we say that Abraham our father has found according to the flesh? For if Abraham was justified by works, he has something of which to boast, but not before God. For what does the Scripture say? "Abraham believed God, and it was accounted to him for righteousness." Romans 4:1-3

...just as Abraham "Believed God, and it was accounted to him for righteousness". Galatians 3:6

So fundamental is Paul's use of Abraham as a proof-text example for his "apart from works" doctrine that James becomes fully aware of it and refutes it in his epistle.

Was not Abraham our father justified by <u>works</u> when he offered Isaac his son on the altar? Do you see that faith was working together with his works, and by works faith was made perfect? And the Scripture was fulfilled which says, "Abraham believed God, and it was accounted to him for righteousness." James 2:21-23

James' logic here is far superior to Paul's, but the disappointing thing about James' rebuttal is that he could have done a better job and perfectly squashed Paul's pet argument forever! One reason it is obvious that James is directly addressing Paul's doctrine is by virtue of the fact that James' quote from Genesis is identical to Paul's quotes... and in error, again! My guess is that James had copies of Paul's letters in front of him when he wrote his letter and he mistakenly assumed Paul had quoted Genesis accurately, probably because it sounded very close to what he remembered of it. So he used Paul's quote and went about refuting Paul's doctrine on other logical grounds. But in doing this, he appears to have agreed with Paul that Abraham was justified by faith. After all, that's what Paul's quote from Genesis appears to indicate. But James goes about arguing that Abraham's faith was a faith *made* of works, as opposed to Paul's faith without works. If James had gone down to the local Synagogue and scrolled through the book of Genesis to see if Paul's quote was perfectly accurate, there is little doubt he would have dealt with Paul's doctrine differently. The difference is subtle in appearance at first, but Paul's version is none the less extremely misleading. The accurate quote from Genesis is in the following passage.

Then **He** brought him outside and said, "Look now toward heaven, and count the stars if you are able to number them." And **He** said to him, "So shall your descendants be." And **he** believed in the Lord, **and** <u>he</u> accounted it to him for righteousness. Genesis 15:5,6

Notice the difference that here it says, "and **he** accounted **it** to him", as opposed to Paul's, "and **it** was accounted to him". Paul's quote rearranged the phrase and left out the pronoun "he". You may be thinking, "What's the difference? Aren't they still saying the same thing?" Answer; not at all! The question at hand is, to whom is this pronoun "he" referring?

Because Bible translators work from the assumption that Paul knew what he was talking about, they assume the particular pronoun here in Genesis is in reference to God. So they capitalized it to indicate that it was God who accounted something to Abraham. But in the Hebrew text there are no such distinctions made, nor are there any indicators in the word itself as to *whom* the pronoun refers. The Hebrew language makes much use of pronouns this way, and at times it can be confusing for English speaking people. We prefer to have the person identified more regularly. You may have noticed in the short passage above there are seven pronouns and Abraham isn't even named! We only know it's Abraham from two verses earlier! The Hebrew language assumes intelligence upon its readers to figure out to whom the pronouns refer from the context in which they are used. The first key to understanding the identity of the person this pronoun refers to comes from the fact that the sentence this phrase is found in **begins** by changing the subject of the sentence, from God to Abraham. Read the entire passage again and notice how it changes at "And **he** believed in the Lord..." Obviously this passage is not suggesting that the Lord believed in Himself! Therefore, at this point the subject changes and begins to refer to Abraham... and **he** believed in

the Lord. Would it not be prudent to assume that the subject of the first clause of the sentence, Abraham, follows through as the subject of the second clause as well? This is proper Hebrew, as well as English, syntax. The experts agree. In Professor Victor P Hamilton's *New International Commentary on the Old Testament* (Eerdmans 1990), in Vol. 1 page 425 we read:

The second part of this verse records Yahweh's response to Abram's exercise of faith: 'he credited it to him as righteousness.' But even here there is a degree of ambiguity. Who credited whom? Of course, one may say that the NT settles the issue, for Paul expressly identifies the subject as God and the indirect object as Abraham (Rom. 4:3). But if we follow normal Hebrew syntax, in which the subject of the first clause is presumed to continue into the next clause if the subject is unexpressed, then the verse's meaning is changed... Does *he*, therefore, continue as the logical subject of the second clause? The Hebrew of the verse certainly permits this interpretation...

Now these are the honest and objective insights of a man who is unquestionably pro-Paul! Another excellent in-depth article concerning the "he" being in reference to Abraham and not God can be accessed at *Jewish-Christian Relations* website, article: www.jcrelations.net/en/?item=752

Therefore, the most accurate translation of Genesis 15:6 should read: And he (Abraham) credited it to Him (God) for righteousness. Or in other words, Abraham praised God for His righteousness in giving him the promise. Abraham had walked in God's Law and actually merited God's favor in this way, and he praised God for His righteousness in recognizing it and giving him the promise! This concept of meriting God's favor is something many Christians, especially Calvinist Christians, choke hard on. Merited favor implies works again. But this is exactly what happened here with Abraham. I will prove this is the truth, but first we need to note something God said in the same scene where He promised to multiply Abraham's descendants.

On the same day the Lord made a covenant with Abram, saying: "To your descendants I have given this land, from the river of Egypt to the great river, the River Euphrates..." Genesis 15:18

Now comes the proof. Let's look at something God said to Abraham's son Isaac a number of years later. Notice that God makes reference to everything promised to Abraham on **that same day** in history, and most importantly, notice **why** God said He gave Abraham the promises.

"Sojourn in this land, and I will be with you and bless you; for to you and your descendants I give all these lands, and I will perform the oath which I swore to Abraham your father. And I will make your descendants multiply as the stars of heaven; I will give to your descendants all these lands; and in your seed all the nations of the earth shall be blessed; BECAUSE Abraham obeyed My voice and kept My charge, My commandments, My statutes, and My Laws." Genesis 26:3-5

Nowhere does God say anything to Isaac about Abraham's **faith!** The promises were all given because of Abraham's **works!** God gave Abraham the promises **because** Abraham was a **righteous** man and had **merited** the promise. **Abraham was not justified by faith as Paul would have us believe. He was justified by works!** God could not have made that fact more plain to Abraham's son Isaac.

http://www.judaismvschristianity.com/ It is worth checking Scott out he is a down to earth guy who knows what it is to see the truth and is very enlightening and honest. I really recommend him. He is not afraid to call a spade a spade, he might offend but better be a offended and see truth then die in a lie.

The Myth Maker by Huam Maccoby points out:

Paul also misquotes the Torah in relation to Abraham, "For if Abraham were justified by works, he had whereof to glory; but not before God. For what saith the scripture?

Abraham believed God, and was counted unto him for righteousness. Now when a man works, his wages are not credited to him as a gift, but as an obligation. However, to the man who does not work but trusts God who justifies the wicked, his faith is credited as righteousness." (Romans 4:2-5) The Paulist book Hebrews made a similar claim: "By faith Abraham, when he was tried, offered up Isaac: and he that had received the promises offered up his only begotten son..." (Hebrews 11:17)

But is that what God had to say? "[B]ecause thou [Abraham] hast done this thing, and hast not withheld thy son, thine only son: That in blessing I will bless thee, and in multiplying I will multiply thy seed as the stars of the heaven, and as the sand which is upon the sea shore; and thy seed shall possess the gate of his enemies; And in thy seed shall all the nations of the earth be blessed; because thou hast obeyed my voice." Genesis 22:16-18.

James agrees with God, "Thou believest that there is one God; thou doest well: the devils also believe, and tremble. But wilt thou know, O vain man, that faith without works is dead? Was not Abraham justified by works when he had offered Isaac his son upon the altar? Seest thou how faith wrought with his works, and by his works was made perfect?" (James 2:19-22)

In no manner had Abraham "offered up" his son Isaac. He was ordered by God to sacrifice Isaac (as a test) and because Abraham obeyed God's commands, he was blessed. Paul rewrote this vital passage to reflect his own theology, not that of God.

It's clear that without Paul, there would no Christianity outside a Jewish sect following the Torah. The church in order to make it look like Jesus was the founder of Pauline Christianity, rearranged the order in which the New Testament books were written. (We should note there was no New Testament as such until Marcion in the 2nd century.)

Paul contradicts everyone else. Six times in I Corinthians:

- 1) And that he was seen of Cephas (Peter), (15:5)
- 2) All 12 apostles. (15:5)
- 3) After that, he was seen of above five hundred brethren at once; of whom the greater part remain unto this present, but some are fallen asleep. (15:6)
- 4) After that, he was seen of James; (15:7)
- 5) All 12 apostles. (15:7)
- 6) Paul himself, "And last of all he was seen of me also, as of one born out of due time." (15:8)

What happened to Mary Magdalene whom both Paul and his follower Luke left out all together? If Jesus showed Himself to 500 people, wouldn't the other four gospel writers have made note of this?

INFORMATION OF INTEREST ON SHAUL 'PAUL' OF TARSUS

1 Cor 4:1 Let a man so account of us, as of the ministers of Christ, and <u>stewards of the mysteries of God.</u> 2 Moreover it is required in stewards, that a man be found faithful. 3 But with me it is a very small thing that I should be judged of you, or of man's judgment: yea, I judge not mine own self. 4 For I know nothing by myself; yet am I not hereby justified: but he that judgeth me is the Lord. 5 Therefore judge nothing before the time, until the Lord come, who both will bring to light the hidden things of darkness, and will make manifest the counsels of the hearts: and then shall every man have praise of God.

Paul was born in Tarsus, a major centre for the Pagan Mysteries, and often uses terms from the Mysteries in his letters. He even calls himself a 'Steward of

the Mysteries of God', the term for a priest in the Pagan Mysteries of Serapis. Paul quotes Pagan sages and teaches Pagan doctrines.

Col 1:27 To whom God would make known what is the riches of the glory of this **mystery** among the Gentiles; which is Christ in you, the hope of glory:

The great secret that Paul claims to be able to reveal is **not** that Jesus literally walked the Earth, but the mystical revelation of "Christ in you."

For so the Lord has commanded us: I have set you to be a light to the Gentiles that you should be for salvation to the ends of the earth. Acts 13:47

Though in his conceit he considered himself to be God's gift to the Gentiles and tried to claim a prophecy for himself that was given exclusively to Isaiah in: 49:6. This was not only representative of Israel being a light to the Gentiles by their diligence in up keeping the Law, but also echoed far into the future when the Messiah was to come. As much as Saul quoted this verse and attributed it to himself, it can be clearly seen that it had nothing to do with him at all!

Isaiah 49:6 And he said, It is a light thing that thou shouldest be my servant to raise up the tribes of Jacob, and to restore the preserved of Israel: I will also give thee for a light to the Gentiles, that thou mayest be my salvation unto the end of the earth.

No Father but Yahweh

Do not call anyone on earth your Father; for <u>ONE IS YOUR FATHER</u>, HE who is in heaven. Matt 23:9

SAUL BLASPHEMOUSLY BOASTED in the book 1 Corinthians, chapter 1 Cor 4:15

"....I BECAME YOUR FATHER I Cor 4:15

THE MESSIAH DID NOT APPEAR TO Saul

He wrote the LIE as to one untimely born, he appeared to me also 1Cor. 15:8

NOTICE THE TRUTH WAS FROM GOD!

Why did Jesus dwell on the Truth so adamantly?

Because Truth is UNITING.

Truth brings FAITH.

Truth brings LIBERTY.

Do you not suppose that Saul's LIE DIVIDED all the TRUTHS Jesus came to declare.

Would you trust a man who LIED?

Did Jesus not say that a Kingdom divided would FALL?

Did Saul come to DIVIDE THE KINGDOM OF GOD?

Similarly, Saul is quoted stating that / lie not!

I tell the truth in Christ, <u>I am not lying</u>, my conscience also bearing me witness in the Holy Spirit. Rom. 9:1

The God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, who is blessed forever, knows that <u>I am</u> not lying. 2Cor. 11:31

Now concerning the things which I write to you, indeed, before God, <u>I do not lie</u>. <i>Gal. 1:20

Now if Saul, was TRULY an apostle appointed by Jesus why does he have this infernal need to keep re-assuring people **THAT I LIE NOT?** As if it isn't bad enough he needs to affirm his honest intentions, he declares God to be his witness also!

So, my friend, don't let this man fool you into thinking that

- 1. His gospel saves
- 2. That he had a vision of Jesus
- 3. That his conflicting stories of his vision are truth but in different versions
- 4. That his need to have God qualify him as truthful, is witnessing to his honesty.
- 5. That salvation of Gentiles was given directly to him.
- 6. That he is greater than the Chosen Apostles
- 7. That Christians are the followers of Jesus. His followers were called

people of the WAY. Not little christs.

8. That he BECAME YOUR FATHER.

I have undertaken to try to bring to you an awareness that not everything appearing Holy is Holy.

Truth can only be gained through knowing YAHSHUA the True Shepherd.

YAHSHUA said that there would be many false shepherds wishing to destroy the flock.

Unfortunately, Saul and his disciples the *little christs*, who have the Pauline Gospel have misinterpreted, misconstrued and misrepresented Jesus and His Gospel.

SAUL OF TARSUS aka PAUL - THE MEANING OF HIS NAME:

SAUL 4569 IN GREEK Saulos - the Jewish name of Paul.

SAUL 7586 IN HEBREW Sha uwl

from 7592: a prime root to inquire, by impl. to request by extens. to demand - ask (counsel on) beg, borrow, lay to charge, consult, demand, desire, x earnestly enquire, greet, obtain leave, lend, pray, request, require = salute x straitly x surely, wish.

As it can be seen many Hebrew names are derived from prime roots which can have multiple meanings. Albeit, Saul does fit the description of many of the above meanings.

IN LATIN: Paulis means little, short

The meaning of his name in Hebrew: sepulcher, destroyer.

Paul in GREEK means **worker** (his former name Saul, means a **destroyer**) We could say about Saul:

ONE WHO WORKS AT DESTROYING

.

MORE DECEPTION:

When many days had passed, the Jews plotted to kill him, but their plot became known to Saul. They were watching the gates day and night to kill him; but his disciples took him by night and let him down over the wall, lowering him in a basket. (Acts 9:23-25)

At Damascus, the governor under King Aretas guarded the city of Damascus in order to seize me, but I was let down in a basket through a window in the wall, and escaped his hands. (Il Corinthians 11: 32,33)

So, was it the Jews of Damascus from whom Paul fled, or was it from the governor under King Aretas? Further, did they lower him over the wall, or through a window in the wall?

Then comes the contradiction most directly relevant to his lie concerning his going up to Jerusalem from Damascus after his revelation:

And his disciples took him by night and let him down over the wall, lowering him in a basket. And when he had come to Jerusalem he attempted to join the disciples but they are all afraid of him for they did not believe he was a disciple. But Barnabas took him, and brought him to the apostles, and declared to them how on the road he had seen the Lord, who spoke to him, and how at Damascus he had preached boldly in the name of Jesus. So he went in and out among them at Jerusalem, preaching boldly in the name of the Lord. And he spoke and disputed against the Hellenists; but they were seeking to kill him. And when the brethren knew it, they brought him down to Caesarea and set him off to Tarsus. (Acts 9:25-30)

And (Ananias)...said, The God of our fathers appointed you to know his will, to see the Just One and to hear a voice from his mouth; and you will be a witness for him to all men of what you have seen and heard. And now, why do you wait? Rise and be baptized, and wash away your sins, calling on his name. When I returned to Jerusalem and was praying in the temple I fell into a trance and saw him saying to me, 'Make haste and get quickly out of Jerusalem, because they will not accept your testimony about me. And I said, 'Lord, they themselves know that in very synagogue I imprisoned and beat those who believed in thee. And when the blood of Stephen thy witness was shed, I also was standing by and approving, and keeping the garments of those who killed him.' And he said to me, 'Depart; for I will send you far away to the Gentiles.' (Acts 22:14-21)

But when he who had set me apart before I was born, and had called me through his grace, was pleased to reveal his Son to me in order that I might preach him among the Gentiles, I did not confer with flesh and blood, nor did I go up to Jerusalem to those who were apostles before me, but I went away into Arabia; and again I returned to Damascus. Then after three years I went up to Jerusalem to visit Cephas, and remained with him fifteen days. But I saw none of the other apostles except James the Lord's brother. (In what I am writing to you, before God I do not lie!) Then I went into the regions of Syria and Cilicia; and I still was not known by sight to the churches of Christ in Judea; they only heard it said, "He who once persecuted us is now preaching the faith he once tried to destroy." (Galatians 1:15-23)

Clearly there are several problems when we seek to reconcile these accounts of the same period in Paul's life. If we confine ourselves to the two accounts from Acts, we would conclude without question that he went immediately to Jerusalem after escaping from Damascus – which the Galatian letter emphatically rebuts. In addition, there is a problem within the two stories from Acts concerning how he came to leave Jerusalem. We are told in the first that the Hellenists (Hellenistic Jews) were seeking to kill him, and when the brethren discovered this they carted him off to Tarsus (Cilicia). In the second, we are told that Paul, in the Temple and in a trance, saw the Lord saying to him that he should get quickly out of Jerusalem, as they would not receive his testimony there. In the third and last account listed, that of Paul in the Galatian letter, he simply departed into the regions of Syria and Cilicia, there being no mention of threats to his person. Assuming that he simply chose to omit this part of the story in recounting it for the Galatian church, we yet have the contradiction in the details of the other two, as to how he came to know of his danger and to escape from Jerusalem.

Yet again we have this: although Luke tells us that Barnabus took Paul to the apostles, Paul asserts to the Galatians that he saw none of the apostles except Cephas and James, the Lord's brother. Are we really to believe that Barnabus introduced Paul to the apostles after which he went in and out among them preaching, and yet saw none of them except Cephas (Peter) and James? We have every reason to believe that many of the apostles were at Jerusalem during this period, for Luke tells us that, due to the persecution that arose over Stephen, they (the disciples) were all scattered throughout the region of Judea and Samaria except the apostles (Acts 8:1).

And finally, we have two other questions aroused by the previous accounts. First, If Paul had to escape from Damascus either to save his life or to avoid arrest, and then spent a time in Arabia, why would he have returned to Damascus where his life would again have been endangered? This puzzle suggests that there was no foray into Arabia, and no return to Damascus. Once Luke picks up his journeying in Acts, he certainly never returned! He has him saying, in his defense before King Agrippa:

Wherefore, O King Agrippa, I was not disobedient to the heavenly vision, but declared first to those at Damascus, then at Jerusalem and throughout all the country of Judea, and also to the Gentiles, that they should repent and turn to God and perform deeds worthy of their repentance (Acts 26:19,20).

www.voiceofjesus.org/pb2chapter9html

THE WRATH OF GOD?

Saul says that the *WRATH OF GOD* is revealed against the unrighteous and ungodly.

Where was Jesus found ministering?

Among the lepers, tax collectors, prostitutes, sick, the poor, those possessed with evil spirits, the liars, the cheats, Temple Priests, scribes, the Zealots, the Sicarii men.

Did you know that among the Chosen Disciples, Jesus also had with Him *Simon (the Zealot)* and *Judas (Iscariot)* It is well known among scholars of the Gospels that the odd name *Iscariot* has usually been interpreted as *ish Kerioth 'a man of Kerioth'* but it is now widely accepted as *Sikarios* which translates *sicarii* which means: *'Dagger men'* who were the most extreme branch of the Zealot movement.

As for Simon the Zealot, he is still mentioned in the book of Acts in chapter one as being numbered among those disciples who were in Jerusalem waiting for the Empowering from on High. Perhaps he left the Zealot movement and dedicated himself to be an Apostle.

Jesus made no distinction between the very sick and poor and the very powerful movements of His time. He incorporated the Good News to ALL for His Father WAS NOT PARTIAL.

So why would Saul write about the WRATH OF GOD? When we look deeply into what was happening around Jesus, Saul again misconstrues what the Gospel of Jesus was.

When Jesus was amongst the unrighteous, did *THE WRATH OF GOD* come upon them? I say to you **NO!** Jesus came to REVEAL THE LOVE OF THE FATHER. Not his WRATH.

For God so loved the world that HE SENT HIS ONLY BEGOTTEN SON that whoever BELIEVES IN HIM, should not PERISH, but have EVERLASTING LIFE.Jn 3:16

For God did not send HIS SON into the world to CONDEMN the world, but that the world through Him MIGHT BE SAVED!Jn 3:17

I have used other peoples insight as well as my own research and it is comforting that others see the TRUTH.

Rom 16:25 Now to him that is of power to stablish you according to my evangel, and the preaching of **Yahushua** the Messiah, according to the revelation of the mystery, which was kept secret since the world began,

16:26 But now is made manifest, and by the scriptures of the prophets, according to the commandment of the everlasting Elohim, made known to all nations for the obedience of faith:

1 Cor 2:7 But we speak the wisdom of **YHWH** in a mystery, even the hidden wisdom, which **YHWH** ordained before the world unto our glory:

2:8 Which none of the princes of this world knew: for had they known it, they would not have crucified the King of glory.

Col1:25 Whereof I am made a minister, according to the administration of Elohim which is given to me for you, to fulfil the word of **YHWH**;

1:26 Even the mystery which hath been hid from ages and from generations, but now is made manifest to his saints:

Col 2:2 That their hearts might be comforted, being knit together in love, and unto all riches of the full assurance of understanding, to the acknowledgement of the mystery of **YHWH**, the Father, and of the Messiah;

- 2:3 In whom are hid all the treasures of wisdom and knowledge.
- 4:3 Withal praying also for us, that Elohim would open unto us a door of utterance, to speak the mystery of the Messiah, for which I am also in bonds:
- 4:4 That I may make it manifest, as I ought to speak.

Eph 1:9 Having made known unto us the mystery of his will, according to his good pleasure which he hath purposed in himself:

1:10 That in the dispensation of the fulness of times he might gather together in one all things in the Messiah, both which are in heaven, and which are on earth; even in him:

- 1:11 In whom also we have obtained an inheritance, being predestinated according to the purpose of him who worketh all things after the counsel of his own will:
- 3:3 How that by revelation he made known unto me the mystery; (as I wrote afore in few words,
- 3:4 Whereby, when ye read, ye may understand my knowledge in the mystery of the Messiah)
- 3:5 Which in other ages was not made known unto the sons of men, as it is now revealed unto his holy apostles and prophets by the Spirit;

6:19 And for me, that utterance may be given unto me, that I may open my mouth boldly, to make known the mystery of the gospel,

and in mysteries or sacraments (Romans 16:25; Colossians 1:26; 2:2; 4:3; Ephesians 1:9; 3:4; 6:19)—a term borrowed solely from heathen rites. http://www.truthseekers.co.za/content/view/242/53/

Pauls contradictions

After his vision, according to Paul's own account (Galatians 1:17), he went into the desert of Arabia for a period, seeking no instruction. According to Acts, however, he sought instruction first from Ananias of Damascus and then from the apostles in Jerusalem. These contradictory accounts reflect a change in Paul's status: in his own view, he had received a revelation that put him far higher than the apostles, while in later Church opinion he had experienced a conversion that was only the beginning of his development as a Christian

Paul's originality lies in his conception of the death of Jesus as saving mankind from sin. Instead of seeing Jesus as a messiah of the Jewish type human saviour from political bondage he saw him as a salvation-deity whose atoning death by violence was necessary to release his devotees for immortal life. This view of Jesus' death seems to have come to Paul in his Damascus vision. Its roots lie not in Judaism, but in mystery-religion, with which Paul was acquainted in Tarsus. The violent deaths of Osiris, Attis, Adonis, and Dionysus brought divinization to their initiates. Paul, as founder of the new Christian mystery, initiated the Eucharist, echoing the communion meal of the mystery religions. The awkward insertion of eucharistic material based on I Corinthians 11:23-26 into the Last Supper accounts in the Gospels cannot disguise this, especially as the evidence is that the Jerusalem Church did not practise the Eucharist.

Paul's new religion had the advantage over other salvation-cults of being attached to the Hebrew Scriptures, which Paul now reinterpreted as forecasting the salvation-death of Jesus. This gave Pauline Christianity an awesome authority that proved attractive to Gentiles thirsting for salvation. Paul's new doctrine, however, met with disapproval from the Jewish-Christians of the Jerusalem Church, who regarded the substitution of Jesus' atoning death for the observance of the Torah as a lapse into paganism. Paul was summoned to Jerusalem by the leaders James (Jesus' brother), Peter, and John to explain his doctrine (c.50

The Roman commandant, Claudius Lysias, decided to bring Paul before the Sanhedrin in order to discover the cause of the disturbance. With great presence of mind, Paul appealed to the Pharisee majority to acquit him, claiming to be a Pharisee like James. Paul was rescued by the Pharisees from the high priest, like Peter before him. However, the high priest, resenting this escape, appointed a body of men to assassinate Paul. Learning of the plot, Paul again placed himself under the protection of the Romans, who transported him by armed guard from Jerusalem to Caesarea. The High Priest Ananias was implacable, no doubt because of Paul's defection from his police task in Damascus, and laid a charge of anti-Roman activity against him. Paul appealed for a trial in Rome before Caesar, his right as a Roman citizen. The assertion of Acts that the Jewish "elders" were also implicated in the charges against Paul is unhistorical, since these same elders had just acquitted him in his Sanhedrin trial. Paul was sent to Rome, and here our information ends. Legends speak of his eventual martyrdom in Rome.

Paul's authentic voice is found in his Epistles. Here he appears as an eloquent writer, skilled in asserting his authority over his converts as their inspired teacher. The view often asserted, however, that Paul writes in the style of a rabbi is incorrect. His occasional attempts to argue in rabbinical style (e.g., Romans 7:1-6) reveal his lack of knowledge of rabbinic logic. Paul's letters belong to Greek literature and have affinity to Stoic and Cynic literature. His knowledge of the Scriptures is confined to their Greek translation, the Septuagint. Paul was a religious genius, who invested Greek mystery-religion with the historical sweep and authority of the Jewish Bible.

http://www.sullivan-county.com/news/paul/paul.htm

Dear Reader if this does not convince you nothing will – it is up to you – do not let pride get in the way of truth – for it surely comes before a great fall. Better to be wrong this side of eternity then wrong at the point of no return – every blessing and believe me there is so much more to uncover – there is much that has not been mentioned as the subject is vast and the deception so great.

I have used many people's work ... not always given them credit but to let you know and acknowledge other peoples hard graft in discovering truth.

If I have made mistakes please forgive me and point them out to me - a misquote of Scripture etc. I have tried to be as factual as I can. But nobody is perfect and the subject is mind blowing and life changing. And this has not been an easy task, especially as this false apostle was my hero. My eyes have been opened and I see him for what he really is, and it is not a pretty picture. He is a deceiver, a liar, a coward, a deluded mystic whose nonsensical visions have cost the lives of millions, both physically and spiritually.

Just a note to any Reader who may be in agony of doubt

SEEKING TRUTH IS A JOURNEY

How ever many wrong turnings you have made (and I have made a few serious wrong turnings) be comforted....

If you are seeking truth – you will find it.

And ye shall seek me, and find me, when ye shall search for me with all your heart. $_{\mbox{\scriptsize Jeremiah}\ 29:13}$